

5068: Lesher Middle School | 1550: Poudre R-1

Levels: M - (Multi-Year)

Plan Type						Officia	al Rating based o	n 1-Year	SPF Report
Please se	e officia	l perfo	ormanc	e framewo	rk report	67	2.2/100		
corner of the earned on the points earned scoring guide	black title b official fra across all to determi ssments wil	par above mework. performa ne the pl Il reduce	e. Schools . The over ance indic an type. F	are assigned a p all percent of fra ators. The offici ailing to meet t	olan type ba amework po al percent of he accounta	sed on the over ints represents f points earned bility participat	ed in the right hand all percent of points the percentage of is matched to the ion rate of 95% on two g guide at the end of	Performance Improvemer Priority Imp Turnaround School plan t	
Performance Academic Ach Academic Gro	Indicator	Jtais		% Pts Earne 64.6% 60.7%		ted Pts Earne 25.8/40 36.4/60	Rating Meets Approaching		percentage of d: e Plan:
Assurance	S							<i>Improvemen</i> 42.0% - 52.9	
Accountabilit	y Participa	tion Rate	2				Rating Meets 95%	<i>Priority Imp</i> 34.0% - 41.9	rovement Plan: %
Test Partie	cipation	Rates*	*					<i>Accredited v</i> <i>Plan:</i> 0.0% - 33.9%	v ith Turnaround
Subject English Langu Math Science	F	Total Records 2,305 2,306 754	Valid Scores 2,107 2,117 653	Participation Rate 91.4% 91.8% 86.6%		Accountability Participation 99.6% 99.7% 99.2%	Rating Meets 95% Meets 95% Meets 95%	Insufficient reportable a growth data	chievement and
Summary	of Ratin	gs by E	MH Lev	/el					
EMH Level Middle	Performan Academic Academic	Achieven		% Pts Earn 64.6% 60.7%	25	Pts Earned/ 5.8/40 5.4/60	Rating Meets Approaching	% Pts by 62.2%	Rating Performance

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data | For additional information, refer to the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(**) Participation ratings are based on the Accountability Participation Rate, which excludes Parent Excusals from the denominator and counts English

Learners in their first year in the United States who were eligible to take the ELP assessment as participants regardless of whether they tested.

 $(^{)}$ Schools with an Insufficient State Data plan type will maintain performance watch status from the prior year.

(^^) ESSA Identification. For more information: <u>http://www.cde.state.co.us/fedprograms/essa_csi_tsi</u>



Final 2019 School Performance Framework

5068: Lesher Middle School | 1550: Poudre R-1

Middle School - (Multi-Year)

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

			Participation	Mean Scale	Percentile	Pts Earned/	
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	Score	Rank	Eligible	Rating
CMAS -	All Students	2,032	91.4%	752.2	78	6/8	Meets
English	English Learners	358	94.1%	725.7	18	0.5/1	Approaching
Language Arts	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	732	90.3%	731.5	30	0.5/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	759	92.5%	738.8	46	0.5/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	100	80.2%	697.8	1	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
CMAS - Math	All Students	2,043	91.8%	744.9	82	6/8	Meets
	English Learners	361	94.4%	725.7	34	0.5/1	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	741	90.9%	727.7	41	0.5/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	767	93.3%	734.1	58	0.75/1	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	102	81.7%	694.7	1	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
CMAS -	All Students	628	86.6%	618.9	67	6/8	Meets
Science	English Learners	108	88.7%	522.1	13	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	218	81.9%	549.1	24	0.5/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	235	88.4%	561.5	32	0.5/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	29	73.2%	434.0	1	0.25/1	Does Not Meet
TOTAL		*	*	*	*	23.25/36	Meets

ACADEMIC GROWTH

			Median Growth	Pts Earned/	
Subject	Student Group	Count	Percentile/Rate	Eligible	Rating
CMAS -	All Students	1,892	44.0	4/8	Approaching
English	English Learners	350	44.5	0.5/1	Approaching
Language Arts	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	686	40.0	0.5/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	710	43.0	0.5/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	80	44.5	0.5/1	Approaching
CMAS - Math	All Students	1,736	50.0	6/8	Meets
	English Learners	349	49.0	0.5/1	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	665	46.0	0.5/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	689	49.0	0.5/1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	83	46.0	0.5/1	Approaching
ELP	English Language Proficiency (ELP)	63	55.0	1.5/2	Meets
	On Track to Proficiency	63	50.8%	1.5/2	Meets
TOTAL		*	*	17/28	Approaching

This page displays the performance indicator data for the middle school level. For the 1-Year report, calculations are based on state assessment results from 2018-19. Multi-Year reports include results for years 2016-17 through 2018-19.

