

1785: COLE ARTS AND SCIENCE ACADEMY | 0880: DENVER COUNTY 1

Level: E (1 YEAR) - VERSION A

Plan Type

Improvement Plan

47.8 / 100

Rating

Meets 95%

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, which is based on the data associated with the version type indicated on the upper right-hand corner of this report. Please see the scoring guide at the end of this report for information on the data included with each version. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide to determine the plan type. Failing to meet the accountability participation rate of 95% on more than one assessment will reduce the overall plan type by one level. Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible.

Indicator Rating Totals Weighted Pts Performance Indicators % Pts Earned Earned/Pts Eligible Rating Academic Achievement 25.7% 10.3 / 40 Does Not Meet Academic Growth 62.5% 37.5 / 60 Meets

Performance		
	47.8%	
Improvement		
Priority Imp.		
Turnaround		

Assurance

Accountability Participation Rate

based on the total percent of Framework Points earned out of Points Eligible:

Performance: at or above 53.0%

The plan type presented above is

Improvement: at or above 42.0%-below 53.0%

Priority Improvement: at or above 34.0%-below 42.0%

Turnaround: below 34.0%

Insufficient Data: No reportable data or only PWR data

Test Participation Rates (Ratings are based on Accountability Participation Rate)

Subject	Total Records	Valid Scores	Participation Rate	Parent Excuses	Accountability Participation Rate**	Rating
English Language Arts	231	230	99.6%	0	99.6%	Meets 95%
Math	231	231	100.0%	0	100.0%	Meets 95%
Science	79	79	100.0%	0	100.0%	Meets 95%

^(*) NOT Applicable; (-) NO Reportable Data | For additional information, reference the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

^(^) A rating with Low Participation indicates that the Participation Rate or Accountability Participation Rate for the school falls below 95% in more than one content area.

^(^^) Schools with an Insufficient State Data plan type will maintain their previously assigned year on the clock.

^(**) The Accountability Participation Rate differs from the Participation Rate in the following ways: it excludes Parent Excuses from the denominator; it includes in both the numerator and denominator English Learners in their first year in the United States who took WIDA ACCESS for ELLs instead of the PARCC ELA assessment.



1785: COLE ARTS AND SCIENCE ACADEMY | 0880: DENVER COUNTY 1

Level: Elementary (1 YEAR) - VERSION A

ACADEMIC	ACHIEVEMENT						
Subject	Student Group	Count	Participation Rate	Mean Scale Score	Percentile Rank	Pts Earned/ Eligible	Rating
English	All Students	221	99.6%	721.6	12	2/8	Does Not Meet
Language Arts	Previously Identified for READ Plan	66	100.0%	697.6	1	0/0	-
	English Learners	123	100.0%	722.6	15	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	207	99.5%	719.1	8	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	212	99.5%	720.4	10	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Students with Disabilities	43	97.9%	695.9	1	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
Math	All Students	222	100.0%	714.2	8	2/8	Does Not Meet
	English Learners	123	100.0%	716.4	10	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	208	100.0%	711.8	6	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	213	100.0%	713.6	7	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Students with Disabilities	44	100.0%	693.5	1	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
Science	All Students	77	100.0%	501.7	5	2/8	Does Not Meet
	English Learners	40	100.0%	512.0	8	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	73	100.0%	495.4	4	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Minority Students	74	100.0%	498.3	4	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Students with Disabilities	17	100.0%	446.2	1	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
TOTAL		*	*	*	*	9.25 / 36	Does Not Meet

ACADEMIC	GROWTH				
Subject	Student Group	Count	Median Growth Percentile	Pts Earned/Eligible	Rating
English	All Students	133	60.0	6/8	Meets
Language Arts	English Learners	77	61.0	.75 / 1	Meets
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	126	57.5	.75 / 1	Meets
	Minority Students	129	60.0	.75 / 1	Meets
	Students with Disabilities	25	35.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
Math	All Students	136	35.0	4/8	Approaching
	English Learners	80	33.0	.25 / 1	Does Not Meet
	Free/Reduced-Price Lunch Eligible	129	36.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Minority Students	132	35.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
	Students with Disabilities	26	40.0	.5 / 1	Approaching
ELP (2015)	English Language Proficiency (ELP)	141	58.0	3 / 4	Meets
TOTAL		*	*	17.5 / 28	Meets

This page displays the performance indicator data for the elementary school level. For additional information regarding Academic Achievement and Academic Growth points, cut-points, and ratings see the scoring guide at the end of this document.

The Participation Rate includes parent excuses in the denominator and excludes English Learners in their first year in the United States who took the WIDA ACCESS for ELLS instead of the PARCC ELA assessment in the numerator and denominator.

Academic Achievement: reflects the mean scale score for the identified subject and student group based on 2016 assessment results.

Academic Growth: reflects the median student growth percentile for the identified student group based on 2016 CMAS PARCC growth results for Math and English Language Arts. English Language Proficiency growth is based on 2015 student growth percentiles (from WIDA ACCESS for ELLs results).

