

Final 2017 School Performance Framework

4379: JAMES IRWIN CHARTER MIDDLE SCHOOL | 0980: HARRISON 2

Level: M - (Multi-Year)

Plan Type				Offi	icial plan typ	be based on: 1	L-Year SPI	F report
Pleas	se see official	perforn report	nance frame	work	59	.4 / 100		
			-					
				-		the right hand corner searned on the official		59.4%
ramework. The c ligible. The offici he accountability	overall percent of fra ial percent of points	amework po earned is n of 95% on ty	bints is calculated natched to the sco wo or more assess	from the pe oring guide sments will	ercentage of points ea to determine the plar reduce the overall pla	arned out of points n type. Failing to meet	Performance	
ndicator Ratii	ng Totals						Priority Imp.	
Performance Ir	dicators		% Pts Earne	ad Er	Weighted Pts arned/Pts Eligible	Rating		
			73.5%	su Lo	29.4 / 40	Meets	Turnaround	
Academic Achievement Academic Growth			50.0%		30 / 60 Approaching		The plan type presented above is based on the total percent of framework points earned out of points eligible:	
							points eligible:	
ssurance								at or above 53.0%
	Participation Rate	_	_			Rating Meets 95%	Performance:	at or above 53.0% at or above
Accountability I	Participation Rate tion Rates (Rati	ngs are ba	ased on Accou	Intability	Participation Ra	Meets 95%	Performance: Improvement: 42.0%-below 5	at or above 53.0% at or above 53.0%
Accountability I		ngs are ba	ased on Accou	ıntability	Participation Ra	Meets 95%	Performance: Improvement: 42.0%-below 5	at or above 53.0% : at or above 53.0% vement: at or above
Accountability I	tion Rates (Ratio				Accountability	Meets 95%	Performance: Improvement: 42.0%-below 5 Priority Improv 34.0%-below 4	at or above 53.0% at or above 53.0% vement: at or above 12.0%
Accountability I est Participa		ngs are b Valid Scores	ased on Accou Participation Rate	Intability Parent Excuses		Meets 95%	Performance: Improvement: 42.0%-below 5 Priority Improv	at or above 53.0% at or above 53.0% vement: at or above 12.0%
Accountability I est Participa t Subject	tion Rates (Ratin Total Records	Valid	Participation	Parent	Accountability Participation	Meets 95%	Performance: 1 Improvement: 42.0%-below 5 Priority Improv 34.0%-below 4 Turnaround: b Insufficient Da	at or above 53.0% at or above 53.0% vement: at or above 12.0% relow 34.0% ita : No reportable da
Accountability I est Participat Subject English Languag	tion Rates (Ratin Total Records	Valid Scores	Participation Rate	Parent Excuses	Accountability Participation Rate**	Meets 95% te) Rating	Performance: 1 Improvement: 42.0%-below 5 Priority Improv 34.0%-below 4 Turnaround: b	at or above 53.0% at or above 53.0% vement: at or above 12.0% relow 34.0% ita : No reportable da
Accountability I est Participat Subject English Languag Math	tion Rates (Ratio Total Records ge Arts 431	Valid Scores 188	Participation Rate 43.6%	Parent Excuses 243	Accountability Participation Rate** 100.0%	Meets 95% te) Rating Meets 95%	Performance: 1 Improvement: 42.0%-below 5 Priority Improv 34.0%-below 4 Turnaround: b Insufficient Da	at or above 53.0% at or above 53.0% vement: at or above 12.0% relow 34.0% ta : No reportable da
Accountability I Test Participat Subject English Languag Math Science	tion Rates (Ratio Total Records ge Arts 431 431	Valid Scores 188 186 43	Participation Rate 43.6% 43.2% 31.9%	Parent Excuses 243 243 92	Accountability Participation Rate** 100.0% 98.9%	Meets 95% te) Rating Meets 95% Meets 95%	Performance: 1 Improvement: 42.0%-below 5 Priority Improv 34.0%-below 4 Turnaround: b Insufficient Da	at or above 53.0% at or above 53.0% vement: at or above 12.0% relow 34.0% ta : No reportable da
Accountability I Test Participat Subject English Languag Math Science Summary	tion Rates (Ration Total Records ge Arts 431 431 135 of Plan Typ	Valid Scores 188 186 43 Des by	Participation Rate 43.6% 43.2% 31.9% EMH Leve	Parent Excuses 243 243 92	Accountability Participation Rate** 100.0% 98.9% 100.0% Weighted Pts	Meets 95% te) Rating Meets 95% Meets 95% Meets 95%	Performance: Improvement: 42.0%-below 5 Priority Improv 34.0%-below 4 Turnaround: b Insufficient Da or only PWR da	at or above 53.0% at or above 53.0% vement: at or above 12.0% elow 34.0% ata: No reportable da ata Rating by EMH
Test Participat	tion Rates (Ration Total Records ge Arts 431 431 135	Valid Scores 188 186 43 Des by	Participation Rate 43.6% 43.2% 31.9% EMH Leve 8 EMH Leve	Parent Excuses 243 243 92	Accountability Participation Rate** 100.0% 98.9% 100.0%	Meets 95% te) Rating Meets 95% Meets 95%	Performance: Improvement: 42.0%-below 5 Priority Improv 34.0%-below 4 Turnaround: b Insufficient Da or only PWR da	at or above 53.0% at or above 53.0% vement: at or above 12.0% relow 34.0% ta : No reportable da

