School Performance Framework 2014

School: ROLLING HILLS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 7476

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Acad

Test

Academic Growth Ga	ps.															
Test Participation Rat	tes															
		% of Stude	ents Testea	1		Participati	on Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.4%	-	-	99.4%	Meets	-	-	Meets	1072	-	-	1072	1078	-	-	1078
Mathematics	99.5%	-	-	99.5%	Meets	-	-	Meets	1074	-	-	1074	1079	-	-	1079
Writing	99.5%	-	-	99.5%	Meets	-	-	Meets	1074	-	-	1074	1079	-	-	1079
Science	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	366	-	-	366	367	-	-	367
Social Studies	99.2%	-	-	99.2%	Meets	-	-	Meets	129	-	-	129	130	-	-	130
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

1



COLORADO **Department of Education** ¹Data in this report is based on results from:

Performance Indicators	Rating	Rating % of Points Earned out of Po		
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	(25.0 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	78.6%	(39.3 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	65.0%	(16.3 out of 25 points)	

Test Participation³ **Meets 95% Participation Rate**

TOTAL	80.6%	(80.6 out of 100 points)		
² Schools may not be aligible for all possible points on an indi	icator duo to i	nsufficient numbers of students	In these same the points are rem	avad

⁻Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

District: CHERRY CREEK 5 - 0130 (3 Year¹)

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: Elementary
School: ROLLING HILLS ELEMENT	ARY SCHOOL -	- 7476					District: CHERRY CREEK	(5 - 0130 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	1043	90.12	93	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	1044	90.71	94	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	1045	76.56	90	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	12	12	100%	Exceeds				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	683	52	17	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	684	58	29	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	684	53	29	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	24	71	14	Yes
Total	11	14	78.6%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets		Giowan ereenane	orowarreneering	Growin:
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	05/6	Meets	51	45	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4 4		Meets	204	52	20	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4 4		Approaching	71	41	53	No
English Learners	3	4 4		Meets	66	52	20	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	62	40	70	No
Mathematics	12	20	60%	Approaching	02	40	70	110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	00/0	Approaching	51	43	54	No
Minority Students	3	4 4		Meets	203	58	33	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	71	38	61	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	66	62	27	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4 4		Approaching	55	48	79	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets			75	110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	70/0	Meets	51	48	47	Yes
Minority Students	3	4 4		Meets	204	54	33	Yes
Students with Disabilities	<u>3</u>	4 4		Does Not Meet	71	34	58	No
English Learners	3	4 4		Meets	66	50	30	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4 4		Exceeds	158	62	56	Yes
JUDGENIS NEEDINE LO LALLI UD	4	4		LYCERD	100	02	01	162

Scoring Guide for Pe	Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report							
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	-			t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points	
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or	advanced was:		TCAP				
Academic	 at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200 	09-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16		
Achievement	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per 	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25	
	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per 	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).				1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14		
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50	
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		т	CAP			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60		
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25	
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)		

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment						
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

School Plan Type Assig	chool Plan Type Assignments						
	Plan description						
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board					
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the					
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority					
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	ntile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	ntile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from		Reading		Math		Writing		Science					
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Academic Crowth and Academic Crowth Conc									a dam can	1			

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1