

## 2376: EAST GRAND MIDDLE SCHOOL | 1350: EAST GRAND 2

Level: M - (Multi-Year)

Plan Type				Offi	icial plan ty	pe based on: 1	-Year SP	F report	
Please		perforn report	nance frame	work	86	5.9 / 100	86.9%		
- Governor	's Distinguis	hed Imp	provement A	ward; Jo	ohn Irwin Awa	rd			
of the black title ba framework. The ove eligible. The official	r above. Schools erall percent of fra percent of points participation rate	are assigned amework po s earned is n of 95% on t	d a plan type base oints is calculated matched to the sco wo or more assess	ed on the over from the per oring guide to sments will	erall percent of point ercentage of points e to determine the pla reduce the overall pl	n the right hand corner ts earned on the official arned out of points n type. Failing to meet an type by one level.	Performance		
ndicator Rating							Priority Imp.		
	Weighted Pts erformance Indicators % Pts Earned Earned/Pts Eligible Rating				Rating Meets	Turnaround			
Academic Growth	Academic Achievement75.0%Academic Growth94.8%				30 / 40 56.9 / 60	Exceeds	The plan type presented above is based on the total percent of framework points earned out of points eligible:		
Assurance							Performance:	at or above 53.0%	
Accountability Pa	Accountability Participation Rate			Rating Meets 95%	Improvement: 42.0%-below 5				
est Participatio	on Rates (Rati	ngs are b	ased on Accou	untability	Participation Ra	te)	<b>Priority Impro</b> 34.0%-below 4	<b>vement:</b> at or above 2.0%	
Subject	Total Records	Valid Scores	Participation Rate	Parent Excuses	Participation Rate <sup>**</sup>	Rating	Turnaround: b	elow 34.0%	
English Language	Arts 297	285	96.0%	12	100.0%	Meets 95%		ta: No reportable dat	
Math	296	282	95.3%	14	100.0%	Meets 95%	or only PWR data		
Science	87	82	94.3%	5	100.0%	Meets 95%			
Summary o	of Plan Typ	bes by	EMH Leve						
EMH Level Pe	erformance Indica	itors		% Pts arned	Weighted Pts Earned/Pts Eligible	Rating	Weighted % Pts Earned	Rating by EMH Level	
Middle Ac	cademic Achieve	ment	7	'5.0%	30 / 40	Meets	86.9%	Performance	

(\*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data | For additional information, reference the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(^) Schools with an Insufficient State Data plan type will maintain their previously assigned year on the clock.

(\*\*) The Accountability Participation Rate differs from the "Participation Rate" in the following ways: it excludes Parent Excuses from the denominator; it includes in both the numerator and denominator English Learners in their first year in the United States who took WIDA ACCESS for ELLs instead of the PARCC ELA assessment.



# Final 2017 School Performance Framework

## 2376: EAST GRAND MIDDLE SCHOOL | 1350: EAST GRAND 2

Level: Middle - (Multi-Year)

## ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

ACADEIVIIC							
Subject	Student Group	Count	Participation Rate	Mean Scale Score	Percentile Rank	Pts Earned/ Eligible	Rating
CMAS - English	All Students	529	95.9%	761.8	91	16 / 16	Exceeds
Language Arts	English Learners	74	100.0%	752.4	78	1.5 / 2	Meets
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	150	96.4%	746.2	64	1.5 / 2	Meets
	Minority Students	100	97.2%	749.9	74	1.5 / 2	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	47	94.7%	724.9	16	1/2	Approaching
CMAS - Math	All Students	530	95.9%	743.3	79	12 / 16	Meets
	English Learners	76	100.0%	733.5	57	1.5 / 2	Meets
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	151	96.4%	728.2	42	1/2	Approaching
	Minority Students	103	98.2%	731.2	50	1.5 / 2	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	47	93.0%	712.4	8	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
CMAS - Science	All Students	245	94.8%	634.7	79	12 / 16	Meets
	English Learners	31	100.0%	583.0	43	1/2	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	55	93.7%	582.3	43	1/2	Approaching
	Minority Students	35	97.6%	592.4	51	1.5 / 2	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	18	95.0%	513.8	9	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
TOTAL		*	*	*	*	54 / 72	Meets

## ACADEMIC GROWTH

Subject	Student Group	Count	Median Growth Percentile	Pts Earned/Eligible	Rating
CMAS - English	All Students	491	75.0	16 / 16	Exceeds
Language Arts	English Learners	75	79.0	2 / 2	Exceeds
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	135	73.0	2 / 2	Exceeds
	Minority Students	95	75.0	2 / 2	Exceeds
	Students with Disabilities	43	61.0	1.5 / 2	Meets
CMAS - Math	All Students	490	65.0	16 / 16	Exceeds
	English Learners	75	61.0	1.5 / 2	Meets
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	134	64.5	1.5 / 2	Meets
	Minority Students	96	57.5	1.5 / 2	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	42	51.5	1.5 / 2	Meets
ELP	English Language Proficiency	n < 20	-	0 / 0	-
TOTAL		*	*	45.5 / 48	Exceeds

This page displays the performance indicator data for the middle school level. For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings see the scoring guide at the end of this document.

The Participation Rate includes parent excuses in the denominator and excludes English Learners in their first year in the United States who took the WIDA ACCESS for ELLS instead of the PARCC ELA assessment in the numerator and denominator.

