

Final 2019 School Performance Framework

7890: Cactus Valley Elementary School | 1195: Garfield Re-2

Levels: E - (1-Year)

Plan Type	9					Officia	al Rating based o	n 1-Year	SPF Report
Perfor	mance	Plan: M	leets 9	5% Particip	ation	6	9.8/100		
				•					69.8%
The official p	lontunoio	bacadan	oithoutho		voor fromo	work on indicat	od in the vieht hand		
							ed in the right hand all percent of points	Performance	e
							the percentage of is matched to the	Improvemer	nt
							tion rate of 95% on two	Priority Imp	
or more asse	ssments w	vill reduce	the overa	ll plan type by o	ne level. Ref	fer to the scorir	ng guide at the end of	Turnaround	
Indicator	Rating 1	otals							cypes are based
								on the total points earne	percentage of d:
Performance				% Pts Earne		ted Pts Earne	Rating	Daufaumana	Diam
Academic Ac				76.5%		30.6/40	Meets	<i>Performance</i> 53.0% - 100.	
Academic Gr	οωτη			65.4%		39.2/60	Meets		
Assurance	26							<i>Improvemen</i> 42.0% - 52.9	
Assurance				_	_	_	Dation	Priority Imp	rovement Plan:
Accountabili	ty Particip	ation Rate	9				Rating Meets 95%	34.0% - 41.9	
								Accredited v	vith Turnaround
								<i>Plan:</i> 0.0% - 33.9%	ó
Test Parti	cipatior	ı Rates'	**						
								Insufficient	<i>Data:</i> No chievement and
Subject		Total Records	Valid Scores	Participation Rate		Accountability Participation	Rating	growth data	
English Lang	uage Arts	240	235	97.9%	1	98.3%	Meets 95%		
Math		240	235	97.9%	1	98.3%	Meets 95%		
Science		75	74	98.7%	1	100.0%	Meets 95%		
Summary	of <u>Rati</u>	ngs <u>by E</u>	MH Lev	vel					
EMH Level		ance Indic		% Pts Earn		Pts Earned/	Rating	% Pts by	Rating
Elementary		c Achiever	nent	76.5%		0.6/40	Meets	69.8%	Performance
	Academi	c Growth		65.4%	39	9.2/60	Meets		

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data | For additional information, refer to the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

- (**) Participation ratings are based on the Accountability Participation Rate, which excludes Parent Excusals from the denominator and counts English
- Learners in their first year in the United States who were eligible to take the ELP assessment as participants regardless of whether they tested.
- $(^{)}$ Schools with an Insufficient State Data plan type will maintain performance watch status from the prior year.

(^^) ESSA Identification. For more information: <u>http://www.cde.state.co.us/fedprograms/essa_csi_tsi</u>



Final 2019 School Performance Framework

7890: Cactus Valley Elementary School | 1195: Garfield Re-2

Elementary - (1-Year)

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

			Participation	Mean Scale	Percentile	Pts Earned/	
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	Score	Rank	Eligible	Rating
CMAS -	All Students	225	97.9%	750.6	76	6/8	Meets
English	Previously Identified for READ Plan	18	95.5%	705.3	*	0/0	-
Language Arts	English Learners	51	96.4%	731.8	32	0.5/1	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	81	97.8%	741.2	54	0.75/1	Meets
	Minority Students	80	96.5%	739.2	49	0.5/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
CMAS - Math	All Students	225	97.9%	739.8	61	6/8	Meets
	English Learners	51	96.4%	727.7	33	0.5/1	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	81	97.8%	734.2	49	0.5/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	80	96.5%	730.9	41	0.5/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
CMAS -	All Students	71	98.7%	656.0	85	8/8	Exceeds
Science	English Learners	17	100.0%	582.4	38	0.5/1	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	23	100.0%	620.6	63	0.75/1	Meets
	Minority Students	27	96.6%	612.0	58	0.75/1	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
TOTAL		*	*	*	*	25.25/33	Meets

