# School Performance Framework 2014

## School: RIVERSIDE SCHOOL - 7388

# Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Meets	66.7%	( 16.7 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	59.6%	( 29.8 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	55.0%	( 13.8 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	TOTAL
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	<sup>2</sup> Schools r
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	from the
Turnaround	below 37%	<sup>3</sup> Schools of

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

( 60.3 out of 100 points )

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

# <sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

60.3%

#### **Test Participation Rates**

		% of Studer	nts Tested			Participati	on Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	99.8%	-	99.9%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	1051	1029	-	2080	1051	1031	-	2082
Mathematics	100.0%	99.7%	-	99.9%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	1051	1029	-	2080	1051	1032	-	2083
Writing	100.0%	99.8%	-	99.9%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	1051	1029	-	2080	1051	1031	-	2082
Science	100.0%	99.8%	-	99.9%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	511	514	-	1025	511	515	-	1026
Social Studies	0.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	0	168	-	168	0	168	-	168
Colorado ACT	=	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**COLORADO** Department of Education Level: EM

District: GARFIELD RE-2 - 1195 (3 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: RIVERSIDE SCHOOL - 738	38						District: GARFIELD RE	-2 - 1195 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	1016	76.48	61	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1016	64.96	39	
Writing	3	4		Meets	1016	59.35	58	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	977	46	28	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	975	36	50	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	976	44	41	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	23	28	55	No
Total	6.5	14	46.4%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	435	44	35	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	392	44	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	52	28	77	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	248	46	40	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	265	42	61	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	433	37	59	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	391	38	61	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	51	30	90	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	248	32	66	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	247	43	81	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	435	44	47	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	392	43	47	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	52	35	80	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	248	46	53	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	383	44	64	No
Total	25	60	41.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: RIVERSIDE SCHOOL - 738	38						District: GARFIELD RE	-2 - 1195 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	992	73.29	54	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	993	48.44	44	
Writing	3	4		Meets	992	63.71	62	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	951	60	28	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	950	53	74	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	951	56	49	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0	Î.	-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	18	20	90%	Exceeds				<u> </u>
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	406	61	44	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	395	61	47	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	56	62	82	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	218	62	58	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	268	62	67	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	405	52	88	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	396	53	90	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	55	36	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	219	53	95	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	457	52	95	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	406	59	65	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	395	59	66	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	56	45	91	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	218	60	74	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	363	61	78	No
Total	41	60	68.3%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Pe	erformance Indicators on the School Perform	ance Framework Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide					Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:					
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 20	09-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	Approaching		2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).				1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

<b>Cut-Points for Each Per</b>	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicate	Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 47% - below 59%</li> </ul>	Improvement	
	<ul> <li>at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%</li> </ul>	Approaching	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 37% - below 47%</li> </ul>	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type Assign	chool Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of						
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board						
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the						
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority						
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.						

#### Reference

#### 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

#### **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	ntile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline	)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	ntile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from		Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Academic Crowth and Academic Crowth Conc									ndom ( on	1			

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

#### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

#### State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1