

3378: George Washington High School | 0880: Denver County 1

Grade Levels: H - (1 Year)

Plan Type

Official Rating based on 1-Year SPF Report

Performance Plan: Low Participation

61.1/100 Points Earned

See the final page of this report for a discussion of unique contextual factors that may impact the 2022 transitional performance framework results.

The performance framework evaluates district and school performance on Academic Achievement, Academic Growth, and Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness indicators. The percentage of points earned across all indicators determines the final accreditation rating for a district or the final plan type for a school, which is displayed above. The cut points for final ratings established by the State Board of Education are shown at the right of this page. Failure to meet test participation, safety, and finance assurances may result in a rating being lowered by one level. Refer to the scoring guide near the end of this report for more details on how ratings are determined.

Indicator Rating Totals

_			
	Percent of	Points Earned/	
Performance Indicator	Points Earned	Eligible	Rating
Academic Achievement	59.4%	17.8/30	Approaching
Academic Growth	60.2%	24.1/40	Approaching
Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness	63.9%	19.2/30	Meets

Assurances

Accountability Participation Rate

Rating

Does Not Meet 95%

61.1%

Improvement

Performance

Priority Imp

Turnaround

School plan types are based on the total percentage of points earned.

Performance Plan:

53.0% - 100%

Improvement Plan:

42.0% - 52.9%

Priority Improvement Plan:

34.0% - 41.9%

Test Participation Rates*

	Total	Valid	Participation	Parent	Accountability Participation	
Subject	Records	Scores	Rate	Excusals	Rate	Rating
English Language Arts	952	776	81.5%	26	83.8%	Does Not Meet 95%
Math	952	776	81.5%	26	83.8%	Does Not Meet 95%
Science	317	257	81.1%	11	84.0%	Does Not Meet 95%

Turnaround Plan:

0.0% - 33.9%

Insufficient State Data:

No reportable achievement and growth data.

Summary of Ratings by EMH Level

		Percent of	Points Earned/		Points	Overall Rating by
EMH Level	Performance Indicator	Points Earned	Eligible	Rating	by Level	Level
High	Academic Achievement	59.4%	17.8/30	Approaching	C1 10/	Doufoumonos
	Academic Growth	60.2%	24.1/40	Approaching	61.1%	Performance
	Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness	63.9%	19 2/30	Meets		

⁽⁻⁾ No Reportable Data

^(*) Under state accountability policy, 95% of students must participate in state assessments. Students who are excused from testing by a parent or guardian do not impact the Accountability Participation Rate that is used to determine whether districts and schools meet this requirement. English Learners in their first year in the United States who were eligible to take the ELP assessment count as participants for ELA and EBRW regardless of testing status.

^(^) For 2022, districts and schools retain their performance watch status from 2019.



3378: George Washington High School | 0880: Denver County 1

High School - (1-Year)

ACADEMIC AC	HIEVEMENT						
Subject	Student Group	Count	Participation Rate	Mean Scale Score	Percentile Rank	Pts Earned/ Eligible	Rating
Colorado PSAT -	All Students	488	78.3%	483.5	69	6.00/8	Meets
Evidence Base Reading & Writing	English Learners	103	73.0%	369.2	1	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	149	68.8%	414.4	10	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	300	71.7%	428.0	17	0.50/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	45	55.4%	359.5	1	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
Colorado PSAT -	All Students	488	78.3%	459.0	62	6.00/8	Meets
Math	English Learners	103	73.0%	369.9	1	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	149	68.8%	392.7	4	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	300	71.7%	408.1	12	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
	Students with Disabilities	45	55.4%	327.2	1	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
TOTAL	TOTAL	*	*	*	*	14.25/24	Approaching