ACADEMIC GROWTH

			Median Growth	Pts Earned/	
Subject	Student Group	Count	Percentile/Rate	Eligible	Rating
CMAS -	All Students	137	53.0	6/8	Meets
English	English Learners	30	48.5	0.5/1	Approaching
Language Arts	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	41	55.0	0.75/1	Meets
	Minority Students	50	48.5	0.5/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	n < 20	-	0/0	-
CMAS - Math	All Students	138	49.0	4/8	Approaching
	English Learners	31	53.0	0.75/1	Meets
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	42	54.5	0.75/1	Meets
	Minority Students	51	53.0	0.75/1	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	n < 20	-	0/0	-
ELP	English Language Proficiency (ELP)	61	52.0	1.5/2	Meets
	On Track to Proficiency	62	77.4%	1.5/2	Meets
TOTAL		*	*	17/26	Meets

This page displays the performance indicator data for the elementary school level. For the 1-Year report, calculations are based on state assessment results from 2018-19. Multi-Year reports include results for years 2016-17 through 2018-19.

Academic Achievement: mean scale scores represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups; participation rates included on this page count parent excusals as non-participants.

Academic Growth: median student growth percentiles and percentages of students on track to meet targets represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups. The On-Track to EL proficiency metric is included for points for the first time in 2019.

For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings, refer to the scoring guide at the end of this document.

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric	Rating		Point Value		
	The district or school's mean scale score (or percent On Track) was*:	• •		Each Disaggregated	ELP On Track	
	see tables below for actual values		All Students	Group	Growth	
Academic Achievement	 at or above the 85th percentile 	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0	
	 at or above the 50th percentile but below the 85th percentile 	Meets	6	0.75	1.5	
∝ ELP On Track Growth	 at or above the 15th percentile but below the 50th percentile 	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0	
	below the 15th percentile	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5	
	Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (bonus point)					
	 CMAS ELA Mean scale score at or above 725 (Approaching Expectations cut 	-score)		1 bonus point		
	Median Growth Percentile was:		All Students	Each Disaggregated Group	ELP	
	• at or above 65	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0	
Academic Growth	at or above 50 but below 65	Meets	6	0.75	1.5	
	at or above 35 but below 50	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0	
	• below 35	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5	
	Mean CO SAT Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (EBRW) scale score was**:		All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Grou	
	at or above 554.7	Exceeds	4	1	1.00	
	 at or above 501.3 but below 554.7 	Meets	3	0	.75	
	 at or above 458.0 but below 501.3 	Approaching	2	0	.50	
	• below 458.0	Does Not Meet	1	0	.25	
	Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**:		All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Grou	
	at or above 544.6	Exceeds	4	1	.00	
	at or above 488.0 but below 544.6	Meets	3	0	.75	
	 at or above 439.9 but below 488.0 	Approaching	2	0	.50	
	• below 439.9	Does Not Meet	1	0	.25	
	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rate was (of all schools in 2017):		All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Grou	
	at or below 0.5%	Exceeds	8	2	2.0	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%	Meets	6	:	1.5	
workforce Readiness	at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%	Approaching	4	1	1.0	
	• above 5.0%	Does Not Meet	2	().5	
	Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2018):			All Students		
	at or above the 75.8%	Exceeds		4		
	 at or above 61.1% but below 75.8% 	Meets		3		
	at or above 46.8% but below 61.1%	Approaching		2		
	• below 46.8%	Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate (Best of 4-, 5-, 6-, or 7-yea	r):	All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Grou	
	• at or above 95.0%	Exceeds	8		2.0	
	• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%	Meets	6	1	1.5	
	• at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%	Approaching	4	1	1.0	
	• below 75.0%	Does Not Meet	2	(0.5	

Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the Achievement Indicators have been established utilizing baseline year data.*

English Language Arts & EBRW for CO PSAT			Mathematics			Science					
			CO PSAT	CO PSAT			CO PSAT	CO PSAT			
Percentile	Elem	Middle	(1-Year)	(MultiYear)†	Elem	Middle	(1-Year)	(MultiYear)	Elem	Middle	High
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	423.5	427.5	719.1	716.5	413.0	415.5	531.9	527.7	564.4
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	461.1	463.7	734.3	731.2	448.4	447.4	601.7	591.4	609.2
85th percentile	755.9	757.3	505.0	506.1	751.9	746.2	491.0	491.1	655.9	643.3	651.3

Percent of Students On Track for ELP Growth Targets							
	ELP	ELP On Track Growth					
Percentile	Elem	Middle	High				
15th percentile	63.5%	30.4%	30.4%				
50th percentile	72.4%	42.9%	45.2%				
85th percentile	82.4%	60.0%	63.0%				

