# School Performance Framework 2014

# School: FARRELL B. HOWELL ECE-8 SCHOOL - 4140

# Level: EM

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Im	nr		om	ont	
Im	pr	٥v	<u>em</u>	ent	

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	37.5%	(9.4 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	64.3%	( 32.2 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	63.9%	( 16.0 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

TOTAL	57.6%	( 57.6 out of 100 points )								
<sup>2</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an	<sup>2</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed									

from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted. <sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do

not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Ra	tes															
% of Students Tested				Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students					
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	98.8%	100.0%	-	99.4%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	248	276	-	524	251	276	-	527
Mathematics	99.6%	100.0%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	251	276	-	527	252	276	-	528
Writing	95.6%	100.0%	-	97.9%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	240	276	-	516	251	276	-	527
Science	98.8%	94.4%	-	96.5%	Meets	Does Not Meet	-	Meets	80	85	-	165	81	90	-	171
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	87	87	-	174	87	87	-	174
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



**COLORADO** Department of Education

<sup>1</sup> Data in this report is based on results from: 2013-14

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: Elementary
School: FARRELL B. HOWELL ECE	-8 SCHOOL - 4	140					District: DENVER COUNT	/ 1 - 0880 (1 Yeai
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	237	54.01	19	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	238	56.72	23	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	228	46.93	36	
Science	0	0		_	-	_	-	
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4	<i><i>N T</i> O<i>IT</i> O</i>	Approaching	128	43	47	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	151	43	62	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	127	57	56	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	246	60	32	Yes
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets	240		JL	105
	3		04.570	NICCUS				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	121	42	47	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	126	43	47	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		_	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	91	43	46	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	62	50	69	No
Mathematics	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	144	43	62	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	149	43	62	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	114	43	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	71	41	81	No
Writing	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	120	58	57	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	125	58	56	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	91	62	55	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	87	58	64	No
Total	29	48	60.4%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: FARRELL B. HOWELL ECE	-8 SCHOOL - 4	140					District: DENVER COUNTY	1 - 0880 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	263	37.64	4	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	263	27.38	12	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	263	29.28	10	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	259	50	61	No
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	259	60	92	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	259	58	77	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	122	48	60	No
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
And win Counth Count	Deinte Come d			Deting	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	241	50	61	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	257	49	62	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	32	55	88	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	185	49	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	145	49	77	No
Mathematics	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	241	60	93	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	257	58	92	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	32	52	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	185	58	92	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	181	62	98	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	241	58	77	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	257	57	77	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	32	64	95	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	185	59	76	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	172	63	87	No
Total	40	60	66.7%	Meets				

# Scoring Guide

Scoring Guide for Pe	erformance Indicators on the School Perform	nance Framework Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or	advanced was:		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 20	Exceeds		4	16		
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	Approaching	2		subject area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).				1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

<b>Cut-Points for Each Per</b>	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicate	Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 47% - below 59%</li> </ul>	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 37% - below 47%</li> </ul>	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assig	School Plan Type Assignments									
	Plan description									
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of								
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board								
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the								
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority								
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.								

#### Reference

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

## **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline	)		_
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - 2	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1