ACADEMIC GR	OWTH					
Subject	Student Group	Count	Participation Rate	Median Growth Percentile/Rate	Pts Earned/ Eligible	Rating
Colorado	All Students	349	60.5%	56.0	6.00/8	Meets
PSAT/SAT - Evidence Base	English Learners	42	45.7%	36.0	0.50/1	Approaching
Reading & Writing	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	76	42.9%	40.5	0.50/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	177	49.7%	48.0	0.50/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	n < 20	-	-	0.00/0	-
Colorado	All Students	443	47.2%	47.0	4.00/8	Approaching
PSAT/SAT - Math	English Learners	66	34.2%	36.0	0.50/1	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	113	35.7%	37.0	0.50/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	239	38.6%	42.0	0.50/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	29	29.6%	20.0	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
English Language	English Language Proficiency	59	-	63.0	1.50/2	Meets
Proficiency	On Track to EL Proficiency	53	-	34.0%	1.50/2	Meets
TOTAL	TOTAL	*	*	*	16.25/27	Approaching

This page displays the performance indicator data for the high school level. Calculations are based on state assessment results from 2021-22.

Academic Achievement: mean scale scores represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups; participation rates included on this page count parent excusals as non-participants.

Academic Growth: median student growth percentiles and percentages of students on track to meet targets represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups. Cut-scores for the On-Track to EL proficiency metric were re-normed based on 2022 results, as had been planned prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings, refer to the scoring guide at the end of this document.

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data



3378: George Washington High School | 0880: Denver County 1

High School - (1-Year)

