

Final 2019 School Performance Framework

8846: Thu	8846: Thunder Mountain Elementary School 2000: Mesa County Valley 51						ley 51	Leve	els: E - (1-Year)
Plan Type	9					Officia	al Rating based o	n 1-Year	SPF Report
Perfor	mance	Plan: N	leets 9	5% Particip	oation	7:	1.1/100		
corner of the earned on the points earned scoring guide	black title e official fr d across all e to determ	bar above amework I performa nine the pl	e. Schools . The over ance indic an type. F	are assigned a all percent of fra ators. The offici ailing to meet t	plan type ba amework po al percent of he accounta	sed on the overa ints represents f points earned bility participat	ed in the right hand all percent of points the percentage of is matched to the ion rate of 95% on two g guide at the end of	Performance Improvemen Priority Imp	
Indicator Performance Academic Ac	Rating T	otals		% Pts Earne 63,3%	ed Weight	ted Pts Earne	Rating Meets		
Academic Ac				76.3%		25.3/40 45.8/60	Meets	53.0% - 100.	
Assurance	25							<i>Improvemen</i> 42.0% - 52.9	%
Accountabili	ty Participa	ation Rate	9				Rating Meets 95%	<i>Priority Imp.</i> 34.0% - 41.9	rovement Plan: %
Test Parti	cination	Datos	**					<i>Accredited v</i> <i>Plan:</i> 0.0% - 33.9%	with Turnaround
Subject	cipación	Total Records	Valid Scores	Participation Rate		Accountability Participation	Rating	<i>Insufficient</i> reportable a growth data	chievement and
English Lang	uage Arts	261	255	97.7%	6	100.0%	Meets 95%		
Math		261	254	97.3%	7	100.0%	Meets 95%		
Science Summary	of Ratir	92 ngs by E	89 MH Lev	96.7% /el	3	100.0%	Meets 95%		
					Woighted	Dtc Earned/	Dating	0/ Dte by	Dating
EMH Level Elementary		ance Indica c Achiever		% Pts Earn 63.3%		Pts Earned/ 5.3/40	Rating Meets	% Pts by	Rating
	Academie			76.3%		5.8/60	Meets	71.1%	Performance

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data | For additional information, refer to the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(**) Participation ratings are based on the Accountability Participation Rate, which excludes Parent Excusals from the denominator and counts English

Learners in their first year in the United States who were eligible to take the ELP assessment as participants regardless of whether they tested.

 $(^{)}$ Schools with an Insufficient State Data plan type will maintain performance watch status from the prior year.

(^^) ESSA Identification. For more information: http://www.cde.state.co.us/fedprograms/essa_csi_tsi



Final 2019 School Performance Framework

54

741.4

Eligible

6/8

8846: Thunder Mountain Elementary School | 2000: Mesa County Valley 51

Elementary - (1-Year)

Meets

ACADEMIC	ACHIEVEMENT			
			Participation	Μ
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	
CMAS -	All Students	239	97.7%	
English	Previously Identified for READ Plan	43	95.8%	
Language Arts	English Learners	n < 16	-	

0101730	/ III Students	200	37.770	/ +±.+	34	0/0	Wieceus
English	Previously Identified for READ Plan	43	95.8%	710.4	*	0/0	-
Language Arts	English Learners	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	111	96.1%	737.5	46	0.5/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	75	100.0%	735.8	42	0.5/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	29	94.1%	714.2	4	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
CMAS - Math	All Students	238	97.3%	731.9	43	4/8	Approaching
	English Learners	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	110	95.3%	728.1	34	0.5/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	74	98.7%	726.6	31	0.5/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	29	94.1%	721.3	19	0.5/1	Approaching
CMAS -	All Students	84	96.7%	628.3	68	6/8	Meets
Science	English Learners	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	36	92.9%	604.9	53	0.75/1	Meets
	Minority Students	28	100.0%	604.6	52	0.75/1	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
TOTAL		*	*	*	*	20.25/32	Meets