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data | For additional information, reference the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(^) Schools with an Insufficient State Data plan type will maintain their previously assigned year on the clock.

(**) The Accountability Participation Rate differs from the "Participation Rate" in the following ways: it excludes Parent Excuses from the denominator; it includes in both the numerator and denominator English Learners in their first year in the United States who took WIDA ACCESS for ELLs instead of the PARCC ELA assessment.



Final 2017 School Performance Framework

4379: JAMES IRWIN CHARTER MIDDLE SCHOOL | 0980: HARRISON 2

Level: Middle - (Multi-Year)

ACADEMIC	ACHIEVEMENT						
			Participation	Mean Scale	Percentile	Pts Earned/	
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	Score	Rank	Eligible	Rating
CMAS - English	All Students	382	43.9%	741.1	51	12 / 16	Meets
Language Arts	English Learners	92	48.7%	738.9	46	1/2	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	201	45.4%	738.3	45	1/2	Approaching
	Minority Students	257	46.1%	741.3	52	1.5 / 2	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
CMAS - Math	All Students	378	43.4%	744.4	81	12 / 16	Meets
	English Learners	91	48.1%	743.6	80	1.5 / 2	Meets
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	199	44.9%	742.3	77	1.5 / 2	Meets
	Minority Students	254	45.5%	743.7	80	1.5 / 2	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
CMAS - Science	All Students	147	35.9%	601.4	57	12 / 16	Meets
	English Learners	28	38.4%	605.2	59	1.5 / 2	Meets
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	71	36.0%	599.0	55	1.5 / 2	Meets
	Minority Students	97	37.6%	602.3	57	1.5 / 2	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	n < 16	-	-	-	0/0	-
TOTAL		*	*	*	*	48.5 / 66	Meets

ACADEMIC GROWTH Pts Earned/Eligible CMAS - English All Students 319 35.0 8/16 Approaching Language Arts **English Learners** 1/2 Approaching 80 37.5 Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible 169 34.0 .5/2 **Does Not Meet Minority Students** 217 36.0 1/2 Approaching Students with Disabilities 0/0 n < 20 CMAS - Math All Students Approaching 318 48.0 8/16 **English Learners** 79 1.5 / 2 50.0 Meets Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible 168 46.0 1/2 Approaching **Minority Students** 214 48.0 1/2 Approaching Students with Disabilities n < 20 0/0 -ELP **English Language Proficiency** 0/0 n < 20 * * TOTAL 22/44 Approaching

This page displays the performance indicator data for the middle school level. For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings see the scoring guide at the end of this document.

The Participation Rate includes parent excuses in the denominator and excludes English Learners in their first year in the United States who took the WIDA ACCESS for ELLS instead of the PARCC ELA assessment in the numerator and denominator.

Academic Achievement: reflects the mean scale score for the identified subject and student group based on 2017 assessment results. Academic Growth: reflects the median student growth percentile for the identified student group based on 2017 CMAS PARCC growth results for Math and English Language Arts. English Language Proficiency growth is based on 2015 student growth percentiles (from WIDA ACCESS for ELLs results).

