

1566: Campus Middle School | 0130: Cherry Creek 5

Grade Levels: M - (1 Year)

See the final page of thi performance framework The performance framework The performance framework and Postsecondary & Wu determines the final actor cut points for final ratin meet test participation, scoring guide near the e Indicator Rating T Performance Indicator Academic Achievement Academic Growth Assurances Accountability Participation Subject English Language Arts Math Science				Official Rating base	d on 1-Year SPF Report		
performance frameworf The performance frameworf and Postsecondary & We determines the final acc cut points for final ratin meet test participation, scoring guide near the e Indicator Rating T Performance Indicator Academic Achievement Academic Growth Acssurances Accountability Participation Subject English Language Arts Math Science	rformance Plan: Lov	87.4/100 Points Earned	87.4%				
performance frameword The performance frameword and Postsecondary & We determines the final acc cut points for final ratin meet test participation, scoring guide near the e Indicator Rating T Performance Indicator Academic Achievement Academic Growth Academic Growth Acssurances Accountability Participation Subject English Language Arts Math Science	J	ohn Irwin Award^			-		
and Postsecondary & Wi determines the final acc cut points for final ratin meet test participation, scoring guide near the e Indicator Rating T Performance Indicator Academic Achievement Academic Growth Academic Growth Accountability Participa Countability Participation Subject English Language Arts Math Science	of this report for a discussion nework results.	of unique contextual fac	ctors that may i	mpact the 2022 transitional	Performance		
Indicator Rating T Performance Indicator Academic Achievement Academic Growth Assurances Accountability Participa Test Participation Subject English Language Arts Math Science	The performance framework results. The performance framework evaluates district and school performance on Academic Achievement, Academic Growth, and Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness indicators. The percentage of points earned across all indicators determines the final accreditation rating for a district or the final plan type for a school, which is displayed above. The cut points for final ratings established by the State Board of Education are shown at the right of this page. Failure to meet test participation, safety, and finance assurances may result in a rating being lowered by one level. Refer to the						
Performance Indicator Academic Achievement Academic Growth Assurances Accountability Participa Test Participation Subject English Language Arts Math Science	r the end of this report for mor	e details on how ratings	are determine	d.	School plan types are based on the total percentage of		
Assurances Accountability Participa Test Participation Subject English Language Arts Math Science	cator	Percent of Points Earned 88.5%	Points Earn Eligible 35.4/40	ed/ Rating Exceeds	points earned. <i>Performance Plan:</i> 53.0% - 100%		
Accountability Participa Test Participation Subject English Language Arts Math Science	1	86.6%	52.0/60	Meets			
Test Participation Subject English Language Arts Math Science	_	_	-	Rating	<i>Improvement Plan:</i> 42.0% - 52.9%		
Subject English Language Arts Math Science	Accountability Participation Rate Meets 95%						
English Language Arts Math Science	ation Rates*				Turnaround Plan:		
Summany of Datin	1,353 989 481 249	Participation Parent Rate Excusa 72.3% 356 73.1% 348 51.8% 221			0.0% - 33.9% <i>Insufficient State Data:</i> No reportable achievement and growth data.		
EMH Level Performat Middle Academic	Ratings by EMH Level formance Indicator demic Achievement demic Growth	Percent of Points Earned 88.5% 86.6%	Points Earn Eligible 35.4/40 52.0/60	ed/ Rating Exceeds Meets	Points Overall Rating by by Level Level 87.4% Performance		

(-) No Reportable Data

(*) Under state accountability policy, 95% of students must participate in state assessments. Students who are excused from testing by a parent or guardian do not impact the Accountability Participation Rate that is used to determine whether districts and schools meet this requirement. English Learners in their first year in the United States who were eligible to take the ELP assessment count as participants for ELA and EBRW regardless of testing status.

(^) For 2022, districts and schools retain their performance watch status from 2019.



1566: Campus Middle School | 0130: Cherry Creek 5

Middle School - (1-Year)

