

## 4356: Jackson Elementary School | 3120: Greeley 6

Grade Levels: E - (1 Year)

Plan Type	2					Offi	icial Rating based on SI	NGLE-YE	AR SPF Report
	Improvement Plan						51.0/100 Points Earned	_	
and Postseco determines t cut points fo meet test pa scoring guide	ondary & Wor the final accre r final ratings rticipation, so e near the end	kforce Rea editation r s establish afety, and d of this re	adiness indic ating for a d ed by the St finance assi	ators. The perce istrict or the fina ate Board of Edu	entage of po al plan type ucation are ult in a rati	oints earned a e for a school, shown at the ng being lowe	nievement, Academic Growth, across all indicators which is displayed above. The right of this page. Failure to ered by one level. Refer to the l.	Performar Improvem Priority In Turnarour	ent
Performance	ndicator Rating Totals Percent of Points Earned/ erformance Indicator Points Earned Eligible Rating cademic Achievement 45.7% 18.3/40 Approaching					School plan types are based on the total percentage of points earned.			
Academic Gr	rowth			54.	5%	32.7/60	Approaching	<i>Performa</i> 53.0% - 1	
Assuranc							Rating Meets 95% Participation	42.0% - 5	<b>nent Plan:</b> 2.9%
Test Part	icipation I	Rates ai	nd Total F	Participation	Rate De	escriptor*		<b>Priority II</b> 34.0% - 4	<i>mprovement Plan:</i> 1.9%
Subject English Lang		Total Records 246	Valid Scores 221	Total Participation Rate 89.8%	Parent Excusals 4	Accountabil Participatio Rate 99.6%		<i>Turnarou</i> 0.0% - 33	
Math	Judge Al to	246	241	98.0%	4	99.6%	Meets 95% Participation		-t Chata Datas
Total Participation Rate Descriptor for Planning Purposes: Participation Participation						Insufficient State Data: No reportable achievemen and growth data.			
Summary	of Rating	s by EM	H Level						
EMH Level	Performance	e Indicato	r	Perce Points I		Points Earne Eligible	d/ Rating	Points by Level	Overall Rating b Level
Elementary	Academic Ac Academic Gr		t	45. 54.		18.3/40 32.7/60	Approaching Approaching	51.0%	Improvement

(-) No Reportable Data

<sup>\*</sup>Under state accountability policy, 95% of students must participate in state assessments. Students who are excused from testing by a parent or guardian do not impact the Accountability Participation Rate that is used to determine whether the overall rating is reduced by one level. Districts or schools with less than 95% total participation in ELA and Math receive a "Low Total Participation" descriptor to help readers when interpreting accountability data. The descriptor does not impact framework calculations. Multilingual Learners in their first year in the U.S. who were eligible to take the ELP assessment count as participants for ELA.



## 4356: Jackson Elementary School | 3120: Greeley 6

Elementary School - (1 Year)

ACADEMIC A	ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT							
			Participation	Mean Scale	Percentile	Pts Earned/		
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	Score	Rank	Eligible	Rating	
CMAS - English	All Students	200	89.8%	724.5	18	4.00/8	Approaching	
Language Arts	Previously Identified for READ Plan	65	93.3%	701.1	-	0.00/0	-	
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	157	91.1%	723.2	16	0.50/1	Approaching	
	Minority Students	162	87.9%	722.6	15	0.50/1	Approaching	
	Multilingual Learners	80	80.2%	715.2	5	0.25/1	Does Not Meet	
	Students with Disabilities	31	94.6%	704.2	1	0.25/1	Does Not Meet	
CMAS - Math	All Students	206	98.0%	724.4	26	4.00/8	Approaching	
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	162	97.4%	723.5	24	0.50/1	Approaching	
	Minority Students	168	98.0%	722.2	21	0.50/1	Approaching	
	Multilingual Learners	86	99.1%	714.6	8	0.25/1	Does Not Meet	
	Students with Disabilities	31	94.6%	700.8	1	0.25/1	Does Not Meet	
CMAS - Science	All Students	60	96.1%	722.7	23	4.00/8	Approaching	
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	44	94.8%	722.9	23	0.50/1	Approaching	
	Minority Students	47	94.7%	717.7	14	0.25/1	Does Not Meet	
	Multilingual Learners	27	100.0%	712.2	8	0.25/1	Does Not Meet	
	Students with Disabilities	n < 16	-	-	-	0.00/0	-	
TOTAL		*	*	*	*	16.00/35	Approaching	

## ACADEMIC GROWTH

			Median Growth		
Subject	Student Group	Count	Percentile/Rate	Pts Earned/ Eligible	Rating
CMAS - English	All Students	125	44.0	4.00/8	Approaching
Language Arts	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	95	43.0	0.50/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	101	44.0	0.50/1	Approaching
	Multilingual Learners	54	42.0	0.50/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	20	46.0	0.50/1	Approaching
CMAS - Math	All Students	129	48.0	4.00/8	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	97	50.0	0.75/1	Meets
	Minority Students	105	48.0	0.50/1	Approaching
	Multilingual Learners	58	44.5	0.50/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	20	45.5	0.50/1	Approaching
English Language	English Language Proficiency	97	50.0	1.50/2	Meets
Proficiency	On Track to EL Proficiency	98	65.3%	1.50/2	Meets
TOTAL		*	*	15.25/28	Approaching

This page displays the performance indicator data for the elementary school level. For the 1-year report, calculations are based on 2024 state assessment results. Multi-year reports include 2022 through 2024 results.

Academic Achievement: mean scale scores represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups; participation rates included on this page count parent excusals as non-participants. Cut-scores for the CMAS Science metric were re-normed based on 2024 results.