ACADEMIC GROWTH

			Median Growth	Pts Earned/	
Subject	Student Group	Count	Percentile/Rate	Eligible	Rating
CMAS -	All Students	157	53.0	6/8	Meets
English	English Learners	n < 20	-	0/0	-
Language Arts	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	66	49.5	0.5/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	50	57.5	0.75/1	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	n < 20	-	0/0	-
CMAS - Math	All Students	157	64.0	6/8	Meets
	English Learners	n < 20	-	0/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	66	69.0	1/1	Exceeds
	Minority Students	50	69.5	1/1	Exceeds
	Students with Disabilities	n < 20	-	0/0	-
ELP	English Language Proficiency (ELP)	n < 20	-	0/0	-
	On Track to Proficiency	n < 20	-	0/0	-
TOTAL		*	*	15.25/20	Meets

This page displays the performance indicator data for the elementary school level. For the 1-Year report, calculations are based on state assessment results from 2018-19. Multi-Year reports include results for years 2016-17 through 2018-19.

Academic Achievement: mean scale scores represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups; participation rates included on this page count parent excusals as non-participants.

Academic Growth: median student growth percentiles and percentages of students on track to meet targets represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups. The On-Track to EL proficiency metric is included for points for the first time in 2019.

For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings, refer to the scoring guide at the end of this document.

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric	Rating		Point Value		
	The district or school's mean scale score (or percent On Track) was*:	• •		Each Disaggregated	ELP On Track	
	see tables below for actual values		All Students	Group	Growth	
Academic Achievement	 at or above the 85th percentile 	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0	
	 at or above the 50th percentile but below the 85th percentile 	Meets	6	0.75	1.5	
∝ ELP On Track Growth	 at or above the 15th percentile but below the 50th percentile 	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0	
	below the 15th percentile	2	0.25	0.5		
	Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (bonus point)					
	 CMAS ELA Mean scale score at or above 725 (Approaching Expectations cut 	-score)		1 bonus point		
	Median Growth Percentile was:		All Students	Each Disaggregated Group	ELP	
	• at or above 65	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0	
Academic Growth	at or above 50 but below 65	Meets	6	0.75	1.5	
	at or above 35 but below 50	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0	
	• below 35	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5	
	Mean CO SAT Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (EBRW) scale score was**:		All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Grou	
	at or above 554.7	Exceeds	4	1	00	
	 at or above 501.3 but below 554.7 	Meets	3	0	.75	
	 at or above 458.0 but below 501.3 	Approaching	2	0	.50	
	• below 458.0	Does Not Meet	1	0	.25	
	Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**:	All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Grou		
	at or above 544.6	Exceeds	4	1	.00	
	at or above 488.0 but below 544.6	Meets	3	0	.75	
	 at or above 439.9 but below 488.0 	Approaching	2	0	.50	
	• below 439.9	Does Not Meet	1	0	.25	
	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rate was (of all schools in 2017):		All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Grou	
	at or below 0.5%	Exceeds	8	2	2.0	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%	Meets	6	:	1.5	
workforce Readiness	at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%	Approaching	4	1	1.0	
	• above 5.0%	Does Not Meet		2 (
	Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2018):			All Students		
	at or above the 75.8%	Exceeds		4		
	 at or above 61.1% but below 75.8% 	Meets		3		
	at or above 46.8% but below 61.1%	Approaching		2		
	• below 46.8%	Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate (Best of 4-, 5-, 6-, or 7-yea	r):	All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Grou	
	• at or above 95.0%	Exceeds	8		2.0	
	• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%	Meets	6	1	1.5	
	• at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%	Approaching	4	1	1.0	
	• below 75.0%	Does Not Meet	2	(0.5	

Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the Achievement Indicators have been established utilizing baseline year data.*

English Language Arts & EBRW for CO PSAT			Mathematics			Science					
			CO PSAT	CO PSAT			CO PSAT	CO PSAT			
Percentile	Elem	Middle	(1-Year)	(MultiYear)†	Elem	Middle	(1-Year)	(MultiYear)	Elem	Middle	High
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	423.5	427.5	719.1	716.5	413.0	415.5	531.9	527.7	564.4
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	461.1	463.7	734.3	731.2	448.4	447.4	601.7	591.4	609.2
85th percentile	755.9	757.3	505.0	506.1	751.9	746.2	491.0	491.1	655.9	643.3	651.3

Percent of Students On Track for ELP Growth Targets						
	ELP On Track Growth					
Percentile	Elem	Middle	High			
15th percentile	63.5%	30.4%	30.4%			
50th percentile	72.4%	42.9%	45.2%			
85th percentile	82.4%	60.0%	63.0%			

