

performance framework results. Performance	1480: Century Middle School   0020: Adams 12 Five Star Schools							Grade Le	evels: M - (1 Year)		
Performance Plan: Low Participation       Points Earned         See the final page of this report for a discussion of unique contextual factors that may impact the 2022 transitional performance framework results.       Performance framework evaluates district and school performance on Academic Achievement, Academic Growth, and Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness indicators. The percentage of points earned across all indicators determines the final accreditation rating for a discussion of unique contextual factors school, which is displayed above. The determines the final accreditation rating for a district or the final plan type for a school, which is displayed above. The determines the final accreditation rating for a district or the final plan type for a school, which is displayed above. The determines the final accreditation rating for a district or the final plan type for a school, which is displayed above. The dust of this report for more details on how ratings are determined.       Performance Plan: School Plan types are based on the total percentage of points earned.         Indicator Rating Totals       Performance framework evaluates district or the final school Plan types are based on the total percentage of points earned.       Performance Plan: School Plan types are based on the total percentage of points earned.         Accountability Participation Rate       Performance framework evaluates for the figure of the school Plan types are based on the total percentage of points earned.       Performance Plan: School Plan types are based on the total percentage of points earned.         Subject School Convent       School Plan types are based on the total percentage of points earned.       Performance Plan: School Approaching Academic Achievement Aro.9% 19.2/40 Approaching Academic Achievemen	Plan Type Official Rating base							d on 1-Ye	ar SPF Report		
Performance framework results.     Performance framework veluetase district and school performance on Academic Achievement,							,				
Performance framework results.     Performance framework veluetase district and school performance on Academic Achievement,					_^						
and Postsecondary & Workforce Readiness indicators. The percentage of points earned across all indicators determines the final accreditation rating for a district or the final plan type for a school, which is displayed above. The cut points for final rating setablished by the State Board of Education are shown at the right of this page. Failure to meet test participation, safety, and finance assurances may result in a rating being lowered by one level. Refer to the scoring guide near the end of this report for more details on how ratings are determined. Indicator Rating Totals Performance Indicator Rating Totals Performance Indicator Points Earned Academic Achievement 47.9% 19.2/40 Approaching Academic Achievement 61.4% 36.8/60 Approaching Academic Achievement 74.9% 19.2/40 Approaching Academic Achievement 74.9% Accountability Participation Rates Test Participation Rates Total Valid Participation Parent Participation Rating English Language Arts 863 651 75.4% 206 99.1% Meets 95% Science 294 187 63.6% 104 98.4% Meet	See the final page of this report for a discussion of unique contextual factors that may impact the 2022 transitional performance framework results.							Performar			
Indicator Rating Totals       points earned.         Performance Indicator       Points Earned/       Eligible       Rating       Performance Plan:         Academic Achievement       47.9%       19.2/40       Approaching       53.0%       100%         Academic Growth       61.4%       36.8/60       Approaching       Improvement Plan:       42.0% - 52.9%         Assurances       Rating       Piority Improvement Plan:         Accountability Participation Rates       Improvement Plan:       42.0% - 41.9%       36.0% - 41.9% <td< td=""><td>and Postseco determines th cut points for meet test par</td><td colspan="6">determines the final accreditation rating for a district or the final plan type for a school, which is displayed above. The cut points for final ratings established by the State Board of Education are shown at the right of this page. Failure to meet test participation, safety, and finance assurances may result in a rating being lowered by one level. Refer to the</td><td colspan="2">Priority Imp Turnaround</td></td<>	and Postseco determines th cut points for meet test par	determines the final accreditation rating for a district or the final plan type for a school, which is displayed above. The cut points for final ratings established by the State Board of Education are shown at the right of this page. Failure to meet test participation, safety, and finance assurances may result in a rating being lowered by one level. Refer to the						Priority Imp Turnaround			
Academic Achievement47.9%19.2/40Approaching53.0% - 100%Academic Growth61.4%36.8/60Approaching53.0% - 100%Accountability Participation RatesRatingPriority Improvement Plan: 34.0% - 41.9%36.0% - 41.9%Test Participation Rates*Meets 95%0.0% - 33.9%Total SubjectValid Participation Participation ParticipationNeets 95%0.0% - 33.9%SubjectRecords ScoresScores Rate English Language Arts86365175.4%20399.1%Meets 95%Math86365475.8%20399.1%Meets 95%No reportable achievement and growth data.No reportable achievement and growth data.Summary of Ratings by EMH LevelPerformance IndicatorPoints Earned/ Points EarnedPoints Earned/ EligiblePoints Rating Points CarnedPoints Points EarnedApproaching Points EarnedPoints Points EarnedPoints Points EarnedPoints Points EarnedPoints Points EarnedPoints Points EarnedPoints Points EarnedPoints Points EarnedPoints Points Points Points PointsPoints Points PointsPoints P			tals						Dating	points ear	rned.