85th percent	tile	82.4%	60.0%	63.0	0%			
							_	_
Cut-Points for	Each Po	erformance I	ndicator					Gr
Achievement;	Cut-Po	int: The distric	t or school ea	rnedof	the p	oints eligible.		
Growth:	• at o	r above 87.5%				Exceeds		
Postsecondary	• at o	r above 62.5%	but below 87.	5%		Meets		Postse
Readiness	• at o	r above 37.5%	but below 62.	5%	Ар	proaching		Rea
Reaumess	 belo 	w 37.5%			Doe	s Not Meet		

Total Possible	otal Possible Points by Performance Indicator										
Indicator	Total Possible Points	Elementary/Middle	High/District								
Achievement	36 points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group)	5, 40% 30%									
Growth	28 total points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group, 2 for ELP growth, 2 for ELP On Track Growth)	60%	40%								
Postsecondary Readiness	52 total points (16 for graduation, 4 for matriculation, 16 for dropout, 8 per CO SAT subject)	not applicable	30%								

Cut-Points for Plan/Ca	Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment								
	District	School	Accreditation Category/Plan Type						
	74.0%	not applicable	Accredited w/Distinction (District only)						
Total Framework Points	56.0%	53.0%	Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)						
Total Framework Points	44.0%	42.0%	Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)						
	34.0%	34.0%	Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)						
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)						

* School data used as baseline: 2016 for CMAS & CoAlt ELA & Math (g3-8), CMAS Science (g5, 8, 11). 2019 for CO PSAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g9-10). 2019 for ELP On Track to Proficiency Growth.

** 2019 school data used as baseline for CO SAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g11).

+ 2019 Multiyear high school EBRW/ELA & Math cuts based on 2-years of g9 CO PSAT/CoAlt and 3-years of g10 CO PSAT/CoAlt.

August 13, 2019



7890: Cactus Valley Elementary School | 1195: Garfield Re-2

Elementary - (1-Year)

ACADEMIC	ACHIEVEMENT				
			Participation		
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	Mean Scale Score	Percentile Rank
CMAS -	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 16	-	-	-
English	Asian	n < 16	-	-	-
Language Arts	Black	n < 16	-	-	-
	Hispanic	75	97.5%	738.6	48
	White	145	98.7%	756.9	87
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 16	-	-	-
	Two or More Races	n < 16	-	-	-
	Male	109	98.3%	745.2	63
	Female	116	97.6%	755.6	84
	Gifted	n < 16	-	-	-
CMAS - Math	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 16	-	-	-
	Asian	n < 16	-	-	-
	Black	n < 16	-	-	-
	Hispanic	75	97.5%	729.9	38
	White	145	98.7%	744.7	72
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 16	-	-	-
	Two or More Races	n < 16	-	-	-
	Male	109	98.3%	741.3	65
	Female	116	97.6%	738.3	58
	Gifted	n < 16	-	-	-
CMAS -	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 16	-	-	-
Science	Asian	n < 16	-	-	-
	Black	n < 16	-	-	-
	Hispanic	25	100.0%	609.5	56
	White	44	100.0%	683.0	95
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 16	-	-	-
	Two or More Races	n < 16	-	-	-
	Male	35	97.3%	652.6	82
	Female	36	100.0%	659.3	86
	Gifted	n < 16	-	-	-

ACADEMIC GROWTH

Subject	Student Group	Count	Median Growth Percentile
CMAS -	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 20	-
English	Asian	n < 20	-
Language Arts	Black	n < 20	-
	Hispanic	47	48.0
	White	87	56.0
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 20	-
	Two or More Races	n < 20	-
	Male	73	55.0
	Female	64	49.0
	Gifted	n < 20	-
CMAS - Math	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 20	-
	Asian	n < 20	-
	Black	n < 20	-
	Hispanic	48	52.5
	White	87	48.0
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 20	-
	Two or More Races	n < 20	-
	Male	73	49.0
	Female	65	48.0
	Gifted	n < 20	-

Percentile ranks are calculated relative to results for all students. Information about how the results for a specific student group rank against mean scale scores for other students within that same group are available here: http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability/performanceframeworkresults