Level: EMH

School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP ACADEMY - 0263

District: MAPLETON 1 - 0010 (3 Year¹)

Priority Improvement

Will enter Year 1* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

TOTAL

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

* on July 1, 2015

<u> </u>				<u></u>
Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points		
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	53.6%	(18.8 out of 35 points)	
e Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	47.6%	(7.1 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	43.3%	(15.2 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
6				

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

44.9%

(44.9 out of 100 points)

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	% of Students Tested Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students					
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.3%	99.2%	98.5%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	280	396	324	1000	282	399	329	1010
Mathematics	99.6%	99.7%	98.2%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	281	398	323	1002	282	399	329	1010
Writing	99.3%	99.2%	98.2%	98.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	280	396	323	999	282	399	329	1010
Science	99.0%	96.8%	-	97.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	96	150	-	246	97	155	-	252
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	30	49	-	79	30	49	-	79
Colorado ACT	_	-	98.9%	98.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	86	86	-	-	87	87

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP AC	ADEMY - 0263						District: MAPLETON	1 - 0010 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	275	37.82	6	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	277	42.24	8	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	275	22.18	4	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	163	41	53	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	167	36	70	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	163	38	61	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	95	46	29	Yes
Total	5.5	14	39.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	140	40	53	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	150	41	53	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	123	44	54	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	93	38	70	No
Mathematics	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	144	38	69	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	154	36	71	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	127	38	70	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	90	40	86	No
Writing	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	140	38	59	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	150	38	61	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	123	41	56	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	122	34	69	No
Total	17	48	35.4%	Does Not Meet				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP AC	ADEMY - 0263						District: MAPLETON	1 - 0010 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	366	29.51	2	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	369	19.78	4	,
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	366	20.49	3	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	349	44	64	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	350	49	93	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	349	43	80	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	60	63	57	Yes
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	300	44	64	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	325	44	64	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	37	90	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	249	50	65	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	238	47	74	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	301	51	92	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	326	49	93	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	38	56	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	251	50	92	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	261	50	97	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	300	43	78	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	325	42	79	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	38	41	96	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	249	44	79	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	259	44	85	No
Total	30	60	50%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP ACADE	MY - 0263						District: MAPLETON 1	I - 0010 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	300	34.33	4	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	301	7.64	7	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	299	19.4	7	
Science	0	0		-			-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	263	56	77	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	266	53	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	262	54	94	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	63	60	32	Yes
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<i>N</i>	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	236	54	78	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	245	55	78	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	24	62	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	183	54	82	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	179	55	91	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	239	53	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	248	51	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	24	41	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	186	53	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	232	53	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	235	52	94	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	244	54	95	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	32	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	181	53	95	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	199	56	98	No
Total	33	60	55%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching		125/ 81 /60/31	56.8/ <i>66.7</i> /66.7/61.3%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	3	50%	Approaching		.,,		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		103/ <i>66</i> /51/25	60.2/ <i>71.2</i> /70.6/64%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		113/73/ <i>52</i> /25	58.4/69.9/ <i>71.2</i> /68%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<16/	/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching		79/ <i>53</i> /37/18	62/ <i>73.6</i> /73/61.1%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching		650	5.2%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		86	15.7	20.1
Total	6.5	15	43.3%	Approaching				

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	48.6	57.6	59.4	61.3
Anticipated Year	2011	54.8	70	75	
of Graduation	2012	68.4	77.8		
	2013	60			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	50	58.3	61.5	64
Anticipated Year	2011	61.5	74.1	80	
of Graduation	2012	75	N<16		
	2013	60			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	51.7	63	65.4	68
Anticipated Year	2011	55.2	71.4	76.9	
of Graduation	2012	68.4	77.8		
	2013	61.1			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	52.6	57.9	57.9	61.1
Anticipated Year	2011	60	80	88.9	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	64			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	48.6	57.6	59.4	61.3
Anticipated Year	2011	54.8	70	75	
of Graduation	2012	68.4	77.8		
	2013	60			
	Aggregated	56.8	66.7	66.7	61.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	50	58.3	61.5	64
Anticipated Year	2011	61.5	74.1	80	
of Graduation	2012	75	N<16		
	2013	60			
	Aggregated	60.2	71.2	70.6	64

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	51.7	63	65.4	68
Anticipated Year	2011	55.2	71.4	76.9	
of Graduation	2012	68.4	77.8		
	2013	61.1			
	Aggregated	58.4	69.9	71.2	68

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	52.6	57.9	57.9	61.1
Anticipated Year	2011	60	80	88.9	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	64			
	Aggregated	62	73.6	73	61.1

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010. 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficie	ent or advanced was:		TO	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (usi	ng 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50	th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15	th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 20)	09-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TO	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	f 5 15
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate	e: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (using	Meets		3	indicator)		
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using the state average)	ng 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	ge Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using	g 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using	g 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment			
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indica		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments					
	Plan description				
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.				
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.				
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.				
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.				

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading Math			Writing			Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1