

## Final 2019 School Performance Framework

#### 5244: Loma Elementary School | 2000: Mesa County Valley 51

Levels: E - (1-Year)

Plan Type					Officia	al Rating based o	n 1-Year	SPF Report
Performanc	e Plan: N	/leets 9	95% Particip	ation	5	6.7/100		
	tle bar abov I framework all perform ermine the p	e. Schools The over ance indic lan type. F	are assigned a prall percent of fra cators. The offici Failing to meet t	olan type ba amework po al percent o ne accounta	ised on the over bints represents f points earned ability participat	all percent of points the percentage of	Performanc Improvemen Priority Imp Turnaround	nt
Indicator Rating							School plan	types are based percentage of d:
Performance Indicat Academic Achieveme Academic Growth			% Pts Earne 50.0% 61.1%		ted Pts Earne 20.0/40 36.7/60	Rating Approaching Approaching	<i>Performance</i> 53.0% - 100.	
Assurances							<i>Improvemen</i> 42.0% - 52.9	
Accountability Parti	cipation Rate	e				Rating Meets 95%	<i>Priority Imp</i> 34.0% - 41.9	<b>rovement Plan:</b> %
Test Participati	on Datos;	**					<i>Accredited v</i> <i>Plan:</i> 0.0% - 33.9%	with Turnaround
Subject	Total Records	Valid Scores	Participation Rate		Accountability Participation	Rating	<i>Insufficient</i> reportable a growth data	chievement and
English Language Ar	ts 137	135	98.5%	1	100.0%	Meets 95%		
Math	137	136	99.3%	1	100.0%	Meets 95%		
Science	46	42	91.3%	1	93.3%	Does Not Meet 95%		
Summary of Ra	tings by E	EMH Lev	vel					
EMH Level Perfo	mance Indic	ator	% Pts Earn	Weighted	l Pts Earned/	Rating	% Pts by	Rating
	mic Achieve mic Growth	ment	50.0% 61.1%		0.0/40 6.7/60	Approaching Approaching	56.7%	Performance

(\*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data | For additional information, refer to the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

- (\*\*) Participation ratings are based on the Accountability Participation Rate, which excludes Parent Excusals from the denominator and counts English
- Learners in their first year in the United States who were eligible to take the ELP assessment as participants regardless of whether they tested.
- $(^{)}$  Schools with an Insufficient State Data plan type will maintain performance watch status from the prior year.

(^^) ESSA Identification. For more information: <u>http://www.cde.state.co.us/fedprograms/essa\_csi\_tsi</u>



# Final 2019 School Performance Framework

#### 5244: Loma Elementary School | 2000: Mesa County Valley 51

Elementary - (1-Year)

### ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

			Participation	Mean Scale	Percentile	Pts Earned/	
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	Score	Rank	Eligible	Rating
CMAS -	All Students	126	98.5%	732.5	34	4/8	Approaching
English	Previously Identified for READ Plan	23	100.0%	691.7	*	0/0	-
Language Arts	English Learners	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	50	100.0%	725.9	20	0.5/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	25	100.0%	725.9	20	0.5/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
CMAS - Math	All Students	126	99.3%	734.2	49	4/8	Approaching
	English Learners	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	50	100.0%	724.5	26	0.5/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	25	100.0%	726.8	31	0.5/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
CMAS -	All Students	40	91.3%	580.0	37	4/8	Approaching
Science	English Learners	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	18	95.0%	562.6	27	0.5/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
	Students with Disabilities	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
TOTAL		*	*	*	*	14.5/29	Approaching

### ACADEMIC GROWTH

			Median Growth	Pts Earned/	
Subject	Student Group	Count	Percentile/Rate	Eligible	Rating
CMAS -	All Students	83	43.0	4/8	Approaching
English	English Learners	n < 20	-	0/0	-
Language Arts	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	37	48.0	0.5/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	n < 20	-	0/0	-
	Students with Disabilities	n < 20	-	0/0	-
CMAS - Math	All Students	83	51.0	6/8	Meets
	English Learners	n < 20	-	0/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	37	48.0	0.5/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	n < 20	-	0/0	-
	Students with Disabilities	n < 20	-	0/0	-
ELP	English Language Proficiency (ELP)	n < 20	-	0/0	-
	On Track to Proficiency	n < 20	-	0/0	-
TOTAL		*	*	11/18	Approaching

This page displays the performance indicator data for the elementary school level. For the 1-Year report, calculations are based on state assessment results from 2018-19. Multi-Year reports include results for years 2016-17 through 2018-19.