85th percent	tile	82.4%	60.0%	63.0	0%			
							_	_
Cut-Points for	Each Po	erformance I	ndicator					Gr
Achievement;	Cut-Po	int: The distric	t or school ea	rnedof	the p	oints eligible.	1	
Growth:	• at o	r above 87.5%				Exceeds		
Postsecondary	• at o	r above 62.5%	but below 87.	5%		Meets		Postse
Readiness	• at o	r above 37.5%	but below 62.	5%	Ар	proaching		Rea
Reaumess	 belo 	w 37.5%			Doe	s Not Meet		

Total Possible	otal Possible Points by Performance Indicator								
Indicator	Total Possible Points	Elementary/Middle	High/District						
Achievement	36 points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group)	40% 30%							
Growth	28 total points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group, 2 for ELP growth, 2 for ELP On Track Growth)	60%	40%						
Postsecondary Readiness	52 total points (16 for graduation, 4 for matriculation, 16 for dropout, 8 per CO SAT subject)	not applicable	30%						

Cut-Points for Plan/Ca	Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment							
	District	School	Accreditation Category/Plan Type					
74.0% not applicable Accredited w/Distinction (District	Accredited w/Distinction (District only)							
Total Framework Points	56.0%	53.0%	Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)					
Total Framework Points	44.0%	42.0%	Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)					
	34.0%	34.0%	Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)					
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)					

* School data used as baseline: 2016 for CMAS & CoAlt ELA & Math (g3-8), CMAS Science (g5, 8, 11). 2019 for CO PSAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g9-10). 2019 for ELP On Track to Proficiency Growth.

** 2019 school data used as baseline for CO SAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g11).

+ 2019 Multiyear high school EBRW/ELA & Math cuts based on 2-years of g9 CO PSAT/CoAlt and 3-years of g10 CO PSAT/CoAlt.

August 13, 2019



2019 Performance Framework Addendum

8846: Thunder Mountain Elementary School | 2000: Mesa County Valley 51

Elementary - (1-Year)

			Participation		
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	Mean Scale Score	Percentile Rank
CMAS -	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 16	-	-	-
English	Asian	n < 16	-	-	-
Language Arts	Black	n < 16	-	-	-
	Hispanic	59	100.0%	732.4	34
	White	164	96.7%	744.0	60
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 16	-	-	-
	Two or More Races	n < 16	-	-	-
	Male	128	97.2%	739.8	50
	Female	111	98.3%	743.3	59
	Gifted	n < 16	-	-	-
CMAS - Math	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 16	-	-	-
	Asian	n < 16	-	-	-
	Black	n < 16	-	-	-
	Hispanic	58	98.4%	724.6	26
	White	164	96.7%	734.3	50
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 16	-	-	-
	Two or More Races	n < 16	-	-	-
	Male	128	97.2%	735.0	51
	Female	110	97.5%	728.4	35
	Gifted	n < 16	-	-	-
CMAS -	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 16	-	-	-
Science	Asian	n < 16	-	-	-
	Black	n < 16	-	-	-
	Hispanic	19	100.0%	576.3	35
	White	56	95.2%	640.2	75
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 16	-	-	-
	Two or More Races	n < 16	-	-	-
	Male	45	94.3%	637.8	73
	Female	39	100.0%	617.4	61
	Gifted	n < 16	-	-	-

ACADEMIC GROWTH

Subject	Student Group	Count	Median Growth Percentile
CMAS -	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 20	-
English	Asian	n < 20	-
Language Arts	Black	n < 20	-
	Hispanic	38	57.5
	White	107	48.0
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 20	-
	Two or More Races	n < 20	-
	Male	83	49.0
	Female	74	57.5
	Gifted	n < 20	-
CMAS - Math	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 20	-
	Asian	n < 20	-
	Black	n < 20	-
	Hispanic	38	64.0
	White	107	59.0
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 20	-
	Two or More Races	n < 20	-
	Male	83	60.0
	Female	74	66.5
	Gifted	n < 20	-

Percentile ranks are calculated relative to results for all students. Information about how the results for a specific student group rank against mean scale scores for other students within that same group are available here: http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability/performanceframeworkresults