

1352: CASEY MIDDLE SCHOOL | 0480: BOULDER VALLEY RE 2

Level: M - (Multi-Year)

Plan Typ	be				Offi	cial plan ty	pe based on: 1	-Year SPF	report
Ple	Please see official performance framework report				work	46	5.1 / 100		
				-					
f the black tit ramework. Th ligible. The of he accountab	le bar abov ne overall pe fficial perce ility particip	e. Schools a ercent of fra nt of points pation rate o	are assigned amework po earned is n of 95% on ty	d a plan type base pints is calculated natched to the sco	ed on the over from the pe oring guide t sments will r	erall percent of poin rcentage of points e o determine the pla educe the overall p	n the right hand corner ts earned on the official arned out of points n type. Failing to meet lan type by one level.	Performance 4 Improvement	6.1%
ndicator Ra	ating Tota	als						Priority Imp.	
						Weighted Pts			
Performance				% Pts Earne	ed Ea	rned/Pts Eligible 16.7 / 40	Rating Approaching	Turnaround	
Academic Achievement Academic Growth			41.7% 49.0%		29.4 / 60Approaching		The plan type presented above is based on the total percent of framework points earned out of points eligible:		
ssurance								Performance: a	t or above 53.0%
Accountabili	ty Participa	ation Rate					Rating Meets 95%	<i>Improvement:</i> 42.0%-below 53	
est Particir	oation Ra	ites (Ratii	ngs are b	ased on Accou	Intability	Participation Ra	ite)	Onionite deserves	
						Accountability		34.0%-below 42	ement: at or above 2.0%
Subject		Total Records	Valid Scores	Participation Rate	Parent Excuses	Participation Rate**	Rating	Turnaround: be	elow 34.0%
English Lang	uage Arts	650	454	69.8%	191	99.1%	Meets 95%	Insufficient Dat	a: No reportable dat
	0	649	451	69.5%	196	99.6%	Meets 95%	or only PWR da	ta
Math		215	100	46.5%	88	78.7%	Does Not Meet 95%		
Math		215							
Math Science	ry of <u>Pl</u>	-	es by	EMH Leve					
Math Science Summai		lan Typ	-	9	% Pts	Weighted Pts	Rating	Weighted %	Rating by EMH
Math Science	Perform	-	tors	9 Ea	% Pts	Weighted Pts Earned/Pts Eligible 16.7 / 40	Rating Approaching	Weighted % Pts Earned 46.1%	Rating by EMH Level Improvement

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data | For additional information, reference the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(^) Schools with an Insufficient State Data plan type will maintain their previously assigned year on the clock.

(**) The Accountability Participation Rate differs from the "Participation Rate" in the following ways: it excludes Parent Excuses from the denominator; it includes in both the numerator and denominator English Learners in their first year in the United States who took WIDA ACCESS for ELLs instead of the PARCC ELA assessment.



Final 2017 School Performance Framework

1352: CASEY MIDDLE SCHOOL | 0480: BOULDER VALLEY RE 2

Level: Middle - (Multi-Year)

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

Subject	Student Group	Count	Participation Rate	Mean Scale Score	Percentile Rank	Pts Earned/ Eligible	Rating
CMAS - English	All Students	791	63.4%	739.3	47	8 / 16	Approaching
Language Arts	English Learners	311	71.8%	711.1	1	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	370	70.0%	716.2	5	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	396	67.8%	720.0	9	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
	Students with Disabilities	107	67.3%	701.3	1	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
CMAS - Math	All Students	785	62.5%	729.9	46	8 / 16	Approaching
	English Learners	315	71.6%	704.0	1	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	369	68.9%	707.5	3	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	398	67.4%	712.0	8	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
	Students with Disabilities	103	64.8%	698.2	1	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
CMAS - Science	All Students	292	47.6%	554.1	26	8 / 16	Approaching
	English Learners	127	56.0%	450.5	1	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	130	50.2%	460.5	1	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	148	51.5%	480.1	2	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
	Students with Disabilities	42	58.1%	442.8	1	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
TOTAL		*	*	*	*	30 / 72	Approaching

ACADEMIC GROWTH

ACABEINIC					
Subject	Student Group	Count	Median Growth Percentile	Pts Earned/Eligible	Rating
CMAS - English	All Students	660	46.0	8 / 16	Approaching
Language Arts	English Learners	262	42.5	1/2	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	320	44.0	1/2	Approaching
	Minority Students	339	44.0	1/2	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	91	45.0	1/2	Approaching
CMAS - Math	All Students	655	43.0	8 / 16	Approaching
	English Learners	275	39.0	1/2	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	328	38.0	1/2	Approaching
	Minority Students	348	39.0	1/2	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	90	33.5	.5 / 2	Does Not Meet
ELP	English Language Proficiency	n < 20	-	0 / 0	-
TOTAL		*	*	23.5 / 48	Approaching

This page displays the performance indicator data for the middle school level. For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings see the scoring guide at the end of this document.

The Participation Rate includes parent excuses in the denominator and excludes English Learners in their first year in the United States who took the WIDA ACCESS for ELLS instead of the PARCC ELA assessment in the numerator and denominator.

