

## 0950: Bradford K8 South | 1420: Jefferson County R-1

Grade Levels: E - (1 Year)

Plan Type	l.					Official Rating bas	ed on 1-Y	ear SPF Report	
Performance Plan: Meets 95% Participation						85.0/100 Points Earned		85.0%	
			John Irwin Av	ward^					
See the final performance	Performa	ince							
The performance framework evaluates district and school performance on Academic Achievement, Academic Growth, and Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness indicators. The percentage of points earned across all indicators determines the final accreditation rating for a district or the final plan type for a school, which is displayed above. The cut points for final ratings established by the State Board of Education are shown at the right of this page. Failure to							e Priority I Turnarou	Improvement Priority Imp Turnaround	
scoring guide	Rating Totals					ered by one level. Refer to th d.	School p	lan types are based otal percentage of arned.	
Performance Academic Ac Academic Gro	hievement		Points 100	ent of Earned .0% 0%	Points Earne Eligible 40.0/40 45.0/60	ed/ Rating Exceeds Meets	<i>Performance Plan:</i> 53.0% - 100%		
Assuranc	es						<i>Improve</i> 42.0% - 5	<i>ment Plan:</i> 52.9%	
Rating       Accountability Participation Rate     Meets 95%						-	<i>Priority Improvement Plan.</i> 34.0% - 41.9%		
Test Part	icipation Rates*	<			Accountabi	ility	<i>Turnaro</i> 0.0% - 33	und Plan: 3.9%	
Subject English Lang	Total Records uage Arts 162	Valid Scores 157	Participation Rate 96.9%	Parent Excusals 5	Participati	ion Rating		ent State Data:	
Math Science	162 0	158 0	97.5%	4	100.0%			table achievement vth data.	
	of Ratings by E		Perce	ent of	Points Earne		Points	Overall Rating by	
EMH Level Elementary	Performance Indica Academic Achievem Academic Growth		100	Earned .0% 0%	Eligible 40.0/40 45.0/60	Rating Exceeds Meets	by Level 85.0%	Level Performance	

(-) No Reportable Data

(\*) Under state accountability policy, 95% of students must participate in state assessments. Students who are excused from testing by a parent or guardian do not impact the Accountability Participation Rate that is used to determine whether districts and schools meet this requirement. English Learners in their first year in the United States who were eligible to take the ELP assessment count as participants for ELA and EBRW regardless of testing status.

(^) For 2022, districts and schools retain their performance watch status from 2019.



## 0950: Bradford K8 South | 1420: Jefferson County R-1

Elementary School - (1-Year)

Meets

Subject	Student Group	Count	Participation Rate	Mean Scale	Percentile	Pts Earned/	Rating
CMAS - English	Student Group All Students	155	96.9%	Score 761.7	Rank 92	Eligible 8.00/8	Exceeds
Language Arts	Previously Identified for READ Plan	n < 16	-	-	-	0.00/0	-
	English Learners	n < 16	-	-	-	0.00/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	n < 16	-	-	-	0.00/0	-
	Minority Students	19	100.0%	771.5	98	1.00/1	Exceeds
	Students with Disabilities	n < 16	-	-	-	0.00/0	
CMAS - Math	All Students	156	97.5%	753.3	87	8.00/8	Exceeds
	English Learners	n < 16	-	-	-	0.00/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	n < 16	-	-	-	0.00/0	-
	Minority Students	19	100.0%	762.8	96	1.00/1	Exceeds
	Students with Disabilities	n < 16	-	-	-	0.00/0	-
TOTAL	TOTAL	*	*	*	*	18.00/18	Exceeds
ACADEMIC GR	POWTH						
			Participa	tion Media	an Growth	Pts Earned/	
Subject	Student Group	Count			ntile/Rate	Eligible	Rating
CMAS - English	All Students	62	84.0%	)	57.0	6.00/8	Meets
Language Arts	English Learners	n < 20	-		-	0.00/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	n < 20	-			0.00/0	-
	Minority Students	n < 20	-	-		0.00/0	-
	Students with Disabilities	n < 20	-	-		0.00/0	-
CMAS - Math	All Students	n < 20	-	-		0.00/0	-
	English Learners	n < 20	-	-		0.00/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	n < 20	-		-	0.00/0	-
	-				-	0.00/0	-
	Minority Students	n < 20	-		-		
	Minority Students Students with Disabilities	n < 20 n < 20	-		-	0.00/0	-
English Language	Students with Disabilities		-		-	0.00/0	-

This page displays the performance indicator data for the elementary school level. Calculations are based on state assessment results from 2021-22.

