

Final 2019 School Performance Framework

5838: Mesa Elementary School | 0480: Boulder Valley Re 2

Levels: E - (Multi-Year)

Plan Type

Official Rating based on 1-Year SPF Report

Please see official performance framework report

82.4/100

82.4%

John Irwin Award

The official plan type is based on either the 1-year or multi-year framework as indicated in the right hand corner of the black title bar above. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned on the official framework. The overall percent of framework points represents the percentage of points earned across all performance indicators. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide to determine the plan type. Failing to meet the accountability participation rate of 95% on two or more assessments will reduce the overall plan type by one level. Refer to the scoring guide at the end of ..

Performance

Improvement

Priority Imp

Turnaround

Indicator Rating Totals

Performance Indicator	% Pts Earned	Weighted Pts Earne	Rating
Academic Achievement	95.2%	38.1/40	Exceeds
Academic Growth	73.8%	44.3/60	Meets

School plan types are based on the total percentage of points earned:

Performance Plan: 53.0% - 100.0%

Improvement Plan: 42.0% - 52.9%

Assurances

Rating
Accountability Participation Rate

Meets 95%

Priority Improvement Plan: 34.0% - 41.9%

Accredited with Turnaround

Plan:

0.0% - 33.9%

Test Participation Rates**

	Total	Valid	Participation	Parent	Accountability	
Subject	Records		Rate		Participation	Rating
English Language Arts	471	429	91.1%	41	99.8%	Meets 95%
Math	471	431	91.5%	38	99.5%	Meets 95%
Science	163	146	89.6%	13	97.3%	Meets 95%

Insufficient Data: No reportable achievement and growth data.

Summary of Ratings by EMH Level

EMH Level	Performance Indicator	% Pts Earn	Weighted Pts Earned/	Rating	% Pts by	Rating
Elementary	Academic Achievement	95.2%	38.1/40	Exceeds	02.40/	Doufoumanco
	Academic Growth	73.8%	44.3/60	Meets	82.4%	Performance

^(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data | For additional information, refer to the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

^(**) Participation ratings are based on the Accountability Participation Rate, which excludes Parent Excusals from the denominator and counts English Learners in their first year in the United States who were eligible to take the ELP assessment as participants regardless of whether they tested.

^(^) Schools with an Insufficient State Data plan type will maintain performance watch status from the prior year.

^(^^) ESSA Identification. For more information: http://www.cde.state.co.us/fedprograms/essa_csi_tsi



Final 2019 School Performance Framework

5838: Mesa Elementary School | 0480: Boulder Valley Re 2

Elementary - (Multi-Year)

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

			Participation	Mean Scale	Percentile	Pts Earned/	
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	Score	Rank	Eligible	Rating
CMAS -	All Students	423	91.1%	771.1	98	8/8	Exceeds
English	Previously Identified for READ Plan	16	80.0%	722.3	*	0/0	-
Language Arts	English Learners	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	16	80.0%	757.3	87	1/1	Exceeds
	Minority Students	55	87.5%	780.0	99	1/1	Exceeds
	Students with Disabilities	44	81.8%	732.9	35	0.5/1	Approaching
CMAS - Math	All Students	425	91.5%	761.6	96	8/8	Exceeds
	English Learners	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	17	85.0%	731.6	43	0.5/1	Approaching
	Minority Students	54	85.9%	773.1	99	1/1	Exceeds
	Students with Disabilities	46	85.5%	730.1	39	0.5/1	Approaching
CMAS -	All Students	146	89.6%	689.7	96	8/8	Exceeds
Science	English Learners	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
	Minority Students	20	87.0%	705.8	98	1/1	Exceeds
	Students with Disabilities	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
TOTAL		*	*	*	*	29.5/31	Exceeds

ACADEMIC GROWTH

			Median Growth	Pts Earned/	
Subject	Student Group	Count	Percentile/Rate	Eligible	Rating
CMAS -	All Students	258	58.5	6/8	Meets
English	English Learners	n < 20	-	0/0	-
Language Arts	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	n < 20	-	0/0	-
	Minority Students	32	56.5	0.75/1	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	25	63.0	0.75/1	Meets
CMAS - Math	All Students	259	53.0	6/8	Meets
	English Learners	n < 20	-	0/0	-
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	n < 20	-	0/0	-
	Minority Students	31	54.0	0.75/1	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	26	40.0	0.5/1	Approaching
ELP	English Language Proficiency (ELP)	n < 20	-	0/0	-
	On Track to Proficiency	n < 20	-	0/0	-
TOTAL		*	*	14.75/20	Meets

This page displays the performance indicator data for the elementary school level. For the 1-Year report, calculations are based on state assessment results from 2018-19. Multi-Year reports include results for years 2016-17 through 2018-19.

