



Colorado's Unified Improvement Plan for Schools for 2015-16

Organization Code: 0880 District Name: DENVER COUNTY 1 School Code: 3987 School Name: HIGHLINE ACADEMY SOUTHEAST Official 2014 SPF: 1 Year

Section I: Summary Information about the School

Directions: This section provides an overview of the school's improvement plan. To complete this section, copy and paste the school's Priority Performance Challenges, Root Causes and Major Improvement Strategies from Section III and IV of the 2015-16 UIP once it has been completed. In the UIP online system, this section will populate automatically as the UIP is written.

Executive Summary

How are students performing? Where will school staff be focusing attention?

Priority Performance Challenges: Specific statements about the school's performance challenges (not budgeting, staffing, curriculum, instruction, etc.), with at least one priority identified for each performance indicator (achievement, growth, growth gaps, PWR) where the school did not meet federal, state and/or local expectations.

Highline's achievement was on par with similar schools in all areas. 3 year trends are not available due to the change in assessment (TCAP-PARCC). Overall trends to still exist with reading and writing showing higher achievement then math respectively. Deeper analysis in math showed Highline students struggled with basic numbers and operations, especially in the lower grades. Highline Academy will focus its attention on elementary math, and early literacy, not because of challenging data, but from knowing that students with strong literacy skills tend to be successful in other content areas. These two areas of focus are greatest leverage points for ensuring all students matriculating through Highline are prepared for college prep high schools.

Why is the school continuing to have these problems?

Root Causes: Statements describing the deepest underlying cause, or causes, of the performance challenges, that, if dissolved, would result in elimination, or substantial reduction of the performance challenges.

Implementing a new math curriculum was challenging at Highline during the 2014-2015 school year. There are also clear gaps in quantity of opportunity students have to engage in these foundational skills. This was identified through curriculum audits.

What action is the school taking to eliminate these challenges?

Major Improvement Strategies: An overall approach that describes a series of related actions intended to result in improvements in performance.

To address challenges, Highline built a 20 minute block of time into the schedule to specifically address foundational skill gaps in math, with a start of year focus on numbers and operations. These classes are driven from daily exit slips which inform the daily grouping of students.

Access School Performance Frameworks here: http://www.cde.state.co.us/schoolview/performance





Pre-Populated Report for the School

Directions: This section summarizes program accountability requirements unique to the school based upon federal and state accountability measures. Historically, this report has included information from the School Performance Framework; because of the state assessment transition and passage of HB15-1323, 2015 SPFs will not be created. In the table below, CDE has pre-populated the school's data in **blue** text. This data shows the school's performance in meeting minimum federal and state accountability program expectations.

Accountability Status and Requirements for Improvement Plan

	October 15, 2015	The school has the option to submit the updated plan through Tracker for public posting on SchoolView.org.
Summary of School	January 15, 2016	The school has the option to submit the updated plan through Tracker for public posting on SchoolView.org.
Plan Timeline		The UIP is due to CDE for public posting on April 15, 2016 through Tracker or the UIP online system. Some program level reviews will occur at the same time. For required elements in the improvement plan, go to the Quality Criteria at: http://www.cde.state.co.us/uip/UIP_TrainingAndSupport_Resources.asp .

