School Performance Framework 2014

School: GOODNIGHT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 1504

Level: EM

District: PUEBLO CITY 60 - 2690 (3 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Point	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(18.8 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	63.5%	(31.8 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	65.0%	(16.3 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

TOTAL	66.9%	(66.9 out of 100 points)	
$\frac{1}{2}$ choose more the clinible for all possible points on an indic		······	In the second state and interview of

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates % of Students Tested Total Students Participation Rating Students Tested Content Area Middle High Middle High Middle High Middle Elem Overall Elem Overall Elem Overall Elem High Overall Reading 99.5% 99.8% 99.6% Meets Meets Meets 830 410 -1240 834 411 1245 -_ _ Mathematics 99.9% 98.8% 99.5% Meets Meets 831 406 1237 832 411 1243 -Meets -_ _ 99.9% 831 410 411 1243 Writing 99.8% 99.8% Meets Meets Meets 1241 832 -_ --100.0% 304 95 399 304 96 400 Science 99.0% -99.8% Meets Meets -Meets --Social Studies 98.6% 100.0% -99.0% Meets Meets -Meets 70 27 -97 71 27 _ 98 Colorado ACT -_ _ _ -_ -



COLORADO Department of Education

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: Elementary
School: GOODNIGHT ELEMENTA	RY SCHOOL - 1	504					District: PUEBLO CITY	60 - 2690 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	796	75.88	60	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	797	70.77	51	
Writing	3	4		Meets	797	61.61	63	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	518	50	30	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	520	42	48	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	520	49	39	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	20	28	21	Yes
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ň	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	325	44	35	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	325	50	35	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	68	38	57	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	37	52	36	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	109	51	55	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	327	40	52	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	326	45	52	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	68	39	71	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	38	40	62	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	143	46	74	No
Writing	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	327	46	43	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	326	50	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	68	40	68	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	38	52	49	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	209	50	59	No
Total	33	60	55%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: GOODNIGHT ELEMENTA	RY SCHOOL - 1	504					District: PUEBLO CITY	60 - 2690 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	·
Reading	3	4		Meets	403	83.62	83	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	399	70.68	85	
Writing	3	4		Meets	403	70.22	77	
Science	0	0		-	_	-	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	384	54	24	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	381	52	56	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	385	57	39	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ň	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	225	52	30	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	257	51	28	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	49	53	59	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	24	57	33	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	72	64	61	Yes
Mathematics	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	225	48	64	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	253	52	59	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	48	52	79	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	24	58	63	No
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	101	75	85	No
Writing	17	20	85%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	226	61	45	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	257	56	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	49	57	78	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	24	67	51	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	121	64	72	No
Total	45	60	75%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Pe	erformance Indicators on the School Perform	ance Framework Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or	advanced was:		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 20	09-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10) 	baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	25
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	Approaching 2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.			Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement	
	 at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% 	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description						
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board					
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the					
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority					
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	ntile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	ntile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from		Reading Math			Writing			Science					
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Academic Crowth and Academic Crowth Conc									a dam can	1			

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1