Academic Achievement: mean scale scores represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups; participation rates included on this page count parent excusals as non-participants.

Academic Growth: median student growth percentiles and percentages of students on track to meet targets represent outcomes for designated subjects and student groups. The On-Track to EL proficiency metric is included for points for the first time in 2019.

For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings, refer to the scoring guide at the end of this document.

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

Scoring Guide fo	r 2019 District/School Performance Frameworks					
Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric	Rating		Point Value		
	The district or school's mean scale score (or percent On Track) was*:			Each Disaggregated	ELP On Track	
	The district or school's mean scale score (or percent On Track) was*: see tables below for actual values • at or above the S5th percentile but below the 85th percentile • at or above the 15th percentile but below the 85th percentile • below the 15th percentile but below the 50th percentile • below the 15th percentile but below the 50th percentile • below the 15th percentile but below be 50th percentile • below the 15th percentile but below be 50th percentile • below the 15th percentile but below be 50th percentile • below the 15th percentile but below be 50th percentile • below the 15th percentile but below be 50th percentile • below the 15th percentile but below be 50th percentile • below the 15th percentile but below below below 50th percentile but 50th percentile but 50th percentile bu					
A and amin A shipus mane	at or above the 85th percentile	Exceeds	8	Each Disaggregated Group 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 1 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.75 0.50 0.25 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 1.00 0.25 0.25 1.00 0.25 1.00 0.25 1.00 0.25 1.00 0.25 1.	2.0	
	at or above the 50th percentile but below the 85th percentile	Meets	6	0.75	1.5	
	at or above the 15th percentile but below the 50th percentile	Approaching	4	0.50	1.0	
ELP OII HACK GIOWIII	below the 15th percentile	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	0.5	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
	CMAS ELA Mean scale score at or above 725 (Approaching Expectations cut-	score)		Each Disaggregated Group		
	Median Growth Percentile was:		All Students		ELP	
	• at or above 65	Exceeds			2.0	
Academic Growth		Meets	_		1.5	
		Approaching	-		1.0	
	• below 35		2	0.25	0.5	
	Mean CO SAT Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (EBRW) scale score was**:		All Students	Each Disaga	reaated Group	
		Exceeds	4		.00	
	• at or above 501.3 but below 554.7	Meets	3	0	.75	
	at or above 458.0 but below 501.3 Approaching	2	0	.50		
	• below 458.0	Does Not Meet	1	0	0.25	
• below 458.0 Does Not Meet 1 Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**: All Students • at or above 544.6 Exceeds 4	Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**:	All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Group		
	1	.00				
	• at or above 488.0 but below 544.6	Meets	3	Each Disaggregated Group 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 Each Disaggre 0.0 Each Disaggre 1.0 0. Each Disaggre 1.0 All Students 4	.75	
	• at or above 439.9 but below 488.0	Approaching	2	0	.50	
	• below 439.9	Does Not Meet	1	Each Disaggregated Group 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 1 bonus point Each Disaggregated Group 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 Each Disaggregated 0.0 Each Disaggregated 0.0 Each Disaggregated 1.0 0.0 Each Disaggregated 2.0 1.0 Each Disaggregated 2.0 Each Disaggregate	.25	
	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rate was (of all schools in 2017):		All Students		regated Group	
	• at or below 0.5%	Exceeds	8	2	2.0	
•	• at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%	Meets	6	1	1.5	
Workforce Readiness	• at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%	Approaching	4	1	1.0	
	• above 5.0%	Does Not Meet	2	().5	
	Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2018):			All Students		
	• at or above the 75.8%	Exceeds		4		
	• at or above 61.1% but below 75.8%	Meets		3		
	• at or above 46.8% but below 61.1%	Approaching		2		
	• below 46.8%	Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate (Best of 4-, 5-, 6-, or 7-year)):	All Students	Each Disaggi	regated Group	
	• at or above 95.0%	Exceeds	8	2	2.0	
	• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%	Meets	6	1	1.5	
	• at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%	Approaching	4	1	1.0	
	• below 75.0%	Does Not Meet	2	().5	

Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the Achievement Indicators have been established utilizing baseline year data.*

marcators have been esta	indicators have been established diffizing baseline year data.										
	English Language Arts & EBRW for CO PSAT			Mathematics			Science				
			CO PSAT	CO PSAT			CO PSAT	CO PSAT			
Percentile	Elem	Middle	(1-Year)	(MultiYear)†	Elem	Middle	(1-Year)	(MultiYear)	Elem	Middle	High
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	423.5	427.5	719.1	716.5	413.0	415.5	531.9	527.7	564.4
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	461.1	463.7	734.3	731.2	448.4	447.4	601.7	591.4	609.2
85th percentile	755.9	757.3	505.0	506.1	751.9	746.2	491.0	491.1	655.9	643.3	651.3

