School Performance Framework 2014

School: LOTUS SCHOOL FOR EXCELLENCE - 5298

Level: EMH District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180 (1 Year')

-	~	rm		
	mt o	rmo.	~ ~	\sim
			<u> </u>	
				\sim

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	_
Performance	at or above 60%	Tes
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	то
Turnaround	below 33%	² Sch

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	77.4%	(27.1 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	70.1%	(10.5 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

·	-		
OTAL	68.4%	(68.4 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	188	221	129	538	188	221	129	538
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	188	221	129	538	188	221	129	538
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	188	221	129	538	188	221	129	538
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	63	75	-	138	63	75	-	138
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	51	74	-	125	51	74	-	125
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	43	43	-	-	43	43



Performance Indicators		<u>_</u>						vel: Elementary
School: LOTUS SCHOOL FOR EXC							District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE	28J - 0180 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	186	61.83	30	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	186	54.84	20	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	186	34.41	18	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	110	60	47	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	110	42	63	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	110	63	63	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	145	61	22	Yes
Total	12	14	85.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading		T	87.5%	Exceeds	/•	Growth refeetule	Growth refeetule	Growth
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	<u>14</u> 4	16 4	07.5/6		108	63	47	Yes
	3	4 4		Exceeds	93			
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	<u> </u>		Meets	93 N<20	58	47	Yes
English Learners	4	4		- Exceeds	72		47	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4 4		Meets	52	67	70	No
Mathematics	7	16	43.8%		52	67	70	INO
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	43.0%	Approaching	108	42	63	Na
Minority Students	2	4 4		Approaching	93	42	64	No No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		Approaching	93 N<20	- 41	-	-
English Learners	0	4		Does Not Meet	72	33	- 63	 No
Students needing to catch up	2	4 4			45	41	84	No
	-	•	87.5%	Approaching	45	41	04	INO
Writing	14	16	87.3%	Exceeds	100	<u> </u>		V
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	108	64	63	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	93	61	63	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	72	62	63	No
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	84	70	71	No
Total	35	48	72.9%	Meets				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: LOTUS SCHOOL FOR EXC	CELLENCE - 529	8					District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE	28J - 0180 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	216	52.78	17	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	216	33.33	19	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	216	47.22	27	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	207	56	47	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	208	51	83	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	207	60	65	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	80	67	55	Yes
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	201	57	47	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	170	53	49	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	139	60	56	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	97	60	70	No
Mathematics	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	202	51	84	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	170	51	88	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	140	53	90	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	134	48	94	No
Writing	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	201	60	65	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	170	60	68	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	139	63	71	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	124	66	80	No
Total	33	48	68.8%	Meets				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: LOTUS SCHOOL FOR EXCELL	ENCE - 5298					Dis	strict: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28	3J - 0180 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	122	59.02	20	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	122	27.05	36	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	122	36.07	22	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	118	66	40	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	118	54	99	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	118	56	78	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	47	59	29	Yes
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N I	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	2010/0	Exceeds	111	65	37	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	99	66	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	78	62	49	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	47	66	84	No
Mathematics	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	50%	Approaching	111	54	98	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	99	52	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	78	53	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	75	44	99	No
Writing	10	16	62.5%	Meets	, 5			110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	02.570	Approaching	111	54	77	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	99	5	81	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	78	55	84	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	68	53	94	No
Total	33	48	68.8%	Meets			J.	110
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	3	7/ 23 /N<16/N<16	81.1/ 87 /-/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	3	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching	29/	N<16/N<16/N<16	79.3 /-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets	28/	N<16/N<16/N<16	85.7 /-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<10	5/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets	16/	N<16/N<16/N<16	<i>81.3</i> /-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		293	2%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		43	18.9	20.0
Total	10	15	66.7%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	80	87		
	2013	81.1			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year a	ggregate)	
	4-vear	5-vea

		4-year	5-year	6-year	/-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	80	87		
	2013	81.1			
	Aggregated	79.4	87.5	N<16	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	70.6	N<16		
	2013	<i>79.3</i>			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	82.4	N<16		
	2013	85.7			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	81.3			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	aduation 2012		N<16		
	2013	79.3			
	Aggregated	74.5	81.3	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	82.4	N<16		
	2013	85.7			
	Aggregated	82.6	93.8	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	81.3			
	Aggregated	80.8	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Level: High

Scoring Guide

Performance Indicator	rformance Indicators on the School Po Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points	
	The school's percentage of students scoring profi	cient or advanced was:		Т	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 	50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the	15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		subject area)	
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 	2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		60	
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	Meets 3		(4 for each of 5	15
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1		subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation R	ate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate wa	s:	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	 at or above 80% but below 90%. 		Meets	3	0.75		
	 at or above 65% but below 80%. 		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			· · · · · ·		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	 at or below the state average but above 1% (us 	ing 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3		indicator)	
	 at or below 10% but above the state average (ι 	ising 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's ave	rage Colorado ACT composite score was:		1			
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds		4			
	 at or above the state average but below 22 (us 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Meets	-	3		
	 at or above 17 but below the state average (us 	ing 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
ork points eligible.				
Performance				
Improvement				
Priority Improvement				
Turnaround				

School Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description						
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board					
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the					
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority					
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		_
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - 2	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1