Data on this page are based on results from 2015-16, unless otherwise noted. For additional information, reference the scoring guide on the last page of this report.

(*) Not Applicable; (-) No Reportable Data

Performance Indicator	Measure/Metric	Rating		Point Value				
	The district or school's mean scale score was (2016 baseline):		All	- 1	5: 1.16			
	see table below for actual values		Students	Each	n Disaggregated Group			
	at or above the 85th percentile of all schools in 2016	Exceeds	8	1.00				
Academic Achievement	below the 85th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	Meets	6	0.75				
Academic Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	Approaching	4		0.50			
	below the 15th percentile of all schools in 2016	Does Not Meet	2		0.25			
	Students Previously Identified for a READ Plan (bonus point)							
	Mean scale score at or above 725 (CMAS PARCC Level 3 cut)				1 bonus point			
	Median Growth Percentile was:		All Students	Disaggregated Group	English Language Proficiency			
Academic Growth	• at or above 65	Exceeds	8	1.00	4			
Academic Growth	below 65 but at or above 50	Meets	6	0.75	3			
	below 50 but at or above 35	Approaching	4	0.50	2			
	• below 35	Does Not Meet	2	0.25	1			
	Dropout Rate: The district or school dropout rate was (of all school	s in 2015):						
	• at or below 0.5%	Exceeds		4				
	• at or below 2.0% but above 0.5%	3						
	• at or below 5.0% but above 2.0%	Approaching	2					
	• above 5.0%	Does Not Meet	1					
	Average Colorado ACT Composite score was (using 2010 cut-scores):							
	• at or above 22.0	Exceeds	4					
	• at or above 20.0 but below 22.0	Meets		3				
	• at or above 17.0 but below 20.0	Approaching	2					
Postsecondary and	• below 17.0	Does Not Meet			1			
Workforce Readiness	Matriculation Rate (of all schools in 2015):							
Workforce Readilless	at or above the 85th percentile (73.1%)	Exceeds		2.0				
	below the 85th percentile (73.1%) but at or above the 50th	Meets			1.5			
	below the 50th percentile (59.3%) but at or above the 15th	Approaching			1.0			
	below the 15th percentile (41.1%)	Does Not Meet			0.5			
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate (Best of 4-, 5-, 6-, or 7-year):		All Students	Each	n Disaggregated Group			
	• at or above 95.0%	Exceeds	4		1.00			
	• at or above 85.0% but below 95.0%	Meets	3		0.75			
	• at or above 75.0% but below 85.0%	Approaching	2		0.50			
	• below 75.0%	Does Not Meet	1		0.25			

Academic Achievement: Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects achievement as measured by the mean scale score on Colorado's standardized assessments. The presented targets for the achievement indicators have been established utilizing 2016 school baseline CMAS Science, CMAS PARCC and DLM data.

Mean Scale Score by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2016 school baseline)

	English Language Arts			Mathematics			Science					
Percentile	Elem	Middle	High	All	Elem	Middle	High	All	Elem	Middle	High	All
15th percentile	722.3	724.1	724.6	723.1	719.1	716.5	717.3	718.2	531.9	527.7	564.4	538.7
50th percentile	739.5	740.1	739.6	739.6	734.3	731.2	729.8	732.9	601.7	591.4	609.2	600.2
85th percentile	755.9	757.3	753.3	754.9	751.9	746.2	746.0	749.3	655.9	643.3	651.3	652.7

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator					
	Cut-Point:The district or school earnedof the points eligible.				
Ashiovoment: Growth:	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds			
Achievement; Growth; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet			

Fotal Possible Points by Indicator					
Indicator	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Elementary/Middle	High/District		
Achievement	36 total points (8 for each subject for all students and 4 for each subject by disaggregated groups)	40%	30%		
Growth	28 total points (8 for each subject for all students and 4 for each subject by disaggregated groups) and 4 for English language proficiency	60%	40%		
Postsprondary Roadingss	18 total points (4 for each sub-indicator except 8 for graduation, and 2 for matriculation)	not applicable	30%		

Cut-Points for Plan/Category Type Assignment					
	District	School	Plan Type/Category Type		
	74.0%	not applicable	Accredited w/Distinction (District only)		
Total Framework Points	56.0%	53.0%	Accredited (District) or Performance Plan (School)		
Total Framework Points	44.0%	42.0%	Accredited w/Improvement Plan (District) or Improvement Plan (School)		
	34.0% 34.0%	Accr. w/Priority Improvement Plan (District) or Priority Improvement (School)			
	25.0%	25.0%	Accredited w/Turnaround Plan(District) or Turnaround Plan (School)		

Version and Corresponding Data Utilized in Framework					
	Version A	Default one year calculations reported by individual EMH levels			
Version & Included Data	Version B	One year achievement and growth calculations combined across EMH levels with one year PWR calculations			
	Version C	One year achievement and growth calculations reported by individual EMH levels with three year PWR calculations			
	Version D	One year achievement and growth calculations combined across EMH levels with three year PWR calculations			