Data on this page are based on results from 2016-17, unless otherwise noted. For additional information, reference the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric	Rating		Poi	nt Value					
	The district or school's mean scale score was*:	All St	Dis	Disaggregated Group						
			E & M (and H	H ELA/EBRV	V E & M	E & M (and H H ELA/EE		/EBRW		
	see table below for actual values		Science)	& Math	Scie	Science)		Math		
	at or above the 85th percentile	Exceeds	16	8	2	.0	1.	.00		
Academic Achievement	at or above the 50th percentile but below the 85th percentile	Meets	12	12 6 1.5		.5	0.75			
	at or above the 15th percentile but below the 50th percentile	Approaching	proaching 8 4		1	1.0		0.50		
	below the 15th percentile	Does Not Meet	4	2	0	.5	0.	.25		
	Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (bonus point)									
	CMAS ELA Mean scale score at or above 725 (Approaching Expectation	ns cut-score)		2 bo	nus point	S				
	Median Growth Percentile was:		All Studer	nts D	isaggrega	ated Grou	лb	ELP		
	Median Growth Percentile was.		E & M	H E	& M	Н		ELP		
Academic Growth	at or above 65	Exceeds	16	8	2.0	1.0	0	-		
Academic Growth	• at or above 50 but below 65	Meets	12	6	1.5	0.7	5	-		
	• at or above 35 but below 50	Approaching	8	4	1.0	0.5	0	-		
	• below 35	Does Not Meet	4	2	0.5	0.2	5	-		
	Mean CO SAT Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (EBRW) scale score wa	s**:								
	• at or above 559.1	2.0								
	• at or above 509.2 but below 559.1	1.5								
	at or above 462.3 but below 509.2	1.0								
	• below 462.3	0.5								
	Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**:									
	• at or above 543.4	2.0								
	• at or above 491.7 but below 543.4	1.5								
	• at or above 446.5 but below 491.7	1.0								
	• below 446.5	0.5								
	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rate was (of all schools in 2016):									
	at or below 0.5%	4								
Postsecondary and	at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%	3								
Workforce Readiness	at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%	2								
	above 5.0%	1								
	• above 5.0% Does Not Meet 1 Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2016):									
	• at or above the 73.1%	2.0								
	at or above 59.3% but below 73.1%	1.5								
	• at or above 41.4% but below 59.3%	1.0								
	• below 41.1%			0.5						
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate (Best of 4-, 5-, 6-, or	All Students Each Disaggregated			pated	Grou				
	• at or above 95.0%	Exceeds	4 1.00			-	5.00			
	• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%	Meets	3				0.75			
	• at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%	Approaching	2 0.5			-				
	• below 75.0%	Does Not Meet		1	-	0.2				

Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the Achievement Indicators have been established utilizing baseline year data.*

	English Language Arts & EBRW for CO PSAT			Mathematics				Science			
Percentile	Elem	Middle	CMAS g9	PSAT g10	Elem	Middle	CMAS g9	PSAT g10	Elem	Middle	High
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	724.6	433.3	719.1	716.5	717.3	426.3	531.9	527.7	564.4
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	739.6	475.2	734.3	731.2	729.8	458.9	601.7	591.4	609.2
85th percentile	755.9	757.3	753.3	518.8	751.9	746.2	746.0	504.6	655.9	643.3	651.3

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator						
	Cut-Point:The district or school earnedof the points eligible.					
Ashievenent: Crewth	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds				
Achievement; Growth;	 at or above 62.5% but below 87.5% 	Meets				
Postsecondary Readiness	 at or above 37.5% but below 62.5% 	Approaching				
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet				

Total Possible Points by Performance Indicator							
Indicator	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	High/District					
	E & M- 72 points (16 per subject for all students and 8 per subject by disaggregated group) H- 72 points (8 per ELA/EBRW & Math for all students and 4 by disaggregated group, 16 for Science for all students and 8 by disaggregated group)	40%	30%				
Growth	E & M- 48 total points (16 per subject for all students, 8 per subject by disaggregated group) H- 48 points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group)	60%	40%				
Postsecondary Readiness	18 total points (8 for graduation, 2 for matriculation and 4 for all other sub-indicators)	not applicable	30%				

Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment							
	District School		Accreditation Category/Plan Type				
	74.0%	not applicable	Accredited w/Distinction (District only)				
Total Framework Points	56.0%	53.0%	Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)				
Total Framework Points	44.0%	42.0%	Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)				
	34.0%	34.0%	Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)				
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)				

* 2016 school data used as baseline for CMAS and CoAlt (g3-9) ELA & Math, CMAS Science (g5, 8, 11) and CSLA.

** 2017 school data used as baseline for grade 10 CO PSAT and CO SAT EBRW & Math and CoAlt (g10-11) ELA & Math.