Academic Achievement: mean scale scores represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups; participation rates included on this page count parent excusals as non-participants.

Academic Growth: median student growth percentiles and percentages of students on track to meet targets represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups. The On-Track to EL proficiency metric is included for points for the first time in 2019.

For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings, refer to the scoring guide at the end of this document.

(\*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric	Rating		Point Value		
	The district or school's mean scale score (or percent On Track) was*:	• •		Each Disaggregated	ELP On Track	
	see tables below for actual values		All Students	Group	Growth	
Academic Achievement	<ul> <li>at or above the 85th percentile</li> </ul>	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0	
	<ul> <li>at or above the 50th percentile but below the 85th percentile</li> </ul>	Meets	6	0.75	1.5	
∝ ELP On Track Growth	<ul> <li>at or above the 15th percentile but below the 50th percentile</li> </ul>	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0	
	below the 15th percentile	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5	
	Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (bonus point)					
	<ul> <li>CMAS ELA Mean scale score at or above 725 (Approaching Expectations cut</li> </ul>	-score)		1 bonus point		
	Median Growth Percentile was:		All Students	Each Disaggregated Group	ELP	
	• at or above 65	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0	
Academic Growth	at or above 50 but below 65	Meets	6	0.75	1.5	
	at or above 35 but below 50	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0	
	• below 35	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5	
	Mean CO SAT Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (EBRW) scale score was**:		All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Grou	
	at or above 554.7	Exceeds	4	1	1.00	
	<ul> <li>at or above 501.3 but below 554.7</li> </ul>	Meets	3	0	.75	
	<ul> <li>at or above 458.0 but below 501.3</li> </ul>	Approaching	2	0	.50	
	• below 458.0	Does Not Meet	1	0	.25	
	Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**:	All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Grou		
	at or above 544.6	Exceeds	4	1	.00	
	at or above 488.0 but below 544.6	Meets	3	0	.75	
	<ul> <li>at or above 439.9 but below 488.0</li> </ul>	Approaching	2	0	.50	
	• below 439.9	Does Not Meet	1	0	.25	
	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rate was (of all schools in 2017):		All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Grou	
	at or below 0.5%	Exceeds	8	2	2.0	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%	Meets	6	:	1.5	
workforce Readiness	at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%	Approaching	4	1	1.0	
	• above 5.0%	Does Not Meet	2	(	).5	
	Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2018):			All Students		
	at or above the 75.8%	Exceeds		4		
	<ul> <li>at or above 61.1% but below 75.8%</li> </ul>	Meets		3		
	at or above 46.8% but below 61.1%	Approaching		2		
	• below 46.8%	Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate (Best of 4-, 5-, 6-, or 7-yea	r):	All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Grou	
	• at or above 95.0%	Exceeds	8		2.0	
	• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%	Meets	6	1	1.5	
	• at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%	Approaching	4	1	1.0	
	• below 75.0%	Does Not Meet	2	(	0.5	

#### Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the Achievement Indicators have been established utilizing baseline year data.\*

	English	Language Arts	s & EBRW for	CO PSAT		Mather	natics			Science	
			CO PSAT	CO PSAT			CO PSAT	CO PSAT			
Percentile	Elem	Middle	(1-Year)	(MultiYear)†	Elem	Middle	(1-Year)	(MultiYear)	Elem	Middle	High
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	423.5	427.5	719.1	716.5	413.0	415.5	531.9	527.7	564.4
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	461.1	463.7	734.3	731.2	448.4	447.4	601.7	591.4	609.2
85th percentile	755.9	757.3	505.0	506.1	751.9	746.2	491.0	491.1	655.9	643.3	651.3

Percent of Students On Track for ELP Growth Targets							
	ELP	ELP On Track Growth					
Percentile	Elem	Middle	High				
15th percentile	63.5%	30.4%	30.4%				
50th percentile	72.4%	42.9%	45.2%				
85th percentile	82.4%	60.0%	63.0%				

