School: MONTE VISTA SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 6046

District: MONTE VISTA C-8 - 2740 (3 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

TOTAL

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	72.9%	(10.9 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	76.6%	(26.8 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

68.5%

(68.5 out of 100 points)

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stud	dents Tested			Particip	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.0%	99.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	409	409	-	-	413	413
Mathematics	-	-	99.3%	99.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	410	410	-	-	413	413
Writing	-	-	99.0%	99.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	409	409	-	-	413	413
Science	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Social Studies	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	165	165	-	-	166	166

School: MONTE VISTA SENIOR HIGH	SCHOOL - 6046						District: MONTE VISTA C-	Level: High
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	W Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	6 - 2740 (5 Tea
	2	A	% POIIILS	Approaching	376	61.97	25	
Reading Mathematics	2	4	1	Approaching	378	18.78	23	
Writing	2	4			376	43.35	35	
Science	0	0		Approaching	-	43.33	33	
	6	12	50%	Annuarhina	-	-	-	
Total	<u> </u>	12	30%	Approaching				<u>'</u>
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	348	60	29	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	351	48	98	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	348	52	63	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subaraus	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academia Crowth Cons	Dointe Formed	Dainte Flisible	O/ Dointe	Datina	Subgroup	Growth Percentile		· ·
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	235	60	39	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	254	60	41	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	_
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	89	63	53	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	145	62	77	No
Mathematics	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	238	53	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	256	47	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	_
English Learners	3	4		Meets	90	56	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	242	54	99	No
Writing	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	235	55	67	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	254	52	69	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	89	55	81	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	179	55	92	No
Total	35	48	72.9%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		233/ <i>176</i> /121/61	89.3/ <i>91.5</i> /90.9/86.9%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	3.25	4	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		133/ <i>97</i> /66/33	84.2/ <i>86.6</i> /84.8/75.8%	80%
Minority Students	1	1	1	Exceeds		148/107/ 71 /31	88.5/90.7/ <i>91.5</i> /87.1%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	<u>.</u> 1		Approaching		N<16/N<16/N<16	<i>65</i> /-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	1	1		Exceeds		5/ <i>17</i> /N<16/N<16	92/ 94.1 /-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		918	1.5%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		165	17.3	20.1
Colorado ACT Composite Score								

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	82.5	86.9	86.9	86.9
Anticipated Year	2011	91.9	95	95	
of Graduation	2012	92.7	92.7		
	2013	90.6			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	71.9	77.4	75.8	75.8
Anticipated Year	2011	87.9	93.9	93.9	
of Graduation	2012	87.9	87.9		
	2013	88.6			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	81.8	87.1	87.1	87.1
Anticipated Year	2011	90.5	95	95	
of Graduation	2012	88.9	88.9		
	2013	91.9			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	82.5	86.9	86.9	86.9
Anticipated Year	2011	91.9	95	95	
of Graduation	2012	92.7	92.7		
	2013	90.6			
	Aggregated	89.3	91.5	90.9	86.9

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	71.9	77.4	75.8	75.8
Anticipated Year	2011	87.9	93.9	93.9	
of Graduation	2012	87.9	87.9		
	2013	88.6			
	Aggregated	84.2	86.6	84.8	75.8

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	81.8	87.1	87.1	87.1
Anticipated Year	2011	90.5	95	95	
of Graduation	2012	88.9	88.9		
	2013	91.9			
	Aggregated	88.5	90.7	91.5	87.1

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	65	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	92	94.1	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010. 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: H

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficien	ool's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:				,	
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (usin	g 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds	4		16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th	n percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th	Approaching	2		content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 200)	9-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TO	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		60	
Growth Gaps	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate.	The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (using	2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (usin	g 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using	2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using	2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indica	Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type Assignments					
	Plan description				
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.				
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.				
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.				
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.				

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading		Math			Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

									<u> </u>			
		Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1