School Performance Framework 2014

School: STUKEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 8361

Level: E

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (3 Year¹)

D 1 1	
	mnrovamant
	Improvement

Will enter Year 1* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(6.3 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	(25.0 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	43.3%	(10.8 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned							
Performance	at or above 59%	TOTAL	42.1%	(42.1 out of 100 points)				
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed						
Priority Improvement		rom the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.						
Turnaround	below 37%	³ Schools do not receive points for test participation. Howev	er, schools are	assigned one plan type category l	ower than their points indicate if they do			

led					
9%	TOTAL 42.1	1%	(42.1 out of 100 points)		
9%	² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator du	ue to ins	sufficient numbers of students.	In these cases, the	points are removed
7%	from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.				

not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for Framework points are calculated using the percentage of schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades). all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

* on July 1. 2015

Colorado ACT

Tes

* on July 1, 2015																
Test Participation Rates																
		% of Studer	ts Tested			Participati	on Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	-	-	99.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	519	-	-	519	520	-	-	520
Mathematics	99.6%	-	-	99.6%	Meets	-	-	Meets	517	-	-	517	519	-	-	519
Writing	99.8%	-	-	99.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	519	-	-	519	520	-	-	520
Science	98.9%	-	-	98.9%	Meets	-	-	Meets	183	-	-	183	185	-	-	185
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	44	-	-	44	44	-	-	44



COLORADO **Department of Education** ¹Data in this report is based on results from: 2011-12.2012-13.2013-14

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: STUKEY ELEMENTARY SC	:HOOL - 8361					District: A	DAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOO	LS - 0020 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	479	46.14	11	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	476	44.12	9	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	479	23.59	5	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	315	40	44	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	316	41	64	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	315	42	64	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	49	37	33	Yes
Total	7	14	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	252	39	49	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	206	42	52	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	36	39	82	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	76	45	69	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	173	44	70	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	254	40	67	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	207	41	69	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	39	91	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	76	40	77	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	150	44	84	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	252	41	67	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	205	42	67	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	34	85	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	75	49	76	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	235	43	73	No
Total	26	60	43.3%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Pe	Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report									
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points			
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or		T	CAP						
Academic	 at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 20 	09-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16				
Achievement	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per 	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25			
	 below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per 	Approaching		2	content area)					
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1						
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS					
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14				
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50			
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English				
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)				
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		ТС	CAP					
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60				
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25			
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3				
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)				

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicate	Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assign	School Plan Type Assignments								
	Plan description								
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board							
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority							
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	ntile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math				Writing		Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	ntile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from		Reading Math				Writing			Science				
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
And win Crowth and Andersia Crowth Corre									- dom (on				

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1