School: LEWIS-PALMER HIGH SCHOOL - 5100

District: LEWIS-PALMER 38 - 1080 (1 Year<sup>1</sup>)

# Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

**TOTAL** 

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	( 15.0 out of 15 points )
Academic Growth	Exceeds	91.7%	( 32.1 out of 35 points )
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	75.0%	( 11.3 out of 15 points )
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	100.0%	( 35.0 out of 35 points )
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate		

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

93.4%

(93.4 out of 100 points)

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

<b>Test Participation Rates</b>																
		% of Stu	dents Tested			Particip	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.8%	99.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	479	479	-	-	480	480
Mathematics	-	-	99.8%	99.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	479	479	-	-	480	480
Writing	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	480	480	-	-	480	480
Science	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Social Studies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.6%	99.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	273	273	-	-	274	274

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: LEWIS-PALMER HIGH SCHOO	)L - 5100						District: LEWIS-PALMER 3	8 - 1080 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	466	87.55	90	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	466	61.59	94	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	467	76.23	95	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	12	12	100%	Exceeds				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<i>N</i>	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	428	62	7	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	428	59	45	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	429	64	21	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				,
And win County Coun	Dainta Farmad	B - 1-4- Ell-14	0/ D =	Datin m	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	<b>N</b>	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	52	52	21	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	82	60	10	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	34	44	57	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	66	61	67	No
Mathematics	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	52	57	95	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	82	56	87	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	34	50	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		<u>-</u>	_
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	136	63	99	No
Writing	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	52	63	77	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	82	60	49	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	35	57	91	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	102	69	90	No
Total	36	48	75%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	2	14/219/ <i>229</i> /510	91.1/95.4/ <i>96.1</i> /95.1%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	3	3	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds		<b>24</b> /19/N<16/38	<i>91.7</i> /89.5/-/89.5%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds		32/35/30/ <i>57</i>	90.6/94.3/90/ <b><i>94.7</i></b> %	80%
Students with Disabilities	1	1		Exceeds		8/N<16/N<16/ <b>30</b>	50/-/-/ <b>90</b> %	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16	5/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		1088	0%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	4	4		Exceeds		273	22.9	20.0
Total	15	15	100%	Exceeds				

Graduation Rates Level: High

# **Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates**

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

## This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

## Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	90.9	93.3	94.5	95.1
Anticipated Year	2011	92.6	94.8	96.1	
of Graduation	2012	93.7	95.4		
	2013	91.1			

## Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	81.1	86.5	89.5	89.5
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	84.2	89.5		
	2013	91.7			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	90.9	93	93	94.7
Anticipated Year	2011	86.7	86.7	90	
of Graduation	2012	91.4	94.3		
	2013	90.6			

## Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	47.1	63.3	80	90
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	50			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

## Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	90.9	93.3	94.5	95.1
Anticipated Year	2011	92.6	94.8	96.1	
of Graduation	2012	93.7	95.4		
	2013	91.1			
	Aggregated	91.8	94.2	95	95.1

## Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	81.1	86.5	89.5	89.5
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	84.2	89.5		
	2013	91.7			
	Aggregated	84.7	88.5	90.7	89.5

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	90.9	93	93	94.7
Anticipated Year	2011	86.7	86.7	90	
of Graduation	2012	91.4	94.3		
	2013	90.6			
	Aggregated	90.1	91.8	92	94.7

## Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	47.1	63.3	80	90
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	50			
	Aggregated	50	64.7	82.1	90

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	100	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: H

Performance Indicator	erformance Indicators on the School  Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points	
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:				CAP	per Livii i Level	7 011125
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools	Exceeds		4	16		
Achievement		e 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	·	e 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	subject area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using the second control of the second co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Does Not Meet		1	,,	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TO	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation	Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was.	:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's a	verage Colorado ACT composite score was:		,			
	at or above 22.		Exceeds	-	4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (	· ·	Meets	-	3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (	using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfor</b>	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment			
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indic	Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments				
	Plan description			
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.			
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.			
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.			
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.			

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

## Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

				,			888 (=					
		Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

# Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

## State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

## State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1