Program	Identification Process	dentification for School	Directions for Completing Improvement Plan
State Accountability			
READ Act	All schools that serve students in grades Kindergarten through 3 rd Grade.	Currently serving grades K-3	Schools serving grades K-3 must include targets and strategies that address the needs of K-3 students identified as having significant reading deficiencies (e.g., instructional strategies, parent involvement strategies). Schools and districts looking for the CDE approved scientifically or evidence based instructional programs and professional development to support identified strategies may access the advisory lists at http://www.cde.state.co.us/coloradoliteracy/readact/programming
Plan Type Assignment	Plan type is assigned based on the school's overall 2014 official School Performance Framework rating (determined by performance on achievement, growth, growth gaps, postsecondary and workforce readiness).	Performance Plan	The school meets or exceeds state expectations for attainment on the 2014 SPF performance indicators and is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. The plan must be submitted to CDE by April 15, 2016 to be posted on SchoolView.org. Note that some programs may still require a review of the UIP in April. Through HB 14-1204, small, rural districts (less than 1200 students) may opt to submit their plans biennially (every other year).
ESEA and Grant Accountabi	lity	-	
Title I Focus School	Title I school with a (1) low graduation rate (regardless of plan type), and/or (2) Turnaround or Priority Improvement plan type with either (or both) a) lowachieving disaggregated student groups (i.e., minority, ELL, IEP and FRL) or b) low disaggregated graduation rate. This is a three-year designation.	Not identified as a Title I Focus School	This school is not identified as a Focus School and does not need to meet those additional requirements.





Tiered Intervention Grant (TIG)	Competitive grant (1003g) for schools identified as 5% of lowest performing Title I or Title I eligible schools, eligible to implement one of four reform models as defined by the USDE.	Not awarded a TIG Grant	This school does not receive a current TIG award and does not need to meet those additional requirements.
Diagnostic Review and Planning Grant	Title I competitive grant that includes a diagnostic review and/or improvement planning support.	Not awarded a current Diagnostic Review and Planning Grant	This school has not received a current Diagnostic Review and Planning grant and does not need to meet those additional requirements.
School Improvement Support (SIS) Grant	Title I competitive grant that supports implementation of major improvement strategies and action steps identified in the school's action plan.	Not a current SIS Grantee	This school has not received a current SIS grant and does not need to meet those additional requirements.
Colorado Graduation Pathways Program (CGP)	The program supports the development of sustainable, replicable models for dropout prevention and recovery that improve interim indicators (attendance, behavior and course completion), reduce the dropout rate and increase the graduation rate for all students participating in the program.	Not a CGP Funded School	This school does not receive funding from the CGP Program and does not need to meet these additional program requirements.





Section II: Improvement Plan Information

Additional Information about the School

	prehensive Review and		/			
Rela	Has the school received a grant that supports the school's improvement efforts? When was the grant awarded?					
Exte	Has the school partnered with an external evaluator to provide comprehensive evaluation? Indicate the year and the name of the provider/tool used.			sive evaluation?		
Impro	ovement Plan Information	n				
The	school is submitting this	improvement plan to s	atisfy re	quirements for (che	ck all that apply):	
	☐ State Accreditation	☐ Title I Focus So	chool	☐ Tiered Inte	ervention Grant (TIG)	☐ Diagnostic Review and Planning Grant
	☐ School Improvemer	it Support Grant		READ Act Requirer	ments	☐ Other:
-						
Scho	ool Contact Information	(Additional contacts may be	added, if	needed)		
1	Name and Title Kali G	Sarofoli				
	Email kgarofoli@highli	neacademy.org				
	Phone 303 759-7808					
	Mailing Address 2170	South Dahlia Street De	enver, C	O 80222		
2	2 Name and Title					
	Email					
	Phone					
	Mailing Address					





Evaluate

FOCUS

Section III: Narrative on Data Analysis and Root Cause Identification

This section corresponds with the "Evaluate" portion of the continuous improvement cycle. The main outcome is to construct a narrative that describes the process and results of the analysis of the data for your school. The analysis should justify the performance targets and actions proposed in Section IV. Two worksheets have been provided to help organize your data analysis for your narrative. This analysis section includes: identifying where the school did not at least meet minimum state and federal accountability expectations; describing progress toward targets for the prior school year; describing what performance data were used in the analysis of trends; identifying trends and priority performance challenges (negative trends); describing how performance challenges were prioritized; identifying the root causes of performance challenges; describing how the root causes were identified and verified and what data were used; and describing stakeholder involvement in the analysis. Additional guidance on how to engage in the data analysis process is provided in Unified Improvement Planning Handbook.