udent Group I Students Iglish Learners ee/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible inority Students udents with Disabilities I Students Iglish Learners ee/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible inority Students udents with Disabilities I Students I Students	262 36 80 160 16 262 36 80 160 16 1,443	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	\$28.2 401.7 458.5 474.0 375.4 501.0 394.4 432.1 449.8 361.5 1.3%	Rate 88.4% 82.2% 81.0% 88.2% 69.6% 88.4% 82.2% 81.0% 88.2% 69.6% *	3.00/4 0.25/1 0.50/1 0.50/1 0.25/1 3.00/4 0.25/1 0.25/1 0.25/1 0.25/1	Rating Meets Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Meets Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching Does Not Meet
iglish Learners ee/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible inority Students udents with Disabilities I Students iglish Learners ee/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible inority Students udents with Disabilities I Students I Students	36 80 160 16 262 36 80 160 16	* * * * * * * * * * * *	401.7 458.5 474.0 375.4 501.0 394.4 432.1 449.8 361.5	82.2% 81.0% 88.2% 69.6% 88.4% 82.2% 81.0% 88.2% 69.6%	0.25/1 0.50/1 0.50/1 0.25/1 3.00/4 0.25/1 0.25/1 0.50/1 0.25/1	Does Not Meet Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Meets Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching
dee/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible inority Students udents with Disabilities Students I Students I Students I Students I Students I Students I Students Lunch Eligible inority Students I Students with Disabilities I Students I Students I Students	80 160 16 262 36 80 160 16 1,443	* * * * * * * * * *	458.5 474.0 375.4 501.0 394.4 432.1 449.8 361.5	81.0% 88.2% 69.6% 88.4% 82.2% 81.0% 88.2% 69.6%	0.50/1 0.50/1 0.25/1 3.00/4 0.25/1 0.25/1 0.50/1 0.25/1	Approaching Approaching Does Not Meet Meets Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching
inority Students udents with Disabilities I Students Iglish Learners ee/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible inority Students udents with Disabilities I Students Iglish Learners	160 16 262 36 80 160 16 1,443	* * * * * * * * * * *	474.0 375.4 501.0 394.4 432.1 449.8 361.5	88.2% 69.6% 88.4% 82.2% 81.0% 88.2% 69.6%	0.50/1 0.25/1 3.00/4 0.25/1 0.25/1 0.50/1 0.25/1	Approaching Does Not Meet Meets Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching
udents with Disabilities I Students Iglish Learners ee/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible inority Students udents with Disabilities I Students Iglish Learners	16 262 36 80 160 16 1,443	* * * * * * * * * *	375.4 501.0 394.4 432.1 449.8 361.5	69.6% 88.4% 82.2% 81.0% 88.2% 69.6%	0.25/1 3.00/4 0.25/1 0.25/1 0.50/1 0.25/1	Does Not Meet Meets Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching
Students glish Learners ee/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible inority Students udents with Disabilities I Students glish Learners	262 36 80 160 16 1,443	* * * * * * *	501.0 394.4 432.1 449.8 361.5	88.4% 82.2% 81.0% 88.2% 69.6%	3.00/4 0.25/1 0.25/1 0.50/1 0.25/1	Meets Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching
iglish Learners ee/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible inority Students udents with Disabilities I Students glish Learners	36 80 160 16 1,443	* * * * *	394.4 432.1 449.8 361.5	82.2% 81.0% 88.2% 69.6%	0.25/1 0.25/1 0.50/1 0.25/1	Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Approaching
ee/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible inority Students udents with Disabilities I Students glish Learners	80 160 16 1,443	* * *	432.1 449.8 361.5	81.0% 88.2% 69.6%	0.25/1 0.50/1 0.25/1	Does Not Meet Approaching
inority Students udents with Disabilities I Students glish Learners	160 16 1,443	* *	449.8 361.5	88.2% 69.6%	0.50/1 0.25/1	Approaching
udents with Disabilities Students glish Learners	16 1,443	*	361.5	69.6%	0.25/1	
Students glish Learners	1,443	*				Does Not Meet
glish Learners			1.3%	*	0.00/0	
J	253				6.00/8	Meets
/D		*	2.8%	*	1.00/2	Approaching
ee/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	503	*	2.0%	*	1.50/2	Meets
inority Students	912	*	1.8%	*	1.50/2	Meets
udents with Disabilities	159	*	3.1%	*	1.00/2	Approaching
Students	203	*	58.1%	*	2.00/4	Approaching
Year Higher Education Institution	*	*	3.9%	*	0.00/0	-
Year Higher Education Institution	*	*	52.2%	*	0.00/0	-
reer & Technical Education	*	*	1.0%	*	0.00/0	-
ilitary Enlistment	*	*	1.0%	*	0.00/0	-
Students	239	5yr	93.3%	*	6.00/8	Meets
glish Learners	58	6yr	93.1%	*	1.50/2	Meets
ee/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	172	7yr	92.4%	*	1.50/2	Meets
inority Students	148	5yr	93.2%	*	1.50/2	Meets
udents with Disabilities	18	5yr	83.3%	*	1.00/2	Approaching
TAL	*	*	*	*	33.25/52	Meets
Ye Ye ili	dents with Disabilities Students ear Higher Education Institution ear Higher Education Institution eer & Technical Education itary Enlistment Students glish Learners e/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible nority Students dents with Disabilities TAL BLE: DISAGGREGATED GRA	dents with Disabilities 159 Students 203 ear Higher Education Institution * ear Higher Education Institution * eer & Technical Education * itary Enlistment * Students 239 glish Learners 58 e/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible 172 nority Students 148 dents with Disabilities 18	dents with Disabilities 159 * Students 203 * ear Higher Education Institution	Students with Disabilities 159 * 3.1%	Students	Students 1.59

Student Group	4-Year Rate	5-Year Rate	6-Year Rate	7-Year Rate	Best Rate
All Students	84.7%	93.3%	93.0%	92.1%	5yr
English Learners	71.1%	89.3%	93.1%	88.0%	6yr
Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	78.5%	91.2%	90.0%	92.4%	7yr
Minority Students	83.3%	93.2%	91.8%	91.6%	5yr
Students with Disabilities	52.9%	83.3%	63.0%	80.0%	5yr

CO SAT: represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups; participation rates count parent excusals as non-participants.