Academic Achievement: reflects the mean scale score for the identified subject and student group based on 2017 assessment results. Academic Growth: reflects the median student growth percentile for the identified student group based on 2017 CMAS PARCC growth results for Math and English Language Arts. English Language Proficiency growth is based on 2015 student growth percentiles (from WIDA ACCESS for ELLs results).

Data on this page are based on results from 2016-17, unless otherwise noted. For additional information, reference the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric	Rating		Р	oint Value	?				
	The district or school's mean scale score was*:		All St	udents	Ľ	Disaggregated Group				
			E & M (and H	H ELA/EB	RW E&M	E & M (and H H ELA/EBR)				
	see table below for actual values			Science) & Math		Science)		Math		
	at or above the 85th percentile	Exceeds	16	8	8 2.0		1	.00		
Academic Achievement	• at or above the 50th percentile but below the 85th percentile	Meets	12 6 1.5		1.5	0.75				
	at or above the 15th percentile but below the 50th percentile	Approaching	8	4		1.0	0).50		
	below the 15th percentile	Does Not Meet	4	2		0.5	0).25		
	Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (bonus point)									
	CMAS ELA Mean scale score at or above 725 (Approaching Expectation	ns cut-score)		2 k	onus poir	nts				
	Median Growth Percentile was:		All Studer	nts	Disaggre	gated Gro	up	ELP		
	Median Growth Percentile was.		E & M	Н	E & M	F	1	ELP		
Academic Growth	at or above 65	Exceeds	16	8	2.0	1.0	00	-		
Academic Growth	• at or above 50 but below 65	Meets	12	6	1.5	0.7	75	-		
	• at or above 35 but below 50	Approaching	8	4	1.0	0.5	50	-		
	• below 35	Does Not Meet	4	2	0.5	0.2	25	-		
	Mean CO SAT Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (EBRW) scale score wa	s**:								
	• at or above 559.1	Exceeds	2.0							
	• at or above 509.2 but below 559.1	Meets	1.5							
	at or above 462.3 but below 509.2	Approaching	1.0							
	• below 462.3	0.5								
	Mean CO SAT Math scale score was**:									
	• at or above 543.4	2.0								
	• at or above 491.7 but below 543.4	Meets	1.5							
	• at or above 446.5 but below 491.7	Approaching	1.0							
	• below 446.5	0.5								
	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rate was (of all schools in 2016):									
	at or below 0.5%	4								
Postsecondary and	at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%	Meets	3							
Workforce Readiness	at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%	2								
	above 5.0%	1								
	• above 5.0% Does Not Meet 1 Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2016):									
	• at or above the 73.1%	Exceeds	2.0							
	at or above 59.3% but below 73.1%	1.5								
	• at or above 41.4% but below 59.3%			1.0						
	• below 41.1%	0.5								
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate (Best of 4-, 5-, 6-, or	All Students Each Disaggregated			Grou					
	• at or above 95.0%	Exceeds		4	200		.00	5.00		
	• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%	Meets	3		0.75					
	• at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%	Approaching	2				.50			
	• below 75.0%	Does Not Meet		1			.25			

Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the Achievement Indicators have been established utilizing baseline year data.*

	English Language Arts & EBRW for CO PSAT				Mathematics				Science		
Percentile	Elem	Middle	CMAS g9	PSAT g10	Elem	Middle	CMAS g9	PSAT g10	Elem	Middle	High
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	724.6	433.3	719.1	716.5	717.3	426.3	531.9	527.7	564.4
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	739.6	475.2	734.3	731.2	729.8	458.9	601.7	591.4	609.2
85th percentile	755.9	757.3	753.3	518.8	751.9	746.2	746.0	504.6	655.9	643.3	651.3

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator						
	Cut-Point:The district or school earnedof the points eligible.					
Ashievenent: Crewth	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds				
Achievement; Growth;	 at or above 62.5% but below 87.5% 	Meets				
Postsecondary Readiness	 at or above 37.5% but below 62.5% 	Approaching				
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet				

Total Possible Points by Performance Indicator						
Indicator	Total Possible Points per EMH Level Elementary/Middle High/Distri					
	E & M- 72 points (16 per subject for all students and 8 per subject by disaggregated group) H- 72 points (8 per ELA/EBRW & Math for all students and 4 by disaggregated group, 16 for Science for all students and 8 by disaggregated group)	40%	30%			
Growth	E & M- 48 total points (16 per subject for all students, 8 per subject by disaggregated group) H- 48 points (8 per subject for all students, 4 per subject by disaggregated group)	60%	40%			
Postsecondary Readiness	18 total points (8 for graduation, 2 for matriculation and 4 for all other sub-indicators)	not applicable	30%			

Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment						
	District	School	Accreditation Category/Plan Type			
	74.0%	not applicable	Accredited w/Distinction (District only)			
Total Framework Points	56.0%	53.0%	Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)			
Total Framework Points	44.0%	42.0%	Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)			
	34.0%	34.0%	Accredited w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)			
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)			

* 2016 school data used as baseline for CMAS and CoAlt (g3-9) ELA & Math, CMAS Science (g5, 8, 11) and CSLA.

** 2017 school data used as baseline for grade 10 CO PSAT and CO SAT EBRW & Math and CoAlt (g10-11) ELA & Math.