Academic GrowthNoteDoty / CApproachingAcademic Growth61.4%36.8/60ApproachingAssurances42.0% - 52.9%Accountability Participation RateRatingAccountability Participation Rates*Meets 95%Test Participation Rates*10.0% - 41.9%SubjectRecordsScoresRateEnglish Language Arts86365365175.4%20620418763.6%10498.4%Meets 95%Summary of Ratings by EMH LevelPerformance IndicatorPoints EarnedPigibleRatingNick Academic Achievement47.9%19.2/40Approaching56.0%PerformancePerformance56.0%PerformanceMiddleAcademic Achievement47.9%19.2/40Approaching56.0%Performance											
Improvement Plan: 42.0% - 52.9%         Rating         Priority Improvement Plan: 42.0% - 52.9%         Rating         Accountability Participation Rates*       Turnaround Plan: 34.0% - 41.9%         Test Participation Rates*       Turnaround Plan: 0.0% - 33.9%         Subject Records Scores Rate Excusals Rate Rating       Improvement Plan: 34.0% - 41.9%         Subject Records Scores Rate Excusals Rate Rating       Improvement Plan: 34.0% - 41.9%         Subject Records Scores Rate Excusals Rate Rating       Improvement Plan: 34.0% - 41.9%         Subject Records Scores Rate Excusals Rate Rating       Improvement Plan: 34.0% - 41.9%         Subject Records Scores Rate Excusals Rate Rating       Improvement Plan: 34.0% - 41.9%         Supject Records Scores Rate Excusals Rate Rating       Improvement Plan: 34.0% - 41.9%         Science 294 187 63.6% 104 99.1%       Meets 95%         Summary of Ratings by EMH Level         Percent of Points Earned/ Points Earned       Points Earned/ Eligible       Points Digible Points Digible Points Po											
Meets 95%       Test Participation Rates*       Test Participation Rates*       Total Valid Participation Parent Participation       Subject     Records       Records     Scores     Rate       Rating       Insufficient State Data:       No reportable achievement       and growth data.       Subject     Records     Scores     Rate     Rating       Insufficient State Data:       No reportable achievement     and growth data.       Math     863     654     75.8%     203     99.1%     Meets 95%       Math     863     654     75.8%     203     99.1%     Meets 95%       Summary of Ratings by EMH Level       Percent of Points Earned/     Points     Points       Percent of Points Earned/       Middle     Academic Achievement     47.9%     19.2/40     Approaching     56.0%     Performance	Assurances								Rating	42.0% - 52 Priority II	2.9% <i>nprovement Plan:</i>
AccountabilityTotalValidParticipationParentParticipationParticipationRatingSubjectRecordsScoresRateExcusalsRateRatingInsufficient State Data:English Language Arts86365175.4%20699.1%Meets 95%No reportable achievement and growth data.Math86365475.8%20399.1%Meets 95%No reportable achievement and growth data.Science29418763.6%10498.4%Meets 95%No reportable achievement and growth data.Summary of Ratings by EMH LevelPercent of Points EarnedPoints Earned/ EligibleRatingPoints by LevelOverall Rating by by LevelMiddleAcademic Achievement47.9%19.2/40Approaching56.0%Performance	Accountabilit	Accountability Participation Rate							Meets 95%	34.0% - 43	L.9%
English Language Arts       863       651       75.4%       206       99.1%       Meets 95%       No reportable achievement and growth data.         Math       863       654       75.8%       203       99.1%       Meets 95%       No reportable achievement and growth data.         Science       294       187       63.6%       104       98.4%       Meets 95%       Meets 95%         Summary of Ratings by EMH Level       Percent of Points Earned/       Points Earned/       Points Earned / Eligible       Points       Overall Rating by by Level       Verall Rating by by Level       Level       Performance Indicator       47.9%       19.2/40       Approaching       56.0%       Performance				Participation	Parent						
English Language Arts86365175.4%20699.1%Meets 95%No reportable achievement and growth data.Math86365475.8%20399.1%Meets 95%No reportable achievement and growth data.Science29418763.6%10498.4%Meets 95%No reportable achievement and growth data.Summary of Ratings by EMH LevelPercent of Points Earned/Points Earned/Points Earned/Points Use Colspan="4">DevelEMH LevelPerformance IndicatorPoints EarnedEligibleRatingby LevelLevelMiddleAcademic Achievement47.9%19.2/40Approaching56.0%Performance	Subject	R	ecords	Scores	Rate	Excusals	Rate	3	Rating	Insufficie	nt State Data:
Math86365475.8%20399.1%Meets 95%and growth data.Science29418763.6%10498.4%Meets 95%Summary of Ratings by EMH LevelPercent of Points Earned/Points Earned/Points EarnedPointsOverall Rating byEMH LevelPerformance IndicatorPoints EarnedEligibleRatingPointsOverall Rating byMiddleAcademic Achievement47.9%19.2/40Approaching56.0%Performance	English Language Arts 863 651										
Summary of Ratings by EMH Level         Percent of Points Earned/       Points       Overall Rating by         EMH Level       Performance Indicator       Points Earned       Eligible       Rating       by Level       Level         Middle       Academic Achievement       47.9%       19.2/40       Approaching       56.0%       Performance											
Percent of     Points Earned/     Points     Overall Rating by       EMH Level     Performance Indicator     Points Earned     Eligible     Rating     by Level     Level       Middle     Academic Achievement     47.9%     19.2/40     Approaching     56.0%     Performance		of Rating			63.6%	104	98.49	%	Meets 95%		
EMH Level       Performance Indicator       Points Earned       Eligible       Rating       by Level       Level         Middle       Academic Achievement       47.9%       19.2/40       Approaching       56.0%       Performance											
Middle Academic Achievement 47.9% 19.2/40 Approaching 56.0% Performance	EMH Level Performance Indicator										
	Middle Academic Achievement		47.	9%	19.2/4	0	Approaching				