\*

Academic Achievement: mean scale scores represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups; participation rates included on this page count parent excusals as non-participants.

\*

\*

6.00/8

Academic Growth: median student growth percentiles and percentages of students on track to meet targets represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups. Cut-scores for the On-Track to EL proficiency metric were re-normed based on 2022 results, as had been planned prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings, refer to the scoring guide at the end of this document.

(\*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

TOTAL

TOTAL

Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric	Rating		Point Value		
-	The district or school's mean scale score (or percent On Track) was*:			Each Disaggregated	ELP On Track	
	see tables below for actual values		All Students	Group	Growth	
	at or above the 85th percentile	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0	
Academic Achievement	at or above the 50th percentile but below the 85th percentile	Meets	6	0.75	1.5	
&	at or above the 15th percentile but below the 50th percentile	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0	
ELP On Track Growth	below the 15th percentile	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5	
	Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (bonus point)				•	
	CMAS ELA Mean scale score at or above 725 (Approaching Expectations	cut-score)		1 bonus point		
	Adadian Crowth Danastila una	·		Each Disaggregated	51.0	
	Median Growth Percentile was:		All Students	Group	ELP	
Acadomic Crowth	• at or above 65	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0	
Academic Growth	• at or above 50 but below 65	Meets	6	0.75	1.5	
	<ul> <li>at or above 35 but below 50</li> </ul>	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0	
	• below 35	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5	
	Mean CO SAT Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (EBRW) scale score was*	*:	All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Group	
	• at or above 554.7	Exceeds	4	1	1.00	
	• at or above 501.3 but below 554.7	Meets	3		0.75	
	<ul> <li>at or above 458.0 but below 501.3</li> </ul>	Approaching	2	0	0.50	
	• below 458.0	Does Not Meet	1	0	0.25	
	Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**:	All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Group		
	• at or above 544.6	4	1	.00		
	<ul> <li>at or above 488.0 but below 544.6</li> </ul>	Meets	3	0	.75	
	<ul> <li>at or above 439.9 but below 488.0</li> </ul>	Approaching	2	0	.50	
	• below 439.9	Does Not Meet	1	0	0.25	
	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rate was (of all schools in 2017):		All Students	Each Disaggi	Each Disaggregated Group	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 0.5%	Exceeds	8		2.0	
Workforce Readiness	• at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%	Meets	6	1	1.5	
workforce keadiness	• at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%	Approaching	4	1	1.0	
	• above 5.0%	Does Not Meet	2 0.5		).5	
	Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2018):	All Students				
	at or above the 75.8%	Exceeds	4			
	• at or above 61.1% but below 75.8%		3			
	• at or above 46.8% but below 61.1%	Approaching	2			
	• below 46.8%	1				
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate (Best of 4-, 5-, 6-, or 7-	All Students Each Disaggregat		regated Grou		
	• at or above 95.0% Exceeds		8			
	• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%	Meets	6	1.5		
	• at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%	Approaching 4		1	L.O	
	• below 75.0%	Does Not Meet	2	(	).5	

Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the Achievement Indicators have been established utilizing baseline year data.\*

	English Language Arts & EBRW for CO PSAT		Mathematics			Science			
Percentile	Elementary	Middle	CO PSAT	Elem	Middle	CO PSAT	Elem	Middle	High
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	423.5	719.1	716.5	413.0	NA	NA	NA
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	461.1	734.3	731.2	448.4	NA	NA	NA
85th percentile	755.9	757.3	505.0	751.9	746.2	491.0	NA	NA	NA

Percent of Students On Track for ELP Growth Targets				
	ELP On Track Growth			
Percentile	Elem	Middle	High	
15th percentile	48.2%	11.5%	12.5%	
50th percentile	61.9%	23.4%	23.4%	
85th percentile	75.8%	36.0%	37.5%	

• at or above 62.5% but below 87.5%

• at or above 37.5% but below 62.5%

Cut-Point: The district or school earned...of the points eligible.

**Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator** 

• at or above 87.5%

Achievement;

Growth;

Postsecondary

Readiness

С

Total Possible Points by Performance Indicator					
Indicator	Total Possible Points	Elementary/Middle	High/District		
	24 points (8 per subject for all students,				
Achievement	4 per subject by disaggregated group, no	40%	30%		
	Science data for 2022)				
	28 total points (8 per subject for all				
Growth	students, 4 per subject by disaggregated	60%	40%		
Growth	group, 2 for ELP growth, 2 for ELP On	0078	4078		
	Track Growth)				
	52 total points (16 for graduation, 4 for				
Postsecondary	matriculation, 16 for dropout, 8 per CO	not applicable	30%		
Readiness	SAT subject)				

neadineou	<ul> <li>below 37.5%</li> </ul>	Does Not Meet				
Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment						
	District	School	Accreditation Category/Plan Type			
	74.0%	not applicable	Accredited w/Distinction (District only)			
Total Framew	ork 56.0%	53.0%	Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)			
Points	44.0%	42.0%	Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)			
	34.0%	34.0%	Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)			
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)			

\* School data used as baseline: 2016 for CMAS & CoAlt ELA & Math (g3-8). 2019 for CO PSAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g9-10). 2022 for ELP On Track to Proficiency Growth as planned prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Exceeds

Meets

Approaching

\*\* 2019 school data used as baseline for CO SAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g11).

August 8, 2022



After a two-year pause in developing performance frameworks, the state is transitioning back to calculating and publishing performance frameworks for all schools and districts following the passage of Senate Bill 22-137. The 2022 Transitional Frameworks can provide schools and districts, and the communities they serve, important information regarding the progress of students toward meeting the state academic standards. During this transition process, it is worth noting conditions that are unique to this year's framework calculations and may impact interpretation of results.

**COVID-19 Consideration**: Students across Colorado have had to adapt to a variety of learning models since spring 2020, including in-person, remote and hybrid instruction. Due to reduced in-person instructional time, some districts may have had to adjust the content covered for students during these years. The impact of these learning disruptions was uneven within and across Colorado districts and schools.

**Participation Rates**: Participation in the state assessments varied significantly across schools, grade levels, and student groups in both 2021 and 2022. These participation rates inform the degree to which results are representative of the student population. Users are encouraged to review the achievement and growth participation rates overall and for each student group included on the Transitional Frameworks when considering the results.

**Growth Data**: Because growth calculations use two years of assessment data (2021 and 2022), elementary and middle schools have less available data than usual. This is due to the 2021 CMAS/CoAlt assessments only being required in alternating grade levels – English language arts was required in grades 3, 5 and 7; and math was required in grades 4, 6 and 8. Growth calculations continue to be weighed the most. The department's analysis found no substantial difference in overall plan type assignments despite some of the gaps in data. PSAT/SAT and WIDA ACCESS were administered in all relevant grades in 2021, so growth calculations remain consistent with previous frameworks. A growth participation rate has been added to the 2022 Transitional Frameworks to provide more context on the percentage of students included in the calculation.

**1-Year Frameworks**: Because of the two-year framework pause, including the suspension of state assessments in 2020, there are not enough data to reasonably generate three-year frameworks. Schools and districts that do not have enough reportable data to calculate a one-year framework will receive a rating of "Insufficient State Data."

**Performance Watch**: One of the provisions of the 2022 Transitional Accountability legislation is a pause on automatically advancing years on or off the accountability clock (i.e., Priority Improvement, Turnaround, On Watch). Districts may submit a request to reconsider to exit the accountability clock or move to On Watch if the district or school earned an Improvement or Performance plan type in 2022 and meets other requirements adopted by the Colorado State Board of Education.

For more information or for help in understanding the Transitional Frameworks, go to the department's accountability website <a href="http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability">http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability</a> or contact us at <a href="http://accountability@cde.state.co.us">accountability@cde.state.co.us</a>