

2897: Falcon Creek Middle School | 0130: Cherry Creek 5 Grade Levels: M - (1 Year) **Official Rating based on 1-Year SPF Report** Plan Type 66.2/100 **Performance Plan: Low Participation Points Earned** 66.2% See the final page of this report for a discussion of unique contextual factors that may impact the 2022 transitional Performance performance framework results. The performance framework evaluates district and school performance on Academic Achievement, Academic Growth, Improvement and Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness indicators. The percentage of points earned across all indicators Priority Imp determines the final accreditation rating for a district or the final plan type for a school, which is displayed above. The cut points for final ratings established by the State Board of Education are shown at the right of this page. Failure to Turnaround meet test participation, safety, and finance assurances may result in a rating being lowered by one level. Refer to the School plan types are based scoring guide near the end of this report for more details on how ratings are determined. on the total percentage of **Indicator Rating Totals** points earned. Performance Plan: **Points Earned** Eligible 53.0% - 100% Academic Achievement 25.8/40 64.6% Meets Academic Growth 67.4% 40.4/60 Meets Improvement Plan: Assurances 42.0% - 52.9% Priority Improvement Plan: 34.0% - 41.9% Accountability Participation Rate Meets 95% **Test Participation Rates*** Turnaround Plan: 0.0% - 33.9% Participation Insufficient State Data: English Language Arts 739 357 48.3% 378 99.2% Meets 95% No reportable achievement Math 739 358 48.4% 378 99.2% Meets 95% and growth data. 31.7% Science 284 90 191 96.8% Meets 95% Summary of Ratings by EMH Level Middle Academic Achievement 64.6% 25.8/40 Meets 66.2% Performance Academic Growth 67.4% 40.4/60 Meets

(-) No Reportable Data

(*) Under state accountability policy, 95% of students must participate in state assessments. Students who are excused from testing by a parent or guardian do not impact the Accountability Participation Rate that is used to determine whether districts and schools meet this requirement. English Learners in their first year in the United States who were eligible to take the ELP assessment count as participants for ELA and EBRW regardless of testing status.

 $(^{)}$ For 2022, districts and schools retain their performance watch status from 2019.



2.00/2

15.50/23

69.6%

*

Exceeds

Meets

2897: Falcon Creek Middle School | 0130: Cherry Creek 5

Middle School - (1-Year)

ACADEMICACHIEVEMENT							
			Participation	Mean Scale	Percentile	Pts Earned/	
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	Score	Rank	Eligible	Rating
CMAS - English	All Students	345	48.3%	751.2	77	6.00/8	Meets
Language Arts	English Learners	40	53.8%	726.6	21	0.50/1	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	97	49.0%	735.1	37	0.50/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	161	47.3%	745.1	62	0.75/1	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	40	40.4%	720.4	9	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
CMAS - Math	All Students	347	48.4%	735.1	61	6.00/8	Meets
	English Learners	42	55.1%	713.4	9	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	98	49.5%	718.4	19	0.50/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	162	47.3%	727.3	40	0.50/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	40	40.4%	704.2	1	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
TOTAL	TOTAL	*	*	*	*	15.50/24	Meets
ACADEMIC GR	OWTH						
			Participa	tion Medi	an Growth	Pts Earned/	
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	Perce	ntile/Rate	Eligible	Rating
CMAS - English	All Students	128	26.3%)	50.5	6.00/8	Meets
Language Arts	English Learners	n < 20	-		-	0.00/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	33	25.8%)	31.0	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	53	23.4%	23.4% 51.0		0.75/1	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	n < 20	-			0.00/0	-
CMAS - Math	All Students	54	23.3%)	49.0	4.00/8	Approaching
	English Learners	n < 20	-		-	0.00/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	n < 20			0.00/0	-	
	Minority Students	30	25.8%)	48.0	0.50/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	n < 20				0.00/0	-
English Language	English Language Proficiency	24	-		80.0	2.00/2	Exceeds

This page displays the performance indicator data for the middle school level. Calculations are based on state assessment results from 2021-22.