			Participation	Mean Scale	Percentile	Pts Earned/		
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	Score	Rank	Eligible	Rating	
CMAS - English Language Arts	All Students	945	72.3%	766.7	95	8.00/8	Exceeds	
	English Learners	104	74.2%	733.3	33	0.50/1	Approaching	
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	122	63.4%	734.8	36	0.50/1	Approaching	
	Minority Students	396	76.2%	763.8	93	1.00/1	Exceeds	
	Students with Disabilities	82	57.9%	725.6	18	0.50/1	Approaching	
CMAS - Math	All Students	961	73.1%	758.7	96	8.00/8	Exceeds	
	English Learners	116	77.8%	737.2	66	0.75/1	Meets	
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	125	64.5%	728.6	42	0.50/1	Approaching	
	Minority Students	407	77.4%	759.0	96	1.00/1	Exceeds	
	Students with Disabilities	82	57.2%	721.9	26	0.50/1	Approaching	
TOTAL	TOTAL	*	*	*	*	21.25/24	Exceeds	
ACADEMIC G	ROWTH							
			Participa	tion Media	an Growth	Pts Earned/		
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	Perce	ntile/Rate	Eligible	Rating	
CMAS - English	All Students	402	45.7%)	64.0	6.00/8	Meets	
Language Arts	English Learners	48	44.5%)	60.5	0.75/1	Meets	

Language Arts	English Learners	48	44.5%	60.5	0.75/1	Meets
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	46	36.2%	53.5	0.75/1	Meets
	Minority Students	167	46.9%	60.0	0.75/1	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	25	35.2%	50.0	0.75/1	Meets
CMAS - Math	All Students	192	42.9%	67.5	8.00/8	Exceeds
	English Learners	25	51.0%	76.0	1.00/1	Exceeds
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	29	42.0%	48.0	0.50/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	93	50.0%	67.0	1.00/1	Exceeds
	Students with Disabilities	27	46.6%	61.0	0.75/1	Meets
English Language	English Language Proficiency	64	-	71.5	2.00/2	Exceeds
Proficiency	On Track to EL Proficiency	63	-	49.2%	2.00/2	Exceeds
TOTAL	TOTAL	*	*	*	24.25/28	Meets

This page displays the performance indicator data for the middle school level. Calculations are based on state assessment results from 2021-22.

Academic Achievement: mean scale scores represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups; participation rates included on this page count parent excusals as non-participants.

Academic Growth: median student growth percentiles and percentages of students on track to meet targets represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups. Cut-scores for the On-Track to EL proficiency metric were re-normed based on 2022 results, as had been planned prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings, refer to the scoring guide at the end of this document.

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric	Rating		Point Value		
-	The district or school's mean scale score (or percent On Track) was*:			Each Disaggregated	ELP On Track	
	see tables below for actual values		All Students	Group	Growth	
	at or above the 85th percentile	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0	
Academic Achievement	at or above the 50th percentile but below the 85th percentile	Meets	6	0.75	1.5	
&	at or above the 15th percentile but below the 50th percentile	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0	
ELP On Track Growth	below the 15th percentile	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5	
	Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (bonus point)				•	
	CMAS ELA Mean scale score at or above 725 (Approaching Expectations	cut-score)		1 bonus point		
	Adadian Crowth Danastila una	·		Each Disaggregated	51.0	
	Median Growth Percentile was:		All Students	Group	ELP	
Acadomic Crowth	• at or above 65	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0	
Academic Growth	• at or above 50 but below 65	Meets	6	0.75	1.5	
	 at or above 35 but below 50 	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0	
	• below 35	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5	
	Mean CO SAT Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (EBRW) scale score was*	*:	All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Group	
	• at or above 554.7	Exceeds	4	1	1.00	
	• at or above 501.3 but below 554.7	Meets	3	0	.75	
	 at or above 458.0 but below 501.3 	Approaching	2	0	.50	
	• below 458.0	Does Not Meet	1	0	.25	
	Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**:	All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Group		
	• at or above 544.6	Exceeds	4	1	.00	
	 at or above 488.0 but below 544.6 	Meets	3	0	.75	
	 at or above 439.9 but below 488.0 	Approaching	2	0	.50	
	• below 439.9	Does Not Meet		0	.25	
	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rate was (of all schools in 2017):		All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Group	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 0.5%	Exceeds	8	2	2.0	
Workforce Readiness	• at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%	Meets	6	1	L.5	
workforce keadiness	• at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%	Approaching	4	1.0		
	above 5.0% Does Not Meet		2).5	
	Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2018):	All Students				
	at or above the 75.8%	Exceeds				
	• at or above 61.1% but below 75.8%	Meets	3			
	• at or above 46.8% but below 61.1%	Approaching	2			
	• below 46.8%	1				
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate (Best of 4-, 5-, 6-, or 7-	All Students Each Disaggreg		regated Group		
	• at or above 95.0%	Exceeds	8		2.0	
	• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%	Meets	6	1	L.5	
	• at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%	Approaching	4		1.0	
	• below 75.0%	Does Not Meet	2			

Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the Achievement Indicators have been established utilizing baseline year data.*