Academic Achievement: reflects the mean scale score for the identified subject and student group based on 2017 assessment results. Academic Growth: reflects the median student growth percentile for the identified student group based on 2017 CMAS PARCC growth results for Math and English Language Arts. English Language Proficiency growth is based on 2015 student growth percentiles (from WIDA ACCESS for ELLs results).

Data on this page are based on results from 2016-17, unless otherwise noted. For additional information, reference the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(\*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric Rating Point Value									
	The district or school's mean scale score was*:	All St	udents	Ľ	Disaggregated Group					
		E & M (and H	H ELA/EB	RW E&M	И (and H	H ELA	/EBRW			
	see table below for actual values	Science)	e) & Math		Science)		Math			
	at or above the 85th percentile	Exceeds	16	8		2.0	1	.00		
Academic Achievement	• at or above the 50th percentile but below the 85th percentile	Meets	12	6	6 1.5		0.75			
	at or above the 15th percentile but below the 50th percentile	Approaching	8	4		1.0	0	).50		
	below the 15th percentile	Does Not Meet	4	2		0.5	0	).25		
	Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (bonus point)									
	CMAS ELA Mean scale score at or above 725 (Approaching Expectation	ns cut-score)		2 k	onus poir	nts				
	Median Growth Percentile was:		All Studer	nts	Disaggre	gated Gro	up	ELP		
	Median Growth Percentile was.		E & M	Н	E & M	F	1	ELP		
Academic Growth	at or above 65	Exceeds	16	8	2.0	1.0	00	-		
Academic Growth	• at or above 50 but below 65	Meets	12	6	1.5	0.7	75	-		
	• at or above 35 but below 50	Approaching	8	4	1.0	0.5	50	-		
	• below 35	Does Not Meet	4	2	0.5	0.2	25	-		
	Mean CO SAT Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (EBRW) scale score wa	s**:								
	• at or above 559.1	2.0								
	• at or above 509.2 but below 559.1	Meets	1.5							
	at or above 462.3 but below 509.2			1.0						
	• below 462.3	0.5								
	Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**:									
	• at or above 543.4	2.0								
	• at or above 491.7 but below 543.4	Meets	1.5							
	• at or above 446.5 but below 491.7	Approaching	1.0							
	• below 446.5	0.5								
	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rate was (of all schools in 2016):									
	at or below 0.5%	4								
Postsecondary and	at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%	Meets	3							
Workforce Readiness	at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%	Approaching	2							
	above 5.0%	1								
	• above 5.0% Does Not Meet 1 Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2016):									
	• at or above the 73.1%	2.0								
	at or above 59.3% but below 73.1%	1.5								
	• at or above 41.4% but below 59.3%	1.0								
	• below 41.1%	0.5								
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate (Best of 4-, 5-, 6-, or	All Students Each Disaggregated			Grou					
	• at or above 95.0%	Exceeds		4	200		.00	5.00		
	• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%	Meets		3			.75			
	• at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%	Approaching	2				.50			
	• below 75.0%	Does Not Meet		1			.25			

#### Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the Achievement Indicators have been established utilizing baseline year data.\*

	English Language Arts & EBRW for CO PSAT			Mathematics				Science			
Percentile	Elem	Middle	CMAS g9	PSAT g10	Elem	Middle	CMAS g9	PSAT g10	Elem	Middle	High
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	724.6	433.3	719.1	716.5	717.3	426.3	531.9	527.7	564.4
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	739.6	475.2	734.3	731.2	729.8	458.9	601.7	591.4	609.2
85th percentile	755.9	757.3	753.3	518.8	751.9	746.2	746.0	504.6	655.9	643.3	651.3

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator				
	Cut-Point:The district or school earnedof the points eligible.			
Ashievenent: Crewth	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		
Achievement; Growth;	<ul> <li>at or above 62.5% but below 87.5%</li> </ul>	Meets		
Postsecondary Readiness	<ul> <li>at or above 37.5% but below 62.5%</li> </ul>	Approaching		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		

Total Possible Points by Performance Indicator						
Indicator	Total Possible Points per EMH Level Elementary/Middle High/Distri					
	E & M- 72 points (16 per subject for all students and 8 per subject by disaggregated group) H- 72 points (8 per ELA/EBRW & Math for all students and 4 by disaggregated group, 16 for Science for all students and 8 by disaggregated group)	40%	30%			
Growth	E & M- 48 total points (16 per subject for all students, 8 per subject by disaggregated group) H- 48 points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group)	60%	40%			
Postsecondary Readiness	18 total points (8 for graduation, 2 for matriculation and 4 for all other sub-indicators)	not applicable	30%			

Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment						
	District	School	Accreditation Category/Plan Type			
	74.0%	not applicable	Accredited w/Distinction (District only)			
Total Framework Points	56.0%	53.0%	Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)			
Total Framework Points	44.0%	42.0%	Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)			
	34.0%	34.0%	Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)			
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)			

\* 2016 school data used as baseline for CMAS and CoAlt (g3-9) ELA & Math, CMAS Science (g5, 8, 11) and CSLA.

\*\* 2017 school data used as baseline for grade 10 CO PSAT and CO SAT EBRW & Math and CoAlt (g10-11) ELA & Math.