85th percent	tile	82.4%	60.0%	63.0	0%			
							_	_
<b>Cut-Points for</b>	Each Po	erformance I	ndicator					Gr
Achievement;	Cut-Po	int: The distric	t or school ea	rnedof	the p	oints eligible.		
Growth:	• at o	r above 87.5%				Exceeds		
Postsecondary	• at o	r above 62.5%	but below 87.	5%		Meets		Postse
Readiness	• at o	r above 37.5%	but below 62.	5%	Ар	proaching		Rea
Reaumess	<ul> <li>belo</li> </ul>	w 37.5%			Doe	s Not Meet		

Total Possible	otal Possible Points by Performance Indicator										
Indicator	Total Possible Points	Elementary/Middle	High/District								
Achievement	36 points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group)	40% 30%									
Growth	28 total points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group, 2 for ELP growth, 2 for ELP On Track Growth)	60%	40%								
Postsecondary Readiness	52 total points (16 for graduation, 4 for matriculation, 16 for dropout, 8 per CO SAT subject)	not applicable	30%								

Cut-Points for Plan/Ca	Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment								
	District	School	Accreditation Category/Plan Type						
	74.0%	not applicable	Accredited w/Distinction (District only)						
Total Framework Points	56.0% 5		Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)						
Total Framework Points	44.0%	42.0%	Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)						
	34.0%	34.0%	Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)						
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)						

\* School data used as baseline: 2016 for CMAS & CoAlt ELA & Math (g3-8), CMAS Science (g5, 8, 11). 2019 for CO PSAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g9-10). 2019 for ELP On Track to Proficiency Growth.

\*\* 2019 school data used as baseline for CO SAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g11).

+ 2019 Multiyear high school EBRW/ELA & Math cuts based on 2-years of g9 CO PSAT/CoAlt and 3-years of g10 CO PSAT/CoAlt.

August 13, 2019



#### 5244: Loma Elementary School | 2000: Mesa County Valley 51

Elementary - (1-Year)

ACADEMIC	ACHIEVEMENT				
			Participation		
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	Mean Scale Score	Percentile Rank
CMAS -	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 16	-	-	-
English	Asian	n < 16	-	-	-
Language Arts		n < 16	-	-	-
	Hispanic	20	100.0%	724.3	18
	White	101	98.2%	734.2	38
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 16	-	-	-
	Two or More Races	n < 16	-	-	-
	Male	66	98.6%	728.2	24
	Female	60	98.5%	737.3	45
	Gifted	n < 16	-	-	-
CMAS - Math	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 16	-	-	-
	Asian	n < 16	-	-	-
	Black	n < 16	-	-	-
	Hispanic	20	100.0%	721.8	20
	White	101	99.1%	736.0	54
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 16	-	-	-
	Two or More Races	n < 16	-	-	-
	Male	66	98.6%	733.0	46
	Female	60	100.0%	735.6	53
	Gifted	n < 16	-	-	-
CMAS -	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 16	-	-	-
Science	Asian	n < 16	-	-	-
	Black	n < 16	-	-	-
	Hispanic	n < 16	-	-	-
	White	33	89.7%	580.5	37
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 16	-	-	-
	Two or More Races	n < 16	-	-	-
	Male	23	92.3%	584.6	40
	Female	17	90.0%	573.9	34
	Gifted	n < 16	-	-	-

### ACADEMIC GROWTH

Subject	Student Group	Count	Median Growth Percentile
CMAS -	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 20	-
English	Asian	n < 20	-
Language Arts	5 Black	n < 20	-
	Hispanic	n < 20	-
	White	68	45.5
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 20	-
	Two or More Races	n < 20	-
	Male	47	43.0
	Female	36	45.0
	Gifted	n < 20	-
CMAS - Math	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 20	-
	Asian	n < 20	-
	Black	n < 20	-
	Hispanic	n < 20	-
	White	68	52.5
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 20	-
	Two or More Races	n < 20	-
	Male	47	54.0
	Female	36	50.0
	Gifted	n < 20	-

Percentile ranks are calculated relative to results for all students. Information about how the results for a specific student group rank against mean scale scores for other students within that same group are available here: <a href="http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability/performanceframeworkresults">http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability/performanceframeworkresults</a>