

## 1306: CARMEL MIDDLE SCHOOL | 0980: HARRISON 2

Level: M - (Multi-Year)

Plan Ty	pe				Offi	icial plan ty	pe based on: 1	L-Year SPI	F report
Ple	ease see		perforn report	nance frame	work	44	.7 / 100		
- Will ei	nter Yea	r 1 of Pr	iority In	nprovement	or Turna	around			
of the black ti ramework. Tl eligible. The o he accountat Please see the	tle bar abov he overall pe fficial percen bility particip e scoring gui	e. Schools a ercent of fra nt of points pation rate o de at the er	are assigned amework po earned is r of 95% on t	d a plan type base pints is calculated natched to the sc	ed on the ov from the pe oring guide sments will	rerall percent of poin ercentage of points e to determine the pla reduce the overall p	n the right hand corner ts earned on the official arned out of points n type. Failing to meet an type by one level.	Performance Improvement	44.7%
Indicator Rating Totals Performance Indicators				% Pts Earne	ed Ea	Weighted Pts arned/Pts Eligible	Rating	Priority Imp.	
Academic Achievement Academic Growth			38.2% 49.0%		15.3 / 40 29.4 / 60	Approaching Approaching	The plan type presented above is based on the total percent of framework points earned out of points eligible:		
ssurance									at or above 53.0%
Accountability Participation Rate						Rating Meets 95%	<i>Improvement:</i> 42.0%-below 5		
est Partici	pation Ra	tes (Ratin	ngs are b	ased on Accoເ	untability	Participation Ra	ite)	<b>Priority Impro</b> 34.0%-below 4	<b>vement:</b> at or above 2.0%
		Total	Valid	Participation	Parent	Participation		Turnaround: b	elow 34.0%
Subject English Long		Records	Scores	Rate	Excuses	Rate**	Rating	Insufficient Da	ta: No reportable dat
English Lang	udge Arts	390 394	386 388	99.0% 98.5%	1	99.2% 98.7%	Meets 95% Meets 95%	or only PWR da	
Math Science		121	120	98.5%	0	99.7%	Meets 95%		
Summa		lan Typ	bes by	EMH Leve	% Pts	Weighted Pts		Weighted %	Rating by EMH
EMH Level		ance Indica				Earned/Pts Eligible	Rating	Pts Earned	Level
Middle		ic Achieve	ment		8.2% 9.0%	15.3 / 40 29.4 / 60	Approaching Approaching	44.7%	Improvement
	Academ								

(\*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data | For additional information, reference the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(^) Schools with an Insufficient State Data plan type will maintain their previously assigned year on the clock.

(\*\*) The Accountability Participation Rate differs from the "Participation Rate" in the following ways: it excludes Parent Excuses from the denominator; it includes in both the numerator and denominator English Learners in their first year in the United States who took WIDA ACCESS for ELLs instead of the PARCC ELA assessment.



# Final 2017 School Performance Framework

### 1306: CARMEL MIDDLE SCHOOL | 0980: HARRISON 2

Level: Middle - (Multi-Year)

# ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

			Participation	Mean Scale	Percentile	Pts Earned/	
Subject	Student Group	Count	Rate	Score	Rank	Eligible	Rating
CMAS - English	All Students	696	97.2%	724.4	15	8/16	Approaching
Language Arts	English Learners	230	98.3%	722.2	12	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	639	97.6%	724.0	14	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	564	97.0%	722.5	12	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
	Students with Disabilities	86	98.2%	695.5	1	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
CMAS - Math	All Students	717	97.0%	712.5	8	4/16	Does Not Meet
	English Learners	253	98.3%	710.7	6	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	657	97.4%	712.1	8	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	582	96.8%	711.2	6	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
	Students with Disabilities	88	98.2%	694.3	1	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
CMAS - Science	All Students	358	95.5%	561.4	32	8/16	Approaching
	English Learners	109	96.1%	552.7	26	1/2	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	322	95.6%	562.4	33	1/2	Approaching
	Minority Students	293	95.0%	554.9	27	1/2	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	36	95.2%	468.4	1	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
TOTAL		*	*	*	*	27.5 / 72	Approaching

## ACADEMIC GROWTH

ACABEINIC					
Subject	Student Group	Count	Median Growth Percentile	Pts Earned/Eligible	Rating
CMAS - English	All Students	641	44.0	8/16	Approaching
Language Arts	English Learners	225	42.0	1/2	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	593	44.0	1/2	Approaching
	Minority Students	520	43.0	1/2	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	77	32.0	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
CMAS - Math	All Students	602	37.0	8 / 16	Approaching
	English Learners	210	36.0	1/2	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	554	36.0	1/2	Approaching
	Minority Students	489	39.0	1/2	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	77	35.0	1/2	Approaching
ELP	English Language Proficiency	n < 20	-	0 / 0	-
TOTAL		*	*	23.5 / 48	Approaching

This page displays the performance indicator data for the middle school level. For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings see the scoring guide at the end of this document.

The Participation Rate includes parent excuses in the denominator and excludes English Learners in their first year in the United States who took the WIDA ACCESS for ELLS instead of the PARCC ELA assessment in the numerator and denominator.