23 *

Academic Achievement: mean scale scores represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups; participation rates included on this page count parent excusals as non-participants.

*

Academic Growth: median student growth percentiles and percentages of students on track to meet targets represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups. Cut-scores for the On-Track to EL proficiency metric were re-normed based on 2022 results, as had been planned prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings, refer to the scoring guide at the end of this document.

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

On Track to EL Proficiency

TOTAL

Proficiency

TOTAL

Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric	Rating		Point Value				
-	The district or school's mean scale score (or percent On Track) was*:			Each Disaggregated	ELP On Track			
	see tables below for actual values		All Students	Group	Growth			
	at or above the 85th percentile	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0			
Academic Achievement	at or above the 50th percentile but below the 85th percentile	Meets	6	0.75	1.5			
&	at or above the 15th percentile but below the 50th percentile	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0			
ELP On Track Growth	below the 15th percentile	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5			
	Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (bonus point)							
	CMAS ELA Mean scale score at or above 725 (Approaching Expectations	cut-score)		1 bonus point				
	Adadian Crowth Danastila una	·		Each Disaggregated	51.0			
	Median Growth Percentile was:	All Students	Group	ELP				
Acadomic Crowth	• at or above 65	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0			
Academic Growth	• at or above 50 but below 65	Meets	6	0.75	1.5			
	 at or above 35 but below 50 	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0			
	• below 35	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5			
	Mean CO SAT Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (EBRW) scale score was*	*:	All Students	Each Disaggi	Each Disaggregated Group			
	• at or above 554.7	Exceeds	4	1	1.00			
	• at or above 501.3 but below 554.7	Meets	3	0	0.75			
	• at or above 458.0 but below 501.3	Approaching	2	0	0.50			
	• below 458.0	Does Not Meet	1	0	0.25			
	Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**:	All Students	Each Disaggi	Each Disaggregated Group				
	at or above 544.6 Exceeds		4	1	1.00			
	 at or above 488.0 but below 544.6 	Meets	3	0	0.75			
	 at or above 439.9 but below 488.0 	Approaching	2	0	0.50			
	• below 439.9	w 439.9 Does Not Meet		0	0.25			
	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rate was (of all schools in 2017):	All Students	Each Disaggi	Each Disaggregated Group				
Postsecondary and	• at or below 0.5%	Exceeds	8	2	2.0			
Workforce Readiness	• at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%	Meets	6	1	1.5			
workforce keadiness	• at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%	Approaching	4	1	1.0			
	• above 5.0% Does N		2	(0.5			
	Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2018):	All Students						
	at or above the 75.8% Exceeds		4					
	at or above 61.1% but below 75.8% Meets		3					
	at or above 46.8% but below 61.1% Approaching		2					
	• below 46.8%	1						
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate (Best of 4-, 5-, 6-, or 7-	All Students Each D		Disaggregated Group				
	• at or above 95.0%	Exceeds	8		2.0			
	• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%	Meets	6		1.5			
	• at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%	Approaching	4		1.0			
	• below 75.0%	Does Not Meet	2).5			

Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the Achievement Indicators have been established utilizing baseline year data.*

	English Language Arts & EBRW for CO PSAT			Mathematics			Science		
Percentile	Elementary	Middle	CO PSAT	Elem	Middle	CO PSAT	Elem	Middle	High
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	423.5	719.1	716.5	413.0	NA	NA	NA
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	461.1	734.3	731.2	448.4	NA	NA	NA
85th percentile	755.9	757.3	505.0	751.9	746.2	491.0	NA	NA	NA

Percent of Students On Track for ELP Growth Targets					
	ELP On Track Growth				
Percentile	Elem	Middle	High		
15th percentile	48.2%	11.5%	12.5%		
50th percentile	61.9%	23.4%	23.4%		
85th percentile	75.8%	36.0%	37.5%		

• at or above 62.5% but below 87.5%

• at or above 37.5% but below 62.5%

Cut-Point: The district or school earned...of the points eligible.

