

Final 2017 School Performance Framework

9600: WILDER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | 0140: LITTLETON 6

Level: E - (1-Year)

| | be | | | | | | pe based on: 1 | L-rear 91 | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Performance Plan: Meets 95% Partic | | | | 95% Particip | ation | on 89.8 / 100 | | | 89.8% | |
| - Gover | nor's Dis | stinguis | hed Imp | provement A | ward; Jo | ohn Irwin Awa | rd | | | |
| of the black tit framework. Th eligible. The of the accountab | le bar above le overall pe fficial percer ility particip | e. Schools a ercent of fra nt of points pation rate c | are assigned amework po earned is n of 95% on tw | d a plan type base bints is calculated natched to the sco | d on the ove from the pe oring guide t sments will r | erall percent of poin rcentage of points e to determine the pla reduce the overall pl | n the right hand corner ts earned on the official arned out of points n type. Failing to meet an type by one level. | Performance | | |
| ndicator Ra | ting Tota | Is | | | | | | Priority Imp. | | |
| | | | | | | Weighted Pts | | i noncy mp. | | |
| Performance | Indicator | 5 | | % Pts Earne | ed Ea | rned/Pts Eligible | Rating | Turnaround | | |
| Academic Ac | hievement | | | 93.3% | | 37.3 / 40 | Exceeds | The plan type | presented above is | |
| Academic Gr | owth | | | 87.5% | | 52.5 / 60 | Exceeds | based on the t | otal percent of ints earned out of | |
| Assurance | | | | | | | | Performance: | at or above 53.0% | |
| Accountabilit | ty Participa | ition Rate | | | | | Rating Meets 95% | <i>Improvement</i> 42.0%-below 5 | | |
| est Particip | bation Ra | tes (Ratin | ngs are ba | ased on Accou | Intability | Participation Ra | ite) | Priority Impro | vement: at or above | |
| | | | | | | Accountability | | 34.0%-below 4 | 12.0% | |
| | | Total | Valid | Participation | Parent | Participation | | Turnaround: b | elow 34.0% | |
| Subject | | Records | Scores | Rate | Excuses | Rate** | Rating | | | |
| English Langu | uage Arts | 319 | 316 | 99.1% | 3 | 100.0% | Meets 95% | Insufficient Da or only PWR d | ita: No reportable da | |
| Math | | 319 | 316 | 99.1% | 3 | 100.0% | Meets 95% | | αια | |
| | | 103 | 103 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | Meets 95% | | | |
| Science | | | es by | EMH Leve | l _ | | | | | |
| Science Summar | ry of Pl | an <u>ry</u> µ | | | | | | | | |
| | | ance Indica | | 9 | 6 Pts arned I | Weighted Pts Earned/Pts Eligible | Rating | Weighted % Pts Earned | Rating by EMH | |
| Summar | Performa | | tors | ۶ E | | | Rating Exceeds | | Rating by EMH Level Performance | |

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data | For additional information, reference the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(^) Schools with an Insufficient State Data plan type will maintain their previously assigned year on the clock.

(**) The Accountability Participation Rate differs from the "Participation Rate" in the following ways: it excludes Parent Excuses from the denominator; it includes in both the numerator and denominator English Learners in their first year in the United States who took WIDA ACCESS for ELLs instead of the PARCC ELA assessment.



9600: WILDER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | 0140: LITTLETON 6

Level: Elementary - (1-Year)

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

| | ACHIEVEIVIENT | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------------|
| | | | Participation | Mean Scale | Percentile | Pts Earned/ | |
| Subject | Student Group | Count | Rate | Score | Rank | Eligible | Rating |
| CMAS - English | All Students | 314 | 99.1% | 772.8 | 98 | 16 / 16 | Exceeds |
| Language Arts | Previously Identified for READ Plan | n < 16 | - | - | - | 0/0 | - |
| | English Learners | n < 16 | - | - | - | 0/0 | - |
| | Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible | 27 | 100.0% | 751.5 | 78 | 1.5 / 2 | Meets |
| | Minority Students | 45 | 97.8% | 770.6 | 97 | 2 / 2 | Exceeds |
| | Students with Disabilities | 19 | 95.0% | 713.4 | 3 | .5 / 2 | Does Not Meet |
| CMAS - Math | All Students | 314 | 99.1% | 764.3 | 97 | 16 / 16 | Exceeds |
| | English Learners | n < 16 | - | - | - | 0 / 0 | - |
| | Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible | 27 | 100.0% | 751.7 | 84 | 1.5 / 2 | Meets |
| | Minority Students | 45 | 97.8% | 753.9 | 88 | 2 / 2 | Exceeds |
| | Students with Disabilities | 19 | 95.0% | 715.5 | 9 | .5 / 2 | Does Not Meet |
| CMAS - Science | All Students | 103 | 100.0% | 690.1 | 96 | 16 / 16 | Exceeds |
| | English Learners | n < 16 | - | - | - | 0 / 0 | - |
| | Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible | n < 16 | - | - | - | 0 / 0 | - |
| | Minority Students | n < 16 | - | - | - | 0 / 0 | - |
| | Students with Disabilities | n < 16 | - | - | - | 0 / 0 | - |
| TOTAL | | * | * | * | * | 56 / 60 | Exceeds |

