School Performance Framework 2014

School: PUEBLO CHARTER SCHOOL FOR THE ARTS & SCIENCES - 7209

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Test Participation Rates

		% of Studer	nts Tested			Participati	ion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.3%	100.0%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	436	406	-	842	439	406	-	845
Mathematics	99.5%	100.0%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	437	406	-	843	439	406	-	845
Writing	99.5%	100.0%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	437	406	-	843	439	406	-	845
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	149	119	-	268	149	119	-	268
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	51	49	-	100	51	49	-	100
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

1

COLORADO Department of Education ¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2011-12,2012-13,2013-14

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²						
Academic Achievement	Approaching	54.2%	(13.6 out of 25 points)					
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(33.4 out of 50 points)					
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	54.2%	(13.6 out of 25 points)					

1	TOTAL	60.6%	(60.6 out of 100 points)		
	² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicat	or due to inc	ufficient numbers of students	In these cases, the points	are removed

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

District: PUEBLO CITY 60 - 2690 (3 Year¹)

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: PUEBLO CHARTER SCHO	OL FOR THE AF	RTS & SCIENCES	5 - 7209				District: PUEBLO CITY	60 - 2690 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	434	71.2	47	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	435	65.06	39	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	435	46.44	33	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	<i></i>	Meets	291	49	30	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	292	48	54	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	292	50	45	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Cubanaun	Submers Median Crowth	Cubanous Madian Adamusta	Mada Adamuata
And the Constant Constant	Definite Formeral	Defecte Elizibile	(Deinte	Dettern	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	171	45	37	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	207	48	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0	-	-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	84	53	61	No
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	172	44	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	208	47	59	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	93	47	77	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	172	45	49	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	208	47	49	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	150	47	65	No
Total	20	36	55.6%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: PUEBLO CHARTER SCHO	OL FOR THE AF	RTS & SCIENCES	5 - 7209				District: PUEBLO CITY (50 - 2690 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	403	73.95	55	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	403	42.43	31	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	403	57.32	47	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	396	52	27	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	397	40	73	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	397	49	48	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Cubaroun	Cubaroun Modion	Subgroup Madian Adagusta	Made Adequate
And densis Crowth Cone	Deinte Ferned	Deinte Flizikle	N Deinte	Dating	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	227	52	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	275	51	30	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	37	46	75	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	20	67	36	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4	2001	Approaching	95	52	61	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	228	40	75	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	276	38	75	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	38	97	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	35	78	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	183	38	91	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	228	46	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	276	45	51	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	37	55	87	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	20	54	53	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	157	46	75	No
Total	32	60	53.3%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Pe	Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report									
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points			
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or	advanced was:		T	CAP					
Academic	 at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 20 	09-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16				
Achievement	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per 	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25			
	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).				2	content area)				
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).				1					
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS					
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14				
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50			
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English				
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)				
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		Т	CAP					
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60				
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	• below 70 but at or above 55.			3	(4 for each of 5	25			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3				
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)				

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator		Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

School Plan Type Assig	nments	
	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	ntile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)			
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing		Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading,	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50	
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	ntile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1)8-10 baseline)			
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from		Reading		Math		Writing		Science						
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	
And win Crowth and Andersia Crowth Corre									- dom (on					

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1