(-) No Reportable Data

(\*) Under state accountability policy, 95% of students must participate in state assessments. Students who are excused from testing by a parent or guardian do not impact the Accountability Participation Rate that is used to determine whether districts and schools meet this requirement. English Learners in their first year in the United States who were eligible to take the ELP assessment count as participants for ELA and EBRW regardless of testing status.

(^) For 2022, districts and schools retain their performance watch status from 2019.



0.00/0

0.00/0

0.00/0

13.50/22

-

-

Approaching

-

\_

\*

## 1480: Century Middle School | 0020: Adams 12 Five Star Schools

Middle School - (1-Year)

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT								
Subject	Student Group	Count	Participation Rate	Mean Scale Score	Percentile Rank	Pts Earned/ Eligible	Rating	
CMAS - English	All Students	626	75.4%	737.8	44	4.00/8	Approaching	
Language Arts	English Learners	65	76.7%	728.8	24	0.50/1	Approaching	
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	213	71.7%	730.8	27	0.50/1	Approaching	
	Minority Students	319	76.1%	734.2	35	0.50/1	Approaching	
	Students with Disabilities	82	73.5%	707.3	1	0.25/1	Does Not Meet	
CMAS - Math	All Students	629	75.8%	728.4	42	4.00/8	Approaching	
	English Learners	66	77.9%	716.5	15	0.50/1	Approaching	
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	214	72.0%	718.8	19	0.50/1	Approaching	
	Minority Students	321	76.5%	723.6	30	0.50/1	Approaching	
	Students with Disabilities	82	73.5%	696.2	1	0.25/1	Does Not Meet	
TOTAL	TOTAL	*	*	*	*	11.50/24	Approaching	
ACADEMIC GI	ROWTH							
Subject	Student Group	Count	Participa Rate		an Growth ntile/Rate	Pts Earned/ Eligible	Rating	
CMAS - English	All Students	231	42.4%		50.0	6.00/8	Meets	
Language Arts	English Learners	25	50.0%	)	63.0	0.75/1	Meets	
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	71	36.2%	)	50.0	0.75/1	Meets	
	Minority Students	120	43.9%	)	60.5	0.75/1	Meets	
	Students with Disabilities	24	41.5%	)	29.0	0.25/1	Does Not Meet	
CMAS - Math	All Students	122	46.2%	)	47.0	4.00/8	Approaching	
	English Learners	n < 20	) –		-	0.00/0	-	
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	37	41.8%	)	42.0	0.50/1	Approaching	
	Minority Students	53	44.0%	)	47.0	0.50/1	Approaching	