	English Language Arts & EBRW for CO PSAT			Mathematics			Science		
Percentile	Elementary	Middle	CO PSAT	Elem	Middle	CO PSAT	Elem	Middle	High
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	423.5	719.1	716.5	413.0	NA	NA	NA
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	461.1	734.3	731.2	448.4	NA	NA	NA
85th percentile	755.9	757.3	505.0	751.9	746.2	491.0	NA	NA	NA

Percent of Students On Track for ELP Growth Targets					
	ELP On Track Growth				
Percentile	Elem	Middle	High		
15th percentile	48.2%	11.5%	12.5%		
50th percentile	61.9%	23.4%	23.4%		
85th percentile	75.8%	36.0%	37.5%		

• at or above 62.5% but below 87.5%

• at or above 37.5% but below 62.5%

Cut-Point: The district or school earned...of the points eligible.

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

• at or above 87.5%

Achievement;

Growth;

Postsecondary

Readiness

С

Total Possible Points by Performance Indicator						
Indicator	Total Possible Points	Elementary/Middle	High/District			
	24 points (8 per subject for all students,					
Achievement	4 per subject by disaggregated group, no	40%	30%			
	Science data for 2022)					
	28 total points (8 per subject for all					
Growth	students, 4 per subject by disaggregated 60%		40%			
	group, 2 for ELP growth, 2 for ELP On	0078	4078			
	Track Growth)					
	52 total points (16 for graduation, 4 for					
Postsecondary Readiness	matriculation, 16 for dropout, 8 per CO	not applicable	30%			
	SAT subject)					

neadineou	 below 37.5% 	Does Not Meet	
Cut-Points for	Plan/Category Type Assignme	ent	
	District	School	Accreditation Category/Plan Type
	74.0%	not applicable	Accredited w/Distinction (District only)
Total Framew	ork 56.0%	53.0%	Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)
Points	44.0%	42.0%	Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)
	34.0%	34.0%	Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)

* School data used as baseline: 2016 for CMAS & CoAlt ELA & Math (g3-8). 2019 for CO PSAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g9-10). 2022 for ELP On Track to Proficiency Growth as planned prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Exceeds

Meets

Approaching

** 2019 school data used as baseline for CO SAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g11).

August 8, 2022



After a two-year pause in developing performance frameworks, the state is transitioning back to calculating and publishing performance frameworks for all schools and districts following the passage of Senate Bill 22-137. The 2022 Transitional Frameworks can provide schools and districts, and the communities they serve, important information regarding the progress of students toward meeting the state academic standards. During this transition process, it is worth noting conditions that are unique to this year's framework calculations and may impact interpretation of results.

COVID-19 Consideration: Students across Colorado have had to adapt to a variety of learning models since spring 2020, including in-person, remote and hybrid instruction. Due to reduced in-person instructional time, some districts may have had to adjust the content covered for students during these years. The impact of these learning disruptions was uneven within and across Colorado districts and schools.

Participation Rates: Participation in the state assessments varied significantly across schools, grade levels, and student groups in both 2021 and 2022. These participation rates inform the degree to which results are representative of the student population. Users are encouraged to review the achievement and growth participation rates overall and for each student group included on the Transitional Frameworks when considering the results.

Growth Data: Because growth calculations use two years of assessment data (2021 and 2022), elementary and middle schools have less available data than usual. This is due to the 2021 CMAS/CoAlt assessments only being required in alternating grade levels – English language arts was required in grades 3, 5 and 7; and math was required in grades 4, 6 and 8. Growth calculations continue to be weighed the most. The department's analysis found no substantial difference in overall plan type assignments despite some of the gaps in data. PSAT/SAT and WIDA ACCESS were administered in all relevant grades in 2021, so growth calculations remain consistent with previous frameworks. A growth participation rate has been added to the 2022 Transitional Frameworks to provide more context on the percentage of students included in the calculation.

1-Year Frameworks: Because of the two-year framework pause, including the suspension of state assessments in 2020, there are not enough data to reasonably generate three-year frameworks. Schools and districts that do not have enough reportable data to calculate a one-year framework will receive a rating of "Insufficient State Data."

Performance Watch: One of the provisions of the 2022 Transitional Accountability legislation is a pause on automatically advancing years on or off the accountability clock (i.e., Priority Improvement, Turnaround, On Watch). Districts may submit a request to reconsider to exit the accountability clock or move to On Watch if the district or school earned an Improvement or Performance plan type in 2022 and meets other requirements adopted by the Colorado State Board of Education.

For more information or for help in understanding the Transitional Frameworks, go to the department's accountability website http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability or contact us at accountability@cde.state.co.us