Implications of Colorado Measures of Academic Success (CMAS) on Data Analysis: During the 2014-15 school year, Colorado transitioned from reading, writing and math TCAP assessments to CMAS PARCC English language arts and math assessments. These assessments measure related, but different content standards and are expected to have different proficiency levels. As a result, updating the data analysis this year (particularly the trend statements) may be more challenging. While the school's data analysis is still expected to be updated, some modifications in typical practice may be needed. Refer to the UIP state assessment transition guidance document on the UIP website for options and considerations.

Data Narrative for School

Directions: In the narrative, describe the process and results of the data analysis for the school, including (1) a description of the school and the process for data analysis, (2) a review of current performance, (3) trend analysis, (4) priority performance challenges and (5) root cause analysis. A description of the expected narrative sections are included below. The narrative should not take more than five pages. Two worksheets (#1 *Progress Monitoring of Prior Year's Performance Targets* and #2 *Data Analysis*) have been provided to organize the data referenced in the narrative.

Review Current Performance: Description of School Trend Analysis: Provide a description **Priority Performance** Root Cause Analysis: Identify at least Setting and Process for of the trend analysis that includes at Challenges: Identify notable one root cause for every priority Review recent state and local Data Analysis: Provide a data. Document any areas least three years of data (state and trends (or a combination of trends) performance challenge. Root causes very brief description of the where the school did not at local data), if available. Trend that are the highest priority to should address adult actions, be under the school to set the context for least meet state/federal statements should be provided in the address (priority performance control of the school, and address the four performance indicator areas and challenges). No more than 3-5 expectations. Consider the priority performance challenge(s). Provide readers (e.g., demographics). Include the previous year's progress by disaggregated groups. Trend are recommended. Provide a evidence that the root cause was verified general process for toward the school's targets. statements should include the direction through the use of additional data. A rationale for why these challenges developing the UIP and Identify the overall magnitude of the trend and a comparison (e.g., have been selected and address description of the selection process for the participants (e.g., School of the school's performance state expectations, state average) to the magnitude of the school's corresponding major improvement Accountability Committee). challenges. indicate why the trend is notable. overall performance challenges. strategy(s) is encouraged. Narrative:

Description of School Setting and process for data analysis: Highline Academy brings together families from a wide array of ethnic, religious, national, socioeconomic and cultural backgrounds and was founded on a very simple principle: these many groups could not only co-exist, and not only live and work together, but also could thrive together academically. Highline's vision was to create a charter school that set a high standard for all students, in an integrated setting, and to provide each student with the tools needed to reach high levels of academic, civic and personal excellence. The founders of the school, and





our Board of Directors, hoped to accomplish this through a content-rich liberal arts curriculum that recognized the value of a well-rounded education, differentiated instruction in core subjects, small class sizes, a safe and disciplined environment, and a strong focus on character development and respect for diversity. Highline will build off the established record at our founding campus and allow the organization to leverage lessons learned to implement a very high performing school.

Visit Highline Academy on any given day, and you'll see students engaged in small-group work, independent research, classroom presentations, hands-on activities, technology-based activities and note-taking during lecture. Visit the same classroom over a week-long period, and you'll see a similarly diverse range of activities. At Highline, we leverage a broad instructional toolbox to meet students' needs, learning styles, cultural dispositions and prior knowledge, introducing and reinforcing skills and content in multiple ways, over time, to ensure students' full mastery of the material. Not every child learns the same way, and, for this reason, our teachers do not teach in exactly the same way day after day. That said, there are instructional approaches that we embrace building-wide. To support English Language Acquisition, for example, all teachers at Highline are trained in Sheltered Instruction, which we use during pull-out and in mainstream classrooms. Sheltered Instruction focuses on how we teach so that content and skills are accessible for all students. Sheltered Instruction provides students with opportunities to learn academic content, while simultaneously providing learning opportunities with language, literacy, and social interaction skills. For teachers, Sheltered Instruction requires planning that involves content objectives, a focus on language and social objectives, and specifically requires educators to tap students' prior knowledge and build background knowledge; use flexible grouping and cooperative learning strategies; use active participation and interactive learning strategies throughout instruction; differentiate instruction; provide access to texts; address students' language acquisition levels through instruction and assessment; create a safe learning environment; connect with students' home languages and cultures; and maintain high expectations and then help students reach them.