Percent of Students On Track for ELP Growth Targets							
	ELP On Track Growth						
Percentile	Elem	Middle	High				
15th percentile	63.5%	30.4%	30.4%				
50th percentile	72.4%	42.9%	45.2%				
85th percentile	82.4%	60.0%	63.0%				

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator							
Achievement;	Cut-Point: The district or school earnedof the points eligible.						
Growth:	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds					
/	 at or above 62.5% but below 87.5% 	Meets					
Postsecondary Readiness	 at or above 37.5% but below 62.5% 	Approaching					
Reduilless	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet					

Total Possible Points by Performance Indicator									
Indicator	Total Possible Points	Elementary/Middle	High/District						
Achievement	36 points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group)	40%	30%						
Growth	28 total points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group, 2 for ELP growth, 2 for ELP On Track Growth)	60%	40%						
Postsecondary Readiness	52 total points (16 for graduation, 4 for matriculation, 16 for dropout, 8 per CO SAT subject)	not applicable	30%						

Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment								
	District	School	Accreditation Category/Plan Type					
	74.0%	not applicable	Accredited w/Distinction (District only)					
Total Framework Points	56.0%	53.0%	Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)					
Total Framework Points	44.0% 42.0%		Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)					
	34.0%	34.0%	Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)					
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)					

^{*} School data used as baseline: 2016 for CMAS & CoAlt ELA & Math (g3-8), CMAS Science (g5, 8, 11). 2019 for CO PSAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g9-10). 2019 for ELP On Track to Proficiency Growth.

August 13, 2019

^{** 2019} school data used as baseline for CO SAT & CoAlt EBRW/ELA & Math (g11).

^{† 2019} Multiyear high school EBRW/ELA & Math cuts based on 2-years of g9 CO PSAT/CoAlt and 3-years of g10 CO PSAT/CoAlt.



2019 Performance Framework Addendum

5838: Mesa Elementary School | 0480: Boulder Valley Re 2

Elementary - (Multi-Year)

ACADEMIC	ACHIEVEMENT				
			Participation		
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	Mean Scale Score	Percentile Rank
CMAS -	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 16	-	-	-
English	Asian	n < 16	-	-	-
Language Arts	Black	n < 16	-	-	-
	Hispanic	19	87.0%	748.8	71
	White	368	91.6%	769.8	97
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 16	-	-	-
	Two or More Races	26	89.7%	792.0	99
	Male	187	89.2%	763.9	94
	Female	236	92.6%	776.8	99
	Gifted	63	94.0%	789.9	99
CMAS - Math	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 16	-	-	-
	Asian	n < 16	-	-	-
	Black	n < 16	-	-	-
	Hispanic	19	87.0%	754.3	88
	White	371	92.4%	760.0	95
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 16	-	-	-
	Two or More Races	25	86.2%	778.9	99
	Male	189	90.1%	762.7	96
	Female	236	92.6%	760.8	95
	Gifted	65	97.0%	791.1	99
CMAS -	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 16	-	-	-
Science	Asian	n < 16	-	-	-
	Black	n < 16	-	-	-
	Hispanic	n < 16	-	-	-
	White	126	90.0%	687.2	96
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 16	-	-	-
	Two or More Races	n < 16	-	-	-
	Male	67	90.5%	681.8	95
	Female	79	88.8%	696.5	97
	Gifted	31	100.0%	737.7	99

Subject	Student Group	Count	Median Growth Percentile
CMAS -	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 20	-
English	Asian	n < 20	-
Language Arts	Black	n < 20	-
	Hispanic	n < 20	-
	White	226	59.0
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 20	-
	Two or More Races	n < 20	-
	Male	112	55.5
	Female	146	61.5
	Gifted	45	55.0
CMAS - Math	American Indian or Alaska Native	n < 20	-
	Asian	n < 20	-
	Black	n < 20	-
	Hispanic	n < 20	-
	White	228	49.0
	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n < 20	-
	Two or More Races	n < 20	-
	Male	114	46.0
	Female	145	55.0
	Gifted	46	67.0

Percentile ranks are calculated relative to results for all students. Information about how the results for a specific student group rank against mean scale scores for other students within that same group are available here: http://www.cde.state.co.us/accountability/performanceframeworkresults