Level: EMH

School: UNIVERSITY SCHOOLS - 2850 District: GREELEY 6 - 3120 (3 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	63.9%	(9.6 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	55.3%	(19.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	48.3%	(7.2 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	86.7%	(30.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		66.5%	(66.5 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	ents Tested		Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	883	1222	804	2909	885	1223	804	2912
Mathematics	99.9%	99.8%	100.0%	99.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	884	1221	804	2909	885	1223	804	2912
Writing	99.8%	99.8%	100.0%	99.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	882	1221	804	2907	884	1223	804	2911
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	332	403	-	735	332	403	-	735
Social Studies	100.0%	99.4%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	122	170	-	292	122	171	-	293
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.7%	99.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	313	313	-	-	314	314

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: UNIVERSITY SCHOOLS - :	2850						District: GREELEY	6 - 3120 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	883	72.59	51	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	884	74.1	59	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	882	50.79	41	
Science	0	0		-	_	-	-	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	<u>% Points</u>	Rating	<i>N</i>	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	589	42	28	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	593	43	45	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	591	40	43	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	42	38	23	Yes
Total	7	14	50%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	181	39	37	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	214	41	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	34	81	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	46	43	47	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	158	50	65	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	183	42	53	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	218	43	54	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	42	56	72	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	48	40	64	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	131	51	80	No
Writing	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	183	35	48	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	216	37	52	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	32	86	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	38	60	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	285	45	62	No
Students needing to catch up	_							

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: UNIVERSITY SCHOOLS - 2	2850						District: GREELEY	6 - 3120 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1218	69.62	46	
Mathematics	3	4	"	Meets	1217	56.29	62	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1217	53.16	37	
Science	0	0		-	_	-	-	
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1191	43	28	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1189	48	62	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1190	40	47	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	353	39	37	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	434	43	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	83	51	88	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	103	43	54	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	334	47	65	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	353	46	75	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	434	47	75	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	82	40	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	102	45	88	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	451	48	92	No
Writing Writing	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	353	34	58	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	433	39	56	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	83	34	93	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	103	42	69	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	497	39	77	No
	26	60	43.3%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: UNIVERSITY SCHOOLS - 2850							District: GREELEY	6 - 3120 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	795	71.82	49	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	795	32.96	55	
Writing	3	4		Meets	795	49.94	50	•
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	768	51	16	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	772	53	91	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	767	51	50	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	_
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets	= •			
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	182	48	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	254	55	25	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	49	50	97	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	74	50	48	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	231	52	76	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	183	47	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	256	47	99	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	49	44	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	74	57	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	440	54	99	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	181	47	69	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	253	52	60	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	49	50	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	74	58	83	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	358	54	89	No
Total	35	60	58.3%	Approaching	330			110
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4	,0 , On 10	Exceeds		363/ 264 /177/87	91.2/ 92.4 /91/88.5%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	3	66.7%	Meets		JOJ. 20 71 171707	J1.21 Jan J1/00.3/0	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	J		Meets		91/ <i>68</i> /46/30	82.4/ <i>85.3</i> /82.6/76.7%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		110/ <i>75</i> /47/27	87.3/ <i>89.3</i> /85.1/85.2%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	<u></u>	-	Approaching		34/ 24 /16/N<16	67.6/ 75 /68.8/-%	80%
English Learners	0.5	0	-	Approaching -		5/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	11~11		0.2%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4				1516 313	20.5	20.1
			OC 70	Meets		J1J	20.3	20.1
Total	13	15	86.7%	Meets				

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	85.4	87.5	88.5	88.5
Anticipated Year	2011	92.2	93.3	93.3	
of Graduation	2012	93.1	96.5		
	2013	93.8			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	73.3	76.7	76.7	76.7
Anticipated Year	2011	87.5	93.8	93.8	
of Graduation	2012	86.4	90.9		
	2013	87			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	79.3	82.1	85.2	85.2
Anticipated Year	2011	80	85	85	
of Graduation	2012	96.2	100		
	2013	91.4			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	85.4	87.5	88.5	88.5
Anticipated Year	2011	92.2	93.3	93.3	
of Graduation	2012	93.1	96.5		
	2013	93.8			
	Aggregated	91.2	92.4	91	88.5

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	73.3	76.7	76.7	76.7
Anticipated Year	2011	87.5	93.8	93.8	
of Graduation	2012	86.4	90.9		
	2013	87			
	Aggregated	82.4	<i>85.3</i>	82.6	76.7

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	79.3	82.1	85.2	85.2
Anticipated Year	2011	80	85	85	
of Graduation	2012	96.2	100		
	2013	91.4			
	Aggregated	87.3	89.3	85.1	85.2

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	67.6	<i>75</i>	68.8	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010. 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficien	nt or advanced was:		T	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (usir	g 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50t	n percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15t	n percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 200)	9-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate	The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (using	2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (usin	g 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	e Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using	2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using)	2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment			
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indica	Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments				
	Plan description			
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.			
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.			
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.			
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.			

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
_		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1