Student achievement is taken very seriously at Highline, and progress is monitored through interim assessments, built around a 6-8 week cycle. Highline Academy uses its interim assessments and I-Ready data to identify non-proficient students and to measure student growth and program effectiveness. Interim assessment data drives the constant cycle of insuring all students are receiving the support they need to attain mastery of rigorous learning standards. Highline has leveraged its year-long calendar to insure that, after each interim assessment period, there is a corresponding "data day" where teachers have the time they need to engage in data analysis, track student growth and alter planning to ensure all student needs are met. DRA testing is also administered twice per year, with a mid-year progress monitoring for any student below grade level or identified as struggling. Assessment data is regularly analyzed during coaching and on professional development days to track student performance, growth and status, throughout the year as well as from one year to the next.

Review Current Performance: SPF Performance: Highline has made steady progress on the SPF. Highline has gone from "Green" 65% of points earned in 2011, to "Blue" with 81.6% of points earned placing us solidly in the top 20 performing schools in Denver. Both growth percent of points earned and status percent of points earned have taken similar upward trends. Much of the overall growth has been driven by Highline's middle school, which is still out performing the elementary school. Making the transition to PARCC as were national trends did look down. Although Highline was able to hold on to its "status" in comparison to similar schools. Notable trends have elementary math as an area of improvement and middle school language arts being an area of strength.





Trend Analysis and Priority Performance Challenges. :

Highline's achievement was on par with similar schools in all areas. 3 year trends are not available due to the change in assessment (TCAP-PARCC). Overall trends to still exist with reading and writing showing higher achievement then math respectively. Deeper analysis in math showed Highline students struggled with basic numbers and operations, especially in the lower grades. Highline Academy will focus its attention on elementary math, and early literacy, not because of challenging data, but from knowing that students with strong literacy skills tend to be successful in other content areas. These two areas of focus are greatest leverage points for ensuring all students matriculating through Highline are prepared for college prep high schools.

Root Cause:

Implementing a new math curriculum was challenging at Highline during the 2014-2015 school year. There are also clear gaps in quantity of opportunity students have to engage in these foundational skills. Through a process of curriculum mapping, Highline teachers were able to identify the gap areas, and used this data to help inform filling of number and operation gaps within the curriculum. Most importantly, To address challenges, Highline built a 20 minute block of time into the schedule to specifically address foundational skill gaps in math, with a start of year focus on numbers and operations. These classes are driven from daily exit slips which inform the daily grouping of students.





Worksheet #1: Progress Monitoring of Prior Year's Performance Targets

Directions: This chart supports analysis of progress made towards performance targets set for the 2014-15 school year (last year's plan). While this worksheet should be included in your UIP, **the main intent is to record your school's reflections to help build your data narrative.**

Performance Indicators	Targets for 2014-15 school year (Targets set in last year's plan)	Performance in 2014-15? Was the target met? How close was the school to meeting the target?	Brief reflection on why previous targets were met or not met.
Academic Achievement (Status)			Highline Academy set goals based on growth and not status for the 14-15 school year. Therefor it is not possible to address whether
Academic Growth			targets were met or not. Denver Public Schools did not calculate growth.
Academic Growth Gaps			
Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness			





