

0900: Bookcliff Middle School | 2000: Mesa County Valley 51

Grade Levels: M - (1 Year)

Plan Type	Plan Type Official Rating based on S						ng based on SII	NGLE-YE	AR SPF Report	
	Improvement Plan							2/100 is Earned		
and Postseco determines t cut points fo meet test pa scoring guid	ondary & Wo the final accr r final rating rticipation, e near the er	rkforce Rea reditation ra gs establishe safety, and f nd of this rep	diness indio ting for a c ed by the St finance ass	cators. The perce listrict or the fin cate Board of Edu	entage of p al plan typ ucation are ult in a rat	ooints earned e for a schoo e shown at th ing being lov	across all ind I, which is dis e right of this vered by one l	Academic Growth, dicators played above. The s page. Failure to evel. Refer to the	Performan Improvem Priority Im Turnaroun	90.270
Indicator Performance Academic Ac	e Indicator hievement	otals		Perce Points 43. 54.	8%	Points Earr Eligible 17.5/40		Rating Approaching	on the tot points ear	
Academic Gr Assuranc					370	32.7/60		Approaching	Performa 53.0% - 10 Improven	00%
Participatio	n						Meets	Rating 95% Participation	Priority Ir	nprovement Plan:
Test Part	icipation	Rates an Total Records	d Total I Valid Scores	Participation Total Participation Rate	Parent Excusals	Accountab Participat	ility	Rating	34.0% - 41 <i>Turnarou</i> 0.0% - 33.	nd Plan:
English Lang Math Total Partic		564 563 Descriptor	504 517 for Plannin	89.4% 91.8% g Purposes:	34 35	98.1% 97.9%	Meets	95% Participation 95% Participation otal Participation		<i>nt State Data:</i> able achievement :h data.
Summary			H Level		ent of	Points Earr	ed/		Points	Overall Rating by
EMH Level Middle		ce Indicator Achievement Growth	:	Points 43. 54.	8%	Eligible 17.5/40 32.7/60		Rating Approaching Approaching	by Level 50.2%	Level Improvement

(-) No Reportable Data

^{*}Under state accountability policy, 95% of students must participate in state assessments. Students who are excused from testing by a parent or guardian do not impact the Accountability Participation Rate that is used to determine whether the overall rating is reduced by one level. Districts or schools with less than 95% total participation in ELA and Math receive a "Low Total Participation" descriptor to help readers when interpreting accountability data. The descriptor does not impact framework calculations. Multilingual Learners in their first year in the U.S. who were eligible to take the ELP assessment count as participants for ELA.



0900: Bookcliff Middle School | 2000: Mesa County Valley 51

Middle School - (1 Year)

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT							
			Participation	Mean Scale	Percentile	Pts Earned/	
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	Score	Rank	Eligible	Rating
CMAS - English	All Students	470	89.4%	727.6	22	4.00/8	Approaching
Language Arts	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	335	89.4%	723.3	13	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	251	87.5%	723.1	13	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
	Multilingual Learners	74	77.5%	718.5	6	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
	Students with Disabilities	98	88.6%	707.2	1	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
CMAS - Math	All Students	470	91.8%	717.3	17	4.00/8	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	335	91.1%	713.6	9	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	251	92.4%	714.2	10	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
	Multilingual Learners	79	94.1%	713.0	9	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
	Students with Disabilities	94	86.0%	701.4	1	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
CMAS - Science	All Students	176	87.2%	724.0	32	4.00/8	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	122	86.3%	722.4	29	0.50/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	97	89.7%	718.3	21	0.50/1	Approaching
	Multilingual Learners	31	90.0%	707.3	7	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
	Students with Disabilities	30	81.6%	714.4	15	0.50/1	Approaching
TOTAL		*	*	*	*	15.75/36	Approaching

ACADEMIC GROWTH

Subject	Student Group	Count	Median Growth Percentile/Rate	Pts Earned/ Eligible	Rating
CMAS - English	All Students	434	42.0	4.00/8	Approaching
Language Arts	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	309	39.0	0.50/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	236	42.0	0.50/1	Approaching
	Multilingual Learners	68	43.0	0.50/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	78	35.0	0.50/1	Approaching
CMAS - Math	All Students	430	47.0	4.00/8	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	305	45.0	0.50/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	236	48.0	0.50/1	Approaching
	Multilingual Learners	72	50.5	0.75/1	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	73	42.0	0.50/1	Approaching
English Language	English Language Proficiency	28	56.5	1.50/2	Meets
Proficiency	On Track to EL Proficiency	28	35.7%	1.50/2	Meets
TOTAL		*	*	15.25/28	Approaching

This page displays the performance indicator data for the middle school level. For the 1-year report, calculations are based on 2024 state assessment results. Multi-year reports include 2022 through 2024 results.

Academic Achievement: mean scale scores represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups; participation rates included on this page count parent excusals as non-participants. Cut-scores for the CMAS Science metric were re-normed based on 2024 results.

Academic Growth: median student growth percentiles and percentages of students on track to meet targets represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups.