This page displays the performance indicator data for the middle school level. Calculations are based on state assessment results from 2021-22.

n < 20

n < 20

n < 20

\*

Academic Achievement: mean scale scores represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups; participation rates included on this page count parent excusals as non-participants.

\_

\_

\*

Academic Growth: median student growth percentiles and percentages of students on track to meet targets represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups. Cut-scores for the On-Track to EL proficiency metric were re-normed based on 2022 results, as had been planned prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings, refer to the scoring guide at the end of this document.

(\*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

Students with Disabilities

On Track to EL Proficiency

English Language English Language Proficiency

TOTAL

Proficiency

TOTAL

Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric	Rating		Point Value		
-	The district or school's mean scale score (or percent On Track) was*:			Each Disaggregated	ELP On Track	
	see tables below for actual values		All Students	Group	Growth	
	at or above the 85th percentile	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0	
Academic Achievement	at or above the 50th percentile but below the 85th percentile	Meets	6	0.75	1.5	
&	at or above the 15th percentile but below the 50th percentile	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0	
ELP On Track Growth	below the 15th percentile	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5	
	Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (bonus point)				•	
	CMAS ELA Mean scale score at or above 725 (Approaching Expectations	cut-score)		1 bonus point		
	Adadian Crowth Danastila una	·		Each Disaggregated	51.0	
	Median Growth Percentile was:	All Students	Group	ELP		
Acadomic Crowth	• at or above 65	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0	
Academic Growth	• at or above 50 but below 65	Meets	6	0.75	1.5	
	<ul> <li>at or above 35 but below 50</li> </ul>	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0	
	• below 35	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5	
	Mean CO SAT Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (EBRW) scale score was*	*:	All Students	Each Disaggi	isaggregated Group	
	• at or above 554.7	Exceeds	4	1	1.00	
	• at or above 501.3 but below 554.7	Meets	3	0	.75	
	<ul> <li>at or above 458.0 but below 501.3</li> </ul>	Approaching	2	0	0.50	
	• below 458.0	Does Not Meet	1	0	.25	
	Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**:	All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Group		
	• at or above 544.6	Exceeds	4	1	.00	
	<ul> <li>at or above 488.0 but below 544.6</li> </ul>	Meets	3	0	.75	
	<ul> <li>at or above 439.9 but below 488.0</li> </ul>	Approaching		0	.50	
	• below 439.9	Does Not Meet	1	0	.25	
	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rate was (of all schools in 2017):	All Students	Each Disaggi	Each Disaggregated Group		
Postsecondary and	• at or below 0.5%	Exceeds	8	2	2.0	
Workforce Readiness	• at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%	Meets	6	1	1.5	
workforce keadiness	• at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%	Approaching	4	1.0		
	above 5.0%     Does Not Me		2	(	0.5	
	Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2018):	All Students				
	at or above the 75.8%	Exceeds		4		
	at or above 61.1% but below 75.8% Mee		3			
	at or above 46.8% but below 61.1%     Approaching		2			
	• below 46.8%	1				
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate (Best of 4-, 5-, 6-, or 7-	All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Grou		
	• at or above 95.0%	Exceeds			2.0	
	• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%	Meets	6		1.5	
	• at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%	Approaching	4		1.0	
	• below 75.0%	Does Not Meet	2	(	).5	

Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the Achievement Indicators have been established utilizing baseline year data.\*

	English Langua	English Language Arts & EBRW for CO PSAT		Mathematics			Science		
Percentile	Elementary	Middle	CO PSAT	Elem	Middle	CO PSAT	Elem	Middle	High
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	423.5	719.1	716.5	413.0	NA	NA	NA
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	461.1	734.3	731.2	448.4	NA	NA	NA
85th percentile	755.9	757.3	505.0	751.9	746.2	491.0	NA	NA	NA

Percent of Students On Track for ELP Growth Targets				
	ELP On Track Growth			
Percentile	Elem	Middle	High	
15th percentile	48.2%	11.5%	12.5%	
50th percentile	61.9%	23.4%	23.4%	
85th percentile	75.8%	36.0%	37.5%	

• at or above 62.5% but below 87.5%

• at or above 37.5% but below 62.5%

Cut-Point: The district or school earned...of the points eligible.

**Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator** 

• at or above 87.5%

Achievement;

Growth;

Postsecondary

Readiness

С

Total Possible Points by Performance Indicator					
Indicator	Total Possible Points	Elementary/Middle	High/District		
	24 points (8 per subject for all students,				
Achievement	4 per subject by disaggregated group, no	40%	30%		
	Science data for 2022)	Science data for 2022)			
	28 total points (8 per subject for all				
Growth	students, 4 per subject by disaggregated	60%	40%		
Growth	group, 2 for ELP growth, 2 for ELP On	0078	4078		
	Track Growth)				
	52 total points (16 for graduation, 4 for				
Postsecondary	matriculation, 16 for dropout, 8 per CO	not applicable	30%		
Readiness	SAT subject)				

neadineou	• below 37.5%					
Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment						
	District	School	Accreditation Category/Plan Type			
	74.0%	not applicable	Accredited w/Distinction (District only)			
Total Framew	ork 56.0%	53.0%	Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)			
Points	44.0%	42.0%	Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)			
	34.0%	34.0%	Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)			
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)			

\* School data used as baseline: 2016 for CMAS & CoAlt ELA & Math (g3-8). 2019 for CO PSAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g9-10). 2022 for ELP On Track to Proficiency Growth as planned prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Exceeds

Meets

Approaching

\*\* 2019 school data used as baseline for CO SAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g11).

August 8, 2022



After a two-year pause in developing performance frameworks, the state is transitioning back to calculating and publishing performance frameworks for all schools and districts following the passage of Senate Bill 22-137. The 2022 Transitional Frameworks can provide schools and districts, and the communities they serve, important information regarding the progress of students toward meeting the state academic standards. During this transition process, it is worth noting conditions that are unique to this year's framework calculations and may impact interpretation of results.

**COVID-19 Consideration**: Students across Colorado have had to adapt to a variety of learning models since spring 2020, including in-person, remote and hybrid instruction. Due to reduced in-person instructional time, some districts may have had to adjust the content covered for students during these years. The impact of these learning disruptions was uneven within and across Colorado districts and schools.

**Participation Rates**: Participation in the state assessments varied significantly across schools, grade levels, and student groups in both 2021 and 2022. These participation rates inform the degree to which results are representative of the student population. Users are encouraged to review the achievement and growth participation rates overall and for each student group included on the Transitional Frameworks when considering the results.

**Growth Data**: Because growth calculations use two years of assessment data (2021 and 2022), elementary and middle schools have less available data than usual. This is due to the 2021 CMAS/CoAlt assessments only being required in alternating grade levels – English language arts was required in grades 3, 5 and 7; and math was required in grades 4, 6 and 8. Growth calculations continue to be weighed the most. The department's analysis found no substantial difference in overall plan type assignments despite some of the gaps in data. PSAT/SAT and WIDA ACCESS were administered in all relevant grades in 2021, so growth calculations remain consistent with previous frameworks. A growth participation rate has been added to the 2022 Transitional Frameworks to provide more context on the percentage of students included in the calculation.

**1-Year Frameworks**: Because of the two-year framework pause, including the suspension of state assessments in 2020, there are not enough data to reasonably generate three-year frameworks. Schools and districts that do not have enough reportable data to calculate a one-year framework will receive a rating of "Insufficient State Data."

**Performance Watch**: One of the provisions of the 2022 Transitional Accountability legislation is a pause on automatically advancing years on or off the accountability clock (i.e., Priority Improvement, Turnaround, On Watch). Districts may submit a request to reconsider to exit the accountability clock or move to On Watch if the district or school earned an Improvement or Performance plan type in 2022 and meets other requirements adopted by the Colorado State Board of Education.

For more information or for help in understanding the Transitional Frameworks, go to the department's accountability website <a href="http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability">http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability</a> or contact us at <a href="http://accountability@cde.state.co.us">accountability@cde.state.co.us</a>