Worksheet #2: Data Analysis

Directions: This chart supports planning teams in recording and organizing observations about school-level data in preparation for writing the required data narrative. Planning teams should describe positive and negative trends for all of the four performance indicators using at least three years of data, when available, and then prioritize the performance challenges (based on notable trends) that the school will focus its efforts on improving. The root cause analysis and improvement planning efforts in the remainder of the plan should be aimed at addressing the identified priority performance challenge(s). A limited number of priority performance challenges is recommended (no more than 3-5); a performance challenge may apply to multiple performance indicators. At a minimum, priority performance challenges must be identified in any of the four performance indicator areas where minimum state and federal expectations were not met for accountability purposes. In most cases, this should just be an update to the plan from 2014 since the SPF has not changed for 2015. Finally, provide a brief description of the root cause analysis for any priority performance challenges. Root causes may apply to multiple priority performance challenges. You may add rows, as needed.

Performance Indicators	Description of Notable Trends (3 years of past state and local data)	Priority Performance Challenges	Root Causes
Academic Achievement (Status)	Over the last number of years, Highline status has remained relatively stable. Similar trends are congruent with the new PARCC data. Notable exception with PARCC elementary math.		Implementing a new math curriculum was challenging at Highline during the 2014-2015 school year. There are also clear gaps in quantity of opportunity students have to engage in these foundational skills. This was identified through curriculum audits
Academic Growth			
Academic Growth Gaps			\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness			





FOCUS

Section IV: Action Plan(s)

This section addresses the "Plan" portion of the continuous improvement cycle. First, identify annual performance targets and the interim measures. This will be documented in the required *School Target Setting Form* on the next page. Then move into action planning, which should be captured in the *Action Planning Form*.

School Target Setting Form

Directions: Complete the worksheet below. Schools are expected to set their own annual targets for the performance indicators (i.e. academic achievement, academic growth, academic growth gaps, and postsecondary and workforce readiness). At a minimum, schools should set targets for each of the performance indicators where state expectations were not met; targets should also be connected to prioritized performance challenges identified in the data narrative (section III). Consider last year's targets (see Worksheet #1) and whether adjustments need to be made. For each annual performance target, identify interim measures that will be used to monitor progress toward the annual targets at least quarterly during the school year.

Implications of Colorado Measures of Academic Success (CMAS) on Target Setting: During the 2014-15 school year, Colorado transitioned from reading, writing and math TCAP assessments to CMAS PARCC English language arts and math assessments. These assessments measure related, but different content standards and are expected to have different proficiency levels. As a result, setting targets based on the percent of students scoring proficient and advanced on TCAP is not appropriate. Furthermore, CDE does not yet know if student growth percentiles and median student growth percentiles will be available for accountability, planning or reporting use. It is known that adequate growth percentiles will not be available this school year for 2014-15 results. Target setting is still expected to occur in the UIP process during this transition period. However, some modifications in typical practice may be needed. Refer to the UIP state assessment transition guidance document on the UIP website for options and considerations.





School Target Setting Form

Performance			Priority Performance	Annual Perfor	mance Targets	Interim Measures for	Major Improvement
Indicators	Measures/ Me	etrics	Challenges	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	Strategy
		ELA					
Academic	CMAS/PARCC, CoAlt, K-3 literacy	REA D					
Achievement (Status)	measure (READ Act), local measures	М	Elementary Math	50% meet or above	60% meet or above	I-Ready Interim assessments.	Number and operations "block".
		S					
	Median Growth Percentile,	ELA					
Academic Growth	TCAP, CMAS/PARCC , ACCESS, local measures	М					
Olowin		ELP					
Academic	Median Growth	ELA					
Growth Gaps	Percentile, local measures	М					
	Graduation Rate						
Postsecondary	Disag. Grad Rate)					
& Workforce	Dropout Rate						
Readiness	Mean CO ACT						
	Other PWR Meas	sures					





Action Planning Form for 2015-16 and 2016-17

Directions: Identify the major improvement strategy(s) for 2015-16 and 2016-17 that will address the root cause(s) determined in Section III. For each major improvement strategy, identify the root cause(s) that the major improvement strategy will help to dissolve. Then, indicate which accountability provision or grant opportunity it will address. In the chart below, provide details about key action steps necessary to implement the major improvement strategy. Details should include the action steps that will be taken to implement the major improvement strategy, a general timeline, resources that will be used to implement the actions, and implementation benchmarks. Additional rows for action steps may be added. While the template provides space for three major improvement strategies, additional major improvement strategies may also be added. To keep the work manageable, however, it is recommended that schools focus on no more than 3 to 5 major improvement strategies.