Academic Achievement: reflects the mean scale score for the identified subject and student group based on 2017 assessment results. Academic Growth: reflects the median student growth percentile for the identified student group based on 2017 CMAS PARCC growth results for Math and English Language Arts. English Language Proficiency growth is based on 2015 student growth percentiles (from WIDA ACCESS for ELLs results).

Data on this page are based on results from 2016-17, unless otherwise noted. For additional information, reference the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(\*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric Rating Point Value									
	The district or school's mean scale score was*:		All St	udents	Ľ	Disaggregated Group				
			E & M (and H	H ELA/EB	RW E&M	И (and H	H ELA	/EBRW		
	see table below for actual values	Science) & Math		n Sc	Science)		& Math			
	at or above the 85th percentile	Exceeds	16	8		2.0		.00		
Academic Achievement	at or above the 50th percentile but below the 85th percentile	Meets	12 6 1.5		1.5	5 0.75				
	at or above the 15th percentile but below the 50th percentile	Approaching	8	4		1.0	0	).50		
	below the 15th percentile	Does Not Meet	4	2		0.5		).25		
	Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (bonus point)									
	CMAS ELA Mean scale score at or above 725 (Approaching Expectation	ns cut-score)		2 k	onus poir	nts				
	Median Growth Percentile was:		All Studer	nts	Disaggre	gated Gro	up	ELP		
	Median Growth Percentile was.		E & M	Н	E & M	F	1	ELP		
Academic Growth	at or above 65	Exceeds	16	8	2.0	1.0	00	-		
Academic Growth	• at or above 50 but below 65	Meets	12	6	1.5	0.7	75	-		
	• at or above 35 but below 50	Approaching	8	4	1.0	0.5	50	-		
	• below 35	Does Not Meet	4	2	0.5	0.2	25	-		
	Mean CO SAT Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (EBRW) scale score wa	s**:								
	• at or above 559.1	2.0								
	• at or above 509.2 but below 559.1	Meets	1.5							
	at or above 462.3 but below 509.2			1.0						
	• below 462.3	0.5								
	Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**:									
	• at or above 543.4	2.0								
	• at or above 491.7 but below 543.4	Meets	1.5							
	• at or above 446.5 but below 491.7	Approaching	1.0							
	• below 446.5	0.5								
	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rate was (of all schools in 2016):									
	at or below 0.5%	4								
Postsecondary and	at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%	3								
Workforce Readiness	at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%	2								
	above 5.0%	1								
	Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2016):									
	• at or above the 73.1%	2.0								
	at or above 59.3% but below 73.1%	1.5								
	• at or above 41.4% but below 59.3%	1.0								
	• below 41.1%	0.5								
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate (Best of 4-, 5-, 6-, or	All Students Each Disaggregated			Grou					
	• at or above 95.0%	Exceeds		4	200		.00	5.00		
	• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%	Meets		3			.75			
	• at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%	Approaching				.50				
	• below 75.0%	Does Not Meet		1			.25			

#### Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the Achievement Indicators have been established utilizing baseline year data.\*

	English Language Arts & EBRW for CO PSAT				Mathematics				Science		
Percentile	Elem	Middle	CMAS g9	PSAT g10	Elem	Middle	CMAS g9	PSAT g10	Elem	Middle	High
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	724.6	433.3	719.1	716.5	717.3	426.3	531.9	527.7	564.4
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	739.6	475.2	734.3	731.2	729.8	458.9	601.7	591.4	609.2
85th percentile	755.9	757.3	753.3	518.8	751.9	746.2	746.0	504.6	655.9	643.3	651.3

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator							
	Cut-Point:The district or school earnedof the points eligible.						
Ashievenent: Crewth	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds					
Achievement; Growth;	<ul> <li>at or above 62.5% but below 87.5%</li> </ul>	Meets					
Postsecondary Readiness	<ul> <li>at or above 37.5% but below 62.5%</li> </ul>	Approaching					
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet					

Total Possible Points by Performance Indicator						
Indicator	Total Possible Points per EMH Level Elementary/Middle High/Dis					
	E & M- 72 points (16 per subject for all students and 8 per subject by disaggregated group) H- 72 points (8 per ELA/EBRW & Math for all students and 4 by disaggregated group, 16 for Science for all students and 8 by disaggregated group)	40%	30%			
Growth	E & M- 48 total points (16 per subject for all students, 8 per subject by disaggregated group) H- 48 points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group)	60%	40%			
Postsecondary Readiness	18 total points (8 for graduation, 2 for matriculation and 4 for all other sub-indicators)	not applicable	30%			

Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment						
	District	School	Accreditation Category/Plan Type			
	74.0%	not applicable	Accredited w/Distinction (District only)			
Total Framework Points	56.0%	53.0%	Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)			
Total Framework Points	44.0%	42.0%	Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)			
	34.0%	34.0%	Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)			
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)			

\* 2016 school data used as baseline for CMAS and CoAlt (g3-9) ELA & Math, CMAS Science (g5, 8, 11) and CSLA.

\*\* 2017 school data used as baseline for grade 10 CO PSAT and CO SAT EBRW & Math and CoAlt (g10-11) ELA & Math.