Academic Achievement: mean scale scores represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups; participation rates included on this page count parent excusals as non-participants.

Academic Growth: median student growth percentiles and percentages of students on track to meet targets represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups. The On-Track to EL proficiency metric is included for points for the first time in 2019.

For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings, refer to the scoring guide at the end of this document.

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric	Rating	Point Value			
	The district or school's mean scale score (or percent On Track) was*:	• •		Each Disaggregated	ELP On Track	
	see tables below for actual values		All Students	Group	Growth	
Academic Achievement	 at or above the 85th percentile 	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0	
	 at or above the 50th percentile but below the 85th percentile 	Meets	6	0.75	1.5	
∝ ELP On Track Growth	 at or above the 15th percentile but below the 50th percentile 	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0	
	below the 15th percentile	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5	
	Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (bonus point)					
	 CMAS ELA Mean scale score at or above 725 (Approaching Expectations cut 	-score)		1 bonus point		
	Median Growth Percentile was:		All Students	Each Disaggregated Group	ELP	
	• at or above 65	Exceeds	8	1.00	2.0	
Academic Growth	at or above 50 but below 65	Meets	6	0.75	1.5	
	at or above 35 but below 50	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0	
	• below 35	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5	
	Mean CO SAT Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (EBRW) scale score was**:		All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Grou	
	at or above 554.7	Exceeds	4	1.00		
	 at or above 501.3 but below 554.7 	Meets	3	0	0.75	
	 at or above 458.0 but below 501.3 	Approaching	2	0	.50	
	• below 458.0	Does Not Meet	1	0	.25	
	Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**:		All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Grou	
	at or above 544.6	Exceeds	4	1	.00	
	at or above 488.0 but below 544.6	Meets	3	0	.75	
	 at or above 439.9 but below 488.0 	Approaching	2	0	.50	
	• below 439.9	Does Not Meet	1	0	.25	
	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rate was (of all schools in 2017):		All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Grou	
	at or below 0.5%	Exceeds	8	2	2.0	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%	Meets	6	:	1.5	
workforce Readiness	at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%	Approaching	4	1	1.0	
	• above 5.0%	Does Not Meet	2	().5	
	Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2018):			All Students		
	at or above the 75.8%	Exceeds		4		
	 at or above 61.1% but below 75.8% 	Meets		3		
	at or above 46.8% but below 61.1%	Approaching		2		
	• below 46.8%	Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate (Best of 4-, 5-, 6-, or 7-yea	r):	All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Grou	
	• at or above 95.0%	Exceeds	8		2.0	
	• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%	Meets	6	1	1.5	
	• at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%	Approaching	4	1	1.0	
	• below 75.0%	Does Not Meet	2	(0.5	

Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the Achievement Indicators have been established utilizing baseline year data.*

	English	Language Arts	s & EBRW for	CO PSAT		Mather	natics			Science	
			CO PSAT	CO PSAT			CO PSAT	CO PSAT			
Percentile	Elem	Middle	(1-Year)	(MultiYear)†	Elem	Middle	(1-Year)	(MultiYear)	Elem	Middle	High
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	423.5	427.5	719.1	716.5	413.0	415.5	531.9	527.7	564.4
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	461.1	463.7	734.3	731.2	448.4	447.4	601.7	591.4	609.2
85th percentile	755.9	757.3	505.0	506.1	751.9	746.2	491.0	491.1	655.9	643.3	651.3

Percent of Students On Track for ELP Growth Targets						
	ELP On Track Growth					
Percentile	Elem	Middle	High			
15th percentile	63.5%	30.4%	30.4%			
50th percentile	72.4%	42.9%	45.2%			
85th percentile	82.4%	60.0%	63.0%			

