School Performance Framework 2014

Turnaround

Priority Improvement

School: PALMER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 6676

Level: E

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year')

		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Improve	ment (Revised)	Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)	
implement, based on the	chool is required to adopt and 3 Year School Performance signed a plan type based on the	Academic Growth	Meets	83.3%	(41.7 out of 50 points)	
overall percent of points ea official percent of points ea	arned for the official year. The arned is matched to the scoring ne plan type. Additionally, failing	Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	73.3%	(18.3 out of 25 points)	
•	tion and/or test participation		Meets 95% Participation Rate			
Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned			72 59	(7) E out of 100 points)	
Performance	at or above 59%	TOTAL		72.5%	(72.5 out of 100 points)	
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	² Schools may not be eligible	for all possible points on an indic	ator due to i	nsufficient numbers of students.	In these cases, the points are removed

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

at or above 37% - below 47% from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

below 37%³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

		% of Studen	nts Tested			Participati	on Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	368	-	-	368	369	-	-	369
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	368	-	-	368	368	-	-	368
Writing	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	368	-	-	368	369	-	-	369
Science	99.2%	-	-	99.2%	Meets	-	-	Meets	126	-	-	126	127	-	-	127
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	39	-	-	39	39	-	-	39
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



COLORADO **Department of Education**

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: Elementary
School: PALMER ELEMENTARY SC	CHOOL - 6676						District: DENVER COUNT	(1 - 0880 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	354	62.71	30	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	353	56.09	22	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	354	45.2	31	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	237	59	36	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	238	63	61	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	237	59	48	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	148	53	43	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	180	53	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	35	44	82	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	37	61	62	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	84	58	69	No
Mathematics	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	149	65	71	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	181	63	70	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	35	50	93	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	38	69	83	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	106	67	86	No
Writing	16	20	80%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	148	56	58	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	180	60	52	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	35	50	84	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	37	66	61	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	124	58	66	No
Total	44	60	73.3%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Pe	Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report									
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points			
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or	advanced was:		TC	CAP					
Academic	 at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 20 	09-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16				
Achievement	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per 	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25			
	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).				2	content area)				
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).				1					
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS					
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14				
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50			
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English				
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)				
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		тс	CAP					
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		60				
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25			
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2		subgroups in 3				
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)				

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.			Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assign	ichool Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of						
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board						
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the						
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority						
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.						

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math				Writing		Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from		Reading Math			Writing			Science					
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
And win Crowth and Andersia Crowth Corre													

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1