For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings, refer to the scoring guide at the end of this document. Additional resources are available at http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability/accountability-resources

Multilingual Learners describes students that are Non-English Proficient (NEP), Limited English Proficient (LEP), and Fluent English Proficient (FEP) - Monitor Year 1, Monitor Year 2, Exited Year 1, & Exited Year 2.

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric			Rating		Point Value		
renjormance malcator				nating			ELP On Track	
	Mean Scale Score was:				All Students	Each Disaggregated Group	Growth	
	at or above the 85th percentile			Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0	
Academic Achievement	• at or above the 50th percentile but below	the 85th percent	tile	Meets	6	0.75	1.5	
&	• at or above the 15th percentile but below			Approaching	4	0.50	1.0	
ELP On Track Growth	below the 15th percentile			Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5	
	Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (bonus point)						
	CMAS ELA Mean scale score at or above 72	5 (Approaching	Expectations cut	-score)		1 bonus point		
						51.0		
	Median Growth Percentile was:				All Students	Each Disaggregated Group	ELP	
at or above 65				Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0	
Academic Growth	 at or above 50 but below 65 			Meets	6	0.75	1.5	
	 at or above 35 but below 50 			Approaching	4	0.50	1.0	
	• below 35			Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5	
	Mean CO SAT Reading and Writing (EBRW) scal	e score was**:			All Students	Each Disaga	regated Group	
		1-Year	3-Year		All Students	Euch Disugg	reguteu Group	
	• at or above	553.1	552.5	Exceeds	4	1	L.00	
	 below previous cut but at or above 	494.6	495.1	Meets	3	().75	
	 below previous cut but at or above 	448.1	452.5	Approaching	2	().50	
	• below	448.1	452.5	Does Not Meet	1	1		
	Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**:	1-Year	3-Year		All Students Each Disag		regated Group	
	• at or above	527.0	522.5	Exceeds	4	1	L.00	
	 below previous cut but at or above 	465.8	466.4	Meets	3	().75	
	 below previous cut but at or above 	423.3	424.7	Approaching	2	().50	
	• below	423.3	424.7	Does Not Meet	1	(0.25	
	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rat	e was (of all sch	ools in 2017):		All Students	All Students Each Disaggregated G		
Postsecondary and	• at or below 0.5%			Exceeds	8		2.0	
Workforce Readiness	• at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%			Meets	6		1.5	
	• at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%			Approaching	4		1.0	
	• above 5.0%			Does Not Meet	2		0.5	
	Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2018):					All Students		
	• at or above the 75.8%			Exceeds		4		
	• at or above 61.1% but below 75.8%			Meets		3		
	• at or above 46.8% but below 61.1%			Approaching		2		
	• below 46.8%			Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation	n Rate (Best of 4-	-, 5-, 6-, or 7-yea	<u>, </u>	All Students		regated Group	
	• at or above 95.0%			Exceeds	8		2.0	
	• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%			Meets	6		1.5	
	• at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%			Approaching	4		1.0	
	• below 75.0%			Does Not Meet	2		0.5	

Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points

The Academic Achievem	ne Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the Achievement										
	English Language Arts &										
	Reading & Writing for CO PSAT				Mathen	natics		Science			
			CO PSAT	CO PSAT			CO PSAT	CO PSAT			
Percentile	Elementary	Middle	1-Year	3-Year	Elementary	Middle	1-Year	3-Year	Elem	Middle	High
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	415.1	419.1	719.1	716.5	387.4	397.6	717.9	714.2	721.4
					7010	704.0	100.0	424.2	7000	700.0	70.4.6
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	458.9	457.7	734.3	731.2	430.2	434.3	736.9	732.8	734.6

Percent of Students On Track for ELP Growth Targets						
	ELP On Track Growth					
Percentile	Elem	Middle	High			
15th percentile	52.1%	13.8%	14.1%			
50th percentile	64.4%	24.2%	22.7%			
85th percentile	76.7%	37.3%	35.1%			

	Total Possible Points by Performance Indicator							
Indicator	Total Possible Points	Elementary/Middle	High/District					
Achievement	36 points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group)	40%	30%					
Growth	28 total points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group, 2 for ELP growth, 2 for ELP On Track Growth)	60%	40%					
Postsecondary Readiness	52 total points (16 for graduation, 4 for matriculation, 16 for dropout, 8 per CO SAT subject)	not applicable	30%					

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator				
Achievement;	Cut-Point: The district or school earned.	of points eligible		
Growth; Postsecondary Readiness	 at or above 87.5% 	Exceeds		
	 at or above 62.5% but below 87.5% 	Meets		
	 at or above 37.5% but below 62.5% 	Approaching		
Reduitiess	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		

Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment							
	District	School	Accreditation Category/Plan Type				
	74.0% not applicable		Accredited w/Distinction (District only)				
Total Framework Points	56.0% 53.0%		Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)				
Total Framework Points	44.0%	42.0%	Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)				
	34.0%	34.0%	Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)				
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)				

* School data used as baseline: 2016 for CMAS & CoAlt ELA & Math (g3-8). 2024 for CO PSAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g9-10). 2024 for CMAS and CoAlt Science (g5, 8, 11). 2024 for ELP On Track to Proficiency Growth as planned prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. ** 2024 school data used as baseline for CO SAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g11).