Major Improvement Strategy #1: Increasing intentionally for operations.	Root Cause(s) Addressed:	Not enough time devoted to numbers and	
Accountability Provisions or Grant Opportunities Address ☐ State Accreditation ☐ Title I Focus School		<u> </u>	☐ School Improvement Support Grant
☐ READ Act Requirements ☐ Other:			

Description of Action Steps to Implement	Timeline		Key	Resources	Implementation Banchmarks	Status of Action Step* (e.g.,
the Major Improvement Strategy	2015-16	2016-17	Personnel*	(Amount and Source: federal, state, and/or local)	Implementation Benchmarks	completed, in progress, not begun)
Schedule creation	08/01	06/03	Leadership Team	Time: 20 minute block added to Math classes.	Schedule creation	Completed
Staff Buy-In	08/03	08/14	All	PD Time	PD time	Completed
Planning for block	08/05	08/15	Leadership Team	PD Time, Curricular resources	PD time	Completed
Observation and Feedback	08/21	06/03	Leadership Team	Obs/Feedback Schedule	Interim cycle	Completed

^{*} Note: These two columns are not required to meet state or federal accountability requirements, though completion is encouraged. "Status of Action Step" may be required for certain grants.





Major Improvement Strategy #2:			Root Cause(s) Addressed:					
Accountability Provisions or Grant Opportunities Addressed by this Major Improvement Strategy (check all that apply): State Accreditation Title I Focus School Tiered Intervention Grant (TIG) Diagnostic Review Grant School Improvement Support Grant READ Act Requirements Other:								
Description of Action Steps to Implement the Major Improvement	Timeline		Key	Resources (Amount and Source: federal, state,	Implementation Benchmarks	Status of Action Step* (e.g.,		
Strategy	2015-16	2016-17	Personnel*	and/or local)	implementation benefitially	completed, in progress, not begun)		

^{*} Note: These two columns are not required to meet state or federal accountability requirements, though completion is encouraged. "Status of Action Step" may be required for certain grants.





Major Improvement Strategy #3:			Root Cause(s) Addressed:					
Accountability Provisions or Grant Opportunities Addressed by this Major Improvement Strategy (check all that apply): State Accreditation Title I Focus School Tiered Intervention Grant (TIG) Diagnostic Review Grant School Improvement Support Grant READ Act Requirements Other:								
Description of Action Steps to Implement the Major Improvement Strategy	Timeline 2015-16 2016-17		Key Personnel*	Resources (Amount and Source: federal, state, and/or local)	Implementation Benchmarks	Status of Action Step* (e.g., completed, in progress, not begun)		

Section V: Appendices

Some schools will need to provide additional forms to document accountability or grant requirements:

- Additional Requirements for Turnaround Status Under State Accountability (Required)
- Tiered Intervention Grantee (TIG) (Required)
- Title I Schoolwide Program. Important Notice: The schoolwide addendum is one of several ways to document how a school is meeting the Title I schoolwide requirements. While schools operating a Title I schoolwide program must have a plan, use of the UIP addendum is optional. The Federal Programs Unit and the Improvement Planning Unit will be offering training in fall 2015 on schoolwide requirements and the possible pathways to meet those requirements.

Note: These two columns are not required to meet state or federal accountability requirements, though completion is encouraged. "Status of Action Step" may be required for certain grants.