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

• at or above 87.5%

Achievement;

Growth;

Postsecondary

Readiness

С

Total Possible Points by Performance Indicator						
Indicator Total Possible Points		Elementary/Middle	High/District			
	24 points (8 per subject for all students,					
Achievement	4 per subject by disaggregated group, no	40%	30%			
	Science data for 2022)					
	28 total points (8 per subject for all					
Growth	students, 4 per subject by disaggregated	60%	40%			
Growth	group, 2 for ELP growth, 2 for ELP On	0078	40%			
	Track Growth)					
	52 total points (16 for graduation, 4 for					
Postsecondary	matriculation, 16 for dropout, 8 per CO	not applicable	30%			
Readiness	SAT subject)					

neadineou	 below 37.5% 	Does Not Meet					
Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment							
	District	School	Accreditation Category/Plan Type				
	74.0%	not applicable	Accredited w/Distinction (District only)				
Total Framew	ork 56.0%	53.0%	Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)				
Points	44.0%	42.0%	Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)				
	34.0%	34.0%	Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)				
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)				

* School data used as baseline: 2016 for CMAS & CoAlt ELA & Math (g3-8). 2019 for CO PSAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g9-10). 2022 for ELP On Track to Proficiency Growth as planned prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Exceeds

Meets

Approaching

** 2019 school data used as baseline for CO SAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g11).

August 8, 2022



After a two-year pause in developing performance frameworks, the state is transitioning back to calculating and publishing performance frameworks for all schools and districts following the passage of Senate Bill 22-137. The 2022 Transitional Frameworks can provide schools and districts, and the communities they serve, important information regarding the progress of students toward meeting the state academic standards. During this transition process, it is worth noting conditions that are unique to this year's framework calculations and may impact interpretation of results.

COVID-19 Consideration: Students across Colorado have had to adapt to a variety of learning models since spring 2020, including in-person, remote and hybrid instruction. Due to reduced in-person instructional time, some districts may have had to adjust the content covered for students during these years. The impact of these learning disruptions was uneven within and across Colorado districts and schools.

Participation Rates: Participation in the state assessments varied significantly across schools, grade levels, and student groups in both 2021 and 2022. These participation rates inform the degree to which results are representative of the student population. Users are encouraged to review the achievement and growth participation rates overall and for each student group included on the Transitional Frameworks when considering the results.

Growth Data: Because growth calculations use two years of assessment data (2021 and 2022), elementary and middle schools have less available data than usual. This is due to the 2021 CMAS/CoAlt assessments only being required in alternating grade levels – English language arts was required in grades 3, 5 and 7; and math was required in grades 4, 6 and 8. Growth calculations continue to be weighed the most. The department's analysis found no substantial difference in overall plan type assignments despite some of the gaps in data. PSAT/SAT and WIDA ACCESS were administered in all relevant grades in 2021, so growth calculations remain consistent with previous frameworks. A growth participation rate has been added to the 2022 Transitional Frameworks to provide more context on the percentage of students included in the calculation.

1-Year Frameworks: Because of the two-year framework pause, including the suspension of state assessments in 2020, there are not enough data to reasonably generate three-year frameworks. Schools and districts that do not have enough reportable data to calculate a one-year framework will receive a rating of "Insufficient State Data."

Performance Watch: One of the provisions of the 2022 Transitional Accountability legislation is a pause on automatically advancing years on or off the accountability clock (i.e., Priority Improvement, Turnaround, On Watch). Districts may submit a request to reconsider to exit the accountability clock or move to On Watch if the district or school earned an Improvement or Performance plan type in 2022 and meets other requirements adopted by the Colorado State Board of Education.

For more information or for help in understanding the Transitional Frameworks, go to the department's accountability website http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability or contact us at accountability@cde.state.co.us