ACADEMIC GROWTH

| Subject | Student Group | Count | Median Growth Percentile | Pts Earned/Eligible | Rating |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| CMAS - English | All Students | 196 | 74.0 | 16 / 16 | Exceeds |
| Language Arts | English Learners | n < 20 | - | 0 / 0 | - |
| | Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible | n < 20 | - | 0 / 0 | - |
| | Minority Students | 29 | 74.0 | 2 / 2 | Exceeds |
| | Students with Disabilities | n < 20 | - | 0 / 0 | - |
| CMAS - Math | All Students | 196 | 56.0 | 12 / 16 | Meets |
| | English Learners | n < 20 | - | 0 / 0 | - |
| | Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible | n < 20 | - | 0 / 0 | - |
| | Minority Students | 29 | 50.0 | 1.5 / 2 | Meets |
| | Students with Disabilities | n < 20 | - | 0 / 0 | - |
| ELP | English Language Proficiency | n < 20 | - | 0/0 | - |
| TOTAL | | * | * | 31.5 / 36 | Exceeds |

This page displays the performance indicator data for the elementary school level. For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings see the scoring guide at the end of this document.

The Participation Rate includes parent excuses in the denominator and excludes English Learners in their first year in the United States who took the WIDA ACCESS for ELLS instead of the PARCC ELA assessment in the numerator and denominator.

Academic Achievement: reflects the mean scale score for the identified subject and student group based on 2017 assessment results. Academic Growth: reflects the median student growth percentile for the identified student group based on 2017 CMAS PARCC growth results for Math and English Language Arts. English Language Proficiency has not yet been calculated or validated and so is not included.

Data on this page are based on results from 2016-17, unless otherwise noted. For additional information, reference the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

| Performance Indicator | Measure/Metric | Rating | | Point Value | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------|-------|------|--|--|
| | The district or school's mean scale score was*: | | All St | udents | Ľ | Disaggregated Group | | | | |
| | | E & M (and H | H ELA/EB | RW E&M | И (and H | H ELA | /EBRW | | | |
| | see table below for actual values | Science) & Math | | n Sc | Science) | | Math | | | |
| | at or above the 85th percentile | Exceeds | 16 8 | | | 2.0 | | .00 | | |
| Academic Achievement | at or above the 50th percentile but below the 85th percentile | Meets | 12 | 12 6 1.5 | | 1.5 | 0.75 | | | |
| | at or above the 15th percentile but below the 50th percentile | Approaching | 8 | 4 | | 1.0 | 0 |).50 | | |
| | below the 15th percentile | Does Not Meet | 4 | 2 | | 0.5 | 0 |).25 | | |
| | Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (bonus point) | | | | | | | | | |
| | CMAS ELA Mean scale score at or above 725 (Approaching Expectation | ns cut-score) | | 2 k | onus poir | nts | | | | |
| | Median Growth Percentile was: | | All Studer | nts | Disaggre | gated Gro | up | ELP | | |
| | Median Growth Percentile was. | | E & M | Н | E & M | F | 1 | ELP | | |
| Academic Growth | at or above 65 | Exceeds | 16 | 8 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 00 | - | | |
| Academic Growth | • at or above 50 but below 65 | Meets | 12 | 6 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 75 | - | | |
| | • at or above 35 but below 50 | Approaching | 8 | 4 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 50 | - | | |
| | • below 35 | Does Not Meet | 4 | 2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 25 | - | | |
| | Mean CO SAT Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (EBRW) scale score wa | s**: | | | | | | | | |
| | • at or above 559.1 | 2.0 | | | | | | | | |
| | • at or above 509.2 but below 559.1 | Meets | 1.5 | | | | | | | |
| | at or above 462.3 but below 509.2 | Approaching | | | 1.0 | | | | | |
| | • below 462.3 | 0.5 | | | | | | | | |
| | Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**: | | | | | | | | | |
| | • at or above 543.4 | 2.0 | | | | | | | | |
| | • at or above 491.7 but below 543.4 | Meets | 1.5 | | | | | | | |
| | • at or above 446.5 but below 491.7 | Approaching | 1.0 | | | | | | | |
| | • below 446.5 | 0.5 | | | | | | | | |
| | Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rate was (of all schools in 2016): | | | | | | | | | |
| | at or below 0.5% | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| Postsecondary and | at or below 2.0% but above 0.5% | Meets | 3 | | | | | | | |
| Workforce Readiness | at or below 5.0% but above 2.0% | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | above 5.0% | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | • above 5.0% Does Not Meet 1 Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2016): | | | | | | | | | |
| | • at or above the 73.1% | Exceeds | 2.0 | | | | | | | |
| | at or above 59.3% but below 73.1% | 1.5 | | | | | | | | |
| | • at or above 41.4% but below 59.3% | 1.0 | | | | | | | | |
| | • below 41.1% | 0.5 | | | | | | | | |
| | Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate (Best of 4-, 5-, 6-, or | All Students Each Disaggregated G | | | | Grou | | | | |
| | • at or above 95.0% | Exceeds | | 4 | 200 | | .00 | 5.00 | | |
| | • at or above 85.0% but below 95.0% | Meets | | 3 | | | .75 | | | |
| | • at or above 75.0% but below 85.0% | Approaching | 2 | | | | .50 | | | |
| | • below 75.0% | Does Not Meet | | 1 | | | .25 | | | |

Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the Achievement Indicators have been established utilizing baseline year data.*

| | English Language Arts & EBRW for CO PSAT | | | Mathematics | | | | Science | | | |
|-----------------|---|--------|---------|-------------|-------|--------|---------|----------|-------|--------|-------|
| Percentile | Elem | Middle | CMAS g9 | PSAT g10 | Elem | Middle | CMAS g9 | PSAT g10 | Elem | Middle | High |
| 15th percentile | 722.3 | 724.1 | 724.6 | 433.3 | 719.1 | 716.5 | 717.3 | 426.3 | 531.9 | 527.7 | 564.4 |
| 50th percentile | 739.5 | 740.1 | 739.6 | 475.2 | 734.3 | 731.2 | 729.8 | 458.9 | 601.7 | 591.4 | 609.2 |
| 85th percentile | 755.9 | 757.3 | 753.3 | 518.8 | 751.9 | 746.2 | 746.0 | 504.6 | 655.9 | 643.3 | 651.3 |

| Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator | | | | |
|---|--|---------------|--|--|
| | Cut-Point:The district or school earnedof the points eligible. | | | |
| Ashievenent: Crewth | • at or above 87.5% | Exceeds | | |
| Achievement; Growth; | at or above 62.5% but below 87.5% | Meets | | |
| Postsecondary Readiness | at or above 37.5% but below 62.5% | Approaching | | |
| | • below 37.5% | Does Not Meet | | |

| Total Possible Points by Performance Indicator | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|-----|--|--|--|
| Indicator | Total Possible Points per EMH Level Elementary/Middle High/Dis | | | | | |
| | E & M- 72 points (16 per subject for all students and 8 per subject by disaggregated group) H- 72 points (8 per ELA/EBRW & Math for all students and 4 by disaggregated group, 16 for Science for all students and 8 by disaggregated group) | 40% | 30% | | | |
| Growth | E & M- 48 total points (16 per subject for all students, 8 per subject by disaggregated group) H- 48 points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group) | 60% | 40% | | | |
| Postsecondary Readiness | 18 total points (8 for graduation, 2 for matriculation and 4 for all other sub-indicators) | not applicable | 30% | | | |

| Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | District | School | Accreditation Category/Plan Type | | | |
| | 74.0% | not applicable | Accredited w/Distinction (District only) | | | |
| Total Framework Points | 56.0% | 53.0% | Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School) | | | |
| Total Framework Points | 44.0% | 42.0% | Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School) | | | |
| | 34.0% | 34.0% | Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School) | | | |
| | 25.0% | 25.0% | Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School) | | | |

* 2016 school data used as baseline for CMAS and CoAlt (g3-9) ELA & Math, CMAS Science (g5, 8, 11) and CSLA.

** 2017 school data used as baseline for grade 10 CO PSAT and CO SAT EBRW & Math and CoAlt (g10-11) ELA & Math.