85th percent	tile	82.4%	60.0%	63.0	0%			
							_	_
Cut-Points for	Each Po	erformance I	ndicator					Gr
Achievement;	Cut-Po	int: The distric	t or school ea	rnedof	the p	oints eligible.	1	
Growth:	• at o	r above 87.5%				Exceeds		
Postsecondary	• at o	r above 62.5%	but below 87.	5%		Meets		Postse
Readiness	• at o	r above 37.5%	but below 62.	5%	Ар	proaching		Rea
Reaumess	 belo 	w 37.5%			Doe	s Not Meet		

Total Possible	otal Possible Points by Performance Indicator									
Indicator	Total Possible Points	Elementary/Middle	High/District							
Achievement	36 points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group)	40% 30%								
Growth	28 total points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group, 2 for ELP growth, 2 for ELP On Track Growth)	60%	40%							
Postsecondary Readiness	52 total points (16 for graduation, 4 for matriculation, 16 for dropout, 8 per CO SAT subject)	not applicable	30%							

Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment							
	District	School	Accreditation Category/Plan Type				
	74.0%	not applicable	Accredited w/Distinction (District only)				
Total Framework Points	56.0%	53.0%	Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)				
Total Framework Points	44.0%	42.0%	Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)				
	34.0%	34.0%	Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)				
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)				

* School data used as baseline: 2016 for CMAS & CoAlt ELA & Math (g3-8), CMAS Science (g5, 8, 11). 2019 for CO PSAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g9-10). 2019 for ELP On Track to Proficiency Growth.

** 2019 school data used as baseline for CO SAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g11).

+ 2019 Multiyear high school EBRW/ELA & Math cuts based on 2-years of g9 CO PSAT/CoAlt and 3-years of g10 CO PSAT/CoAlt.

August 13, 2019



5068: Lesher Middle School | 1550: Poudre R-1

Middle School - (Multi-Year)

ACADEMIC	ACHIEVEMENT				
			Participation		
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	Mean Scale Score	Percentile Rank
CMAS -	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 16	-	-	-
English	Asian	80	93.2%	760.2	90
Language Arts	s Black	n < 16	-	-	-
	Hispanic	554	92.7%	731.7	30
	White	1,273	90.8%	760.3	90
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 16	-	-	-
	Two or More Races	99	91.1%	760.5	90
	Male	1,072	92.1%	746.2	64
	Female	960	90.7%	759.0	88
	Gifted	562	92.8%	785.6	99
CMAS - Math	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 16	-	-	-
	Asian	84	96.7%	753.3	92
	Black	n < 16	-	-	-
	Hispanic	557	93.0%	727.8	41
	White	1,276	90.9%	751.4	90
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 16	-	-	-
	Two or More Races	100	92.9%	754.4	93
	Male	1,077	92.4%	745.4	83
	Female	966	91.1%	744.3	81
	Gifted	561	92.8%	775.0	99
CMAS -	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 16	-	-	-
Science	Asian	26	93.1%	625.7	73
	Black	n < 16	-	-	-
	Hispanic	174	88.2%	539.8	20
	White	393	85.6%	653.2	89
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 16	-	-	-
	Two or More Races	28	87.5%	650.1	87
	Male	315	87.9%	616.0	65
	Female	313	85.3%	621.8	70
	Gifted	195	90.4%	725.4	99

ACADEMIC GROWTH

Subject	Student Group	Count	Median Growth Percentile
CMAS -	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 20	-
English	Asian	76	66.0
Language Arts	Black	n < 20	-
	Hispanic	521	40.0
	White	1,182	45.0
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 20	-
	Two or More Races	92	50.5
	Male	1,000	43.0
	Female	892	46.0
	Gifted	534	52.0
CMAS - Math	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 20	-
	Asian	69	65.0
	Black	n < 20	-
	Hispanic	512	46.0
	White	1,047	50.0
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 20	-
	Two or More Races	87	61.0
	Male	904	49.0
	Female	832	50.0
	Gifted	405	55.0

Percentile ranks are calculated relative to results for all students. Information about how the results for a specific student group rank against mean scale scores for other students within that same group are available here: http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability/performanceframeworkresults