Dropout Rates: represent percentages of students enrolled in grades 7-12 at any time during the year who left and did not subsequently enroll in another Colorado school. Calculations are based on the 2021 End of Year (EOY) data submission.

Matriculation Rates: represent percentages of students who enrolled in a Career & Technical Education (CTE) program or 2- or 4-year institute of higher education in the year following graduation. Students who earned a CTE certificate, college degree, or other industry-recognized credential prior to graduation are also included. Calculations are based on the 2021 graduation cohort.

Graduation Rates: represent percentages of students graduating high school within designated timeframes. Ratings are based on the best of the 4-, 5-, 6-, and 7-year graduation rates. AYGs designate Anticipated Years of Graduation, which are defined as four years after the year that students initially enroll in 9th grade. Calculations are based on data for students with AYGs between 2018 and 2021.

For additional information about ratings, refer to the scoring guide on the last page of this report. For more information about PWR metrics: http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability/pwr

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

Scoring Guide fo	r 2022 Transitional District/School Performance Frame	works			
Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric	Rating		Point Value	
	The district or school's mean scale score (or percent On Track) was*:			Each Disaggregated	ELP On Track
	see tables below for actual values		All Students	Group	Growth
A	at or above the 85th percentile	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0
Academic Achievement &	at or above the 50th percentile but below the 85th percentile	Meets	6	0.75	1.5
ELP On Track Growth	at or above the 15th percentile but below the 50th percentile	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0
ELP On Track Growth	below the 15th percentile	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5
	Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (bonus point)				
	CMAS ELA Mean scale score at or above 725 (Approaching Expectations cu	t-score)		1 bonus point	
	Median Growth Percentile was:			Each Disaggregated	ELP
			All Students	Group	
Academic Growth	• at or above 65	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0
	• at or above 50 but below 65	Meets	6 4	0.75	1.5
	• at or above 35 but below 50	Approaching	2	0.50	1.0 0.5
	• below 35	Does Not Meet	_	0.25	
	Mean CO SAT Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (EBRW) scale score was**:	- 1	All Students		regated Group
	• at or above 554.7	Exceeds	4		.00
	• at or above 501.3 but below 554.7	Meets	3		.75
	• at or above 458.0 but below 501.3	Approaching	2		.50
	• below 458.0	Does Not Meet	1		.25
	Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**:		All Students		regated Group
	• at or above 544.6	Exceeds	4		.00
	• at or above 488.0 but below 544.6	Meets	3		.75
	at or above 439.9 but below 488.0	Approaching	2		.50
	• below 439.9	Does Not Meet	1		.25
	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rate was (of all schools in 2017):		All Students		regated Group
Postsecondary and	• at or below 0.5%	Exceeds	8		2.0
Workforce Readiness	• at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%	Meets	6		1.5
	• at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%	Approaching	4		1.0
	• above 5.0%	Does Not Meet	2		0.5
	Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2018):			All Students	
	• at or above the 75.8%	Exceeds		4	
	• at or above 61.1% but below 75.8%	Meets		3	
	at or above 46.8% but below 61.1%	Approaching		2	
	• below 46.8%	Does Not Meet		1	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate (Best of 4-, 5-, 6-, or 7-year		All Students		regated Group
	• at or above 95.0%	Exceeds	8		2.0
	• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%	Meets	6		1.5
	at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%	Approaching	4		1.0
	• below 75.0%	Does Not Meet	2	(0.5

Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the Achievement Indicators have been established utilizing baseline year data.*

	English Langua	English Language Arts & EBRW for CO PSAT Mathematics Science							
Percentile	Elementary	Middle	CO PSAT	Elem	Middle	CO PSAT	Elem	Middle	High
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	423.5	719.1	716.5	413.0	NA	NA	NA
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	461.1	734.3	731.2	448.4	NA	NA	NA
85th percentile	755.9	757.3	505.0	751.9	746.2	491.0	NA	NA	NA