Academic Growth: median student growth percentiles and percentages of students on track to meet targets represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups.

For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings, refer to the scoring guide at the end of this document. Additional resources are available at <a href="http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability/accou

Multilingual Learners describes students that are Non-English Proficient (NEP), Limited English Proficient (LEP), and Fluent English Proficient (FEP) - Monitor Year 1, Monitor Year 2, Exited Year 1, & Exited Year 2.

(\*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric			Rating		Point Value			
renjormance malcator				nating			ELP On Track		
	Mean Scale Score was:				All Students	Each Disaggregated Group	Growth		
	at or above the 85th percentile			Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0		
Academic Achievement	• at or above the 50th percentile but below	the 85th percent	tile	Meets	6	0.75	1.5		
&	• at or above the 15th percentile but below			Approaching	4	0.50	1.0		
ELP On Track Growth	below the 15th percentile			Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5		
	Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (	bonus point)							
	CMAS ELA Mean scale score at or above 72	5 (Approaching	Expectations cut	-score)		1 bonus point			
						Each Disaggregated	51.0		
	Median Growth Percentile was:				All Students	Group	ELP		
	• at or above 65	Exceeds	8 1.00		2.0				
Academic Growth	<ul> <li>at or above 50 but below 65</li> </ul>			Meets	6	0.75	1.5		
	<ul> <li>at or above 35 but below 50</li> </ul>			Approaching	4	0.50	1.0		
	• below 35			Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5		
	Mean CO SAT Reading and Writing (EBRW) scal	e score was**:			All Students	Each Disaga	regated Group		
		1-Year	3-Year		All Students	Euch Disugg	reguteu Group		
	• at or above	553.1	552.5	Exceeds	4	1	L.00		
	<ul> <li>below previous cut but at or above</li> </ul>	494.6	495.1	Meets	3	(	).75		
	<ul> <li>below previous cut but at or above</li> </ul>	448.1	452.5	Approaching	2	(	).50		
	• below	448.1	452.5	Does Not Meet	1	(	).25		
	Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**:	1-Year	3-Year		All Students Each Disag		regated Group		
	• at or above	527.0	522.5	Exceeds	4		L.00		
	<ul> <li>below previous cut but at or above</li> </ul>	465.8	466.4	Meets	3		).75		
	<ul> <li>below previous cut but at or above</li> </ul>	423.3	424.7	Approaching	2		).50		
	• below	423.3	424.7	Does Not Meet	1	(	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rat	e was (of all sch	ools in 2017):		All Students Each Disagg		regated Group		
Postsecondary and	• at or below 0.5%			Exceeds	8		2.0		
Workforce Readiness	• at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%			Meets	6		1.5		
	• at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%			Approaching	4		1.0		
	• above 5.0%			Does Not Meet	2		0.5		
	Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2018):					All Students			
	• at or above the 75.8%			Exceeds		4			
	• at or above 61.1% but below 75.8%			Meets		3			
	• at or above 46.8% but below 61.1%			Approaching		2			
	• below 46.8%			Does Not Meet		1			
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation	n Rate (Best of 4-	-, 5-, 6-, or 7-yea	<u>,                                     </u>	All Students		regated Group		
	• at or above 95.0%			Exceeds	8		2.0		
	• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%			Meets	6		1.5		
	• at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%			Approaching	4		1.0		
	• below 75.0%			Does Not Meet	2		0.5		

## Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points

The Academic Achievem	e Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the Achievement										
	English Language Arts &										
	Reading & Writing for CO PSAT				Mathematics			Science			
			CO PSAT	CO PSAT			CO PSAT	CO PSAT			
Percentile	Elementary	Middle	1-Year	3-Year	Elementary	Middle	1-Year	3-Year	Elem	Middle	High
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	415.1	419.1	719.1	716.5	387.4	397.6	717.9	714.2	721.4
					7010	704.0	100.0	424.2	7000	700.0	70.4.6
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	458.9	457.7	734.3	731.2	430.2	434.3	736.9	732.8	734.6

Percent of Students On Track for ELP Growth Targets					
	ELP On Track Growth				
Percentile	Elem	Middle	High		
15th percentile	52.1%	13.8%	14.1%		
50th percentile	64.4%	24.2%	22.7%		
85th percentile	76.7%	37.3%	35.1%		

	Total Possible Points by Performance Indicator						
Indicator	Total Possible Points	Elementary/Middle	High/District				
Achievement	36 points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group)	40%	30%				
Growth	28 total points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group, 2 for ELP growth, 2 for ELP On Track Growth)	60%	40%				
Postsecondary Readiness	52 total points (16 for graduation, 4 for matriculation, 16 for dropout, 8 per CO SAT subject)	not applicable	30%				

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator				
Achievement;	Cut-Point: The district or school earned.	of points eligible		
Growth; Postsecondary Readiness	<ul> <li>at or above 87.5%</li> </ul>	Exceeds		
	<ul> <li>at or above 62.5% but below 87.5%</li> </ul>	Meets		
	<ul> <li>at or above 37.5% but below 62.5%</li> </ul>	Approaching		
Reduitiess	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		

Cut-Points for Plan/Ca	Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment							
	District		Accreditation Category/Plan Type					
	74.0%	not applicable	Accredited w/Distinction (District only)					
Total Framework Points	56.0% 53.0%		Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)					
Total Framework Points	44.0% 42.0%		Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)					
	34.0%	34.0%	Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)					
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)					

\* School data used as baseline: 2016 for CMAS & CoAlt ELA & Math (g3-8). 2024 for CO PSAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g9-10). 2024 for CMAS and CoAlt Science (g5, 8, 11). 2024 for ELP On Track to Proficiency Growth as planned prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. \*\* 2024 school data used as baseline for CO SAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g11).