Percent of Students On Track for ELP Growth Targets						
	ELP On Track Growth					
Percentile	Elem	Middle	High			
15th percentile	48.2%	11.5%	12.5%			
50th percentile	61.9%	23.4%	23.4%			
85th percentile	75.8%	36.0%	37.5%			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator							
Achievement:	Cut-Point: The district or school earnedc	of the points eligible.					
Growth:	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds					
Postsecondary	 at or above 62.5% but below 87.5% 	Meets					
•	 at or above 37.5% but below 62.5% 	Approaching					
Readiness	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet					

Total Possible Points by Performance Indicator						
Indicator	Total Possible Points	Elementary/Middle	High/District			
	24 points (8 per subject for all students,					
Achievement	4 per subject by disaggregated group, no	40%	30%			
	Science data for 2022)					
	28 total points (8 per subject for all					
Growth	students, 4 per subject by disaggregated	60%	40%			
Glowth	group, 2 for ELP growth, 2 for ELP On	00%	40%			
	Track Growth)					
_	52 total points (16 for graduation, 4 for					
Postsecondary	matriculation, 16 for dropout, 8 per CO	not applicable	30%			
Readiness	SAT subject)					

Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment			
	District	School	Accreditation Category/Plan Type
	74.0%	not applicable	Accredited w/Distinction (District only)
Total Framework	56.0%	53.0%	Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)
Points	44.0%	42.0%	Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)
	34.0%	34.0%	Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)

^{*} School data used as baseline: 2016 for CMAS & CoAlt ELA & Math (g3-8). 2019 for CO PSAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g9-10). 2022 for ELP On Track to Proficiency Growth as planned prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

^{** 2019} school data used as baseline for CO SAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g11).



After a two-year pause in developing performance frameworks, the state is transitioning back to calculating and publishing performance frameworks for all schools and districts following the passage of Senate Bill 22-137. The 2022 Transitional Frameworks can provide schools and districts, and the communities they serve, important information regarding the progress of students toward meeting the state academic standards. During this transition process, it is worth noting conditions that are unique to this year's framework calculations and may impact interpretation of results.

COVID-19 Consideration: Students across Colorado have had to adapt to a variety of learning models since spring 2020, including in-person, remote and hybrid instruction. Due to reduced in-person instructional time, some districts may have had to adjust the content covered for students during these years. The impact of these learning disruptions was uneven within and across Colorado districts and schools.

Participation Rates: Participation in the state assessments varied significantly across schools, grade levels, and student groups in both 2021 and 2022. These participation rates inform the degree to which results are representative of the student population. Users are encouraged to review the achievement and growth participation rates overall and for each student group included on the Transitional Frameworks when considering the results.

Growth Data: Because growth calculations use two years of assessment data (2021 and 2022), elementary and middle schools have less available data than usual. This is due to the 2021 CMAS/CoAlt assessments only being required in alternating grade levels – English language arts was required in grades 3, 5 and 7; and math was required in grades 4, 6 and 8. Growth calculations continue to be weighed the most. The department's analysis found no substantial difference in overall plan type assignments despite some of the gaps in data. PSAT/SAT and WIDA ACCESS were administered in all relevant grades in 2021, so growth calculations remain consistent with previous frameworks. A growth participation rate has been added to the 2022 Transitional Frameworks to provide more context on the percentage of students included in the calculation.

1-Year Frameworks: Because of the two-year framework pause, including the suspension of state assessments in 2020, there are not enough data to reasonably generate three-year frameworks. Schools and districts that do not have enough reportable data to calculate a one-year framework will receive a rating of "Insufficient State Data."

Performance Watch: One of the provisions of the 2022 Transitional Accountability legislation is a pause on automatically advancing years on or off the accountability clock (i.e., Priority Improvement, Turnaround, On Watch). Districts may submit a request to reconsider to exit the accountability clock or move to On Watch if the district or school earned an Improvement or Performance plan type in 2022 and meets other requirements adopted by the Colorado State Board of Education.