# School Performance Framework 2014

### School: MONTROSE HIGH SCHOOL - 6058

# Level: F

District: MONTROSE COUNTY RE-1J - 2180 (3 Year')

	Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Performance	Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
This is the plan type the school is required to adopt an implement, based on the 1 Year School Performanc Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on th	e Academic Growth	Meets	71.4%	( 25.0 out of 35 points )	
overall percent of points earned for the official year. Th official percent of points earned is matched to the scorin guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failin	g Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	76.7%	( 11.5 out of 15 points )	
to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.		Meets	82.8%	( 29.0 out of 35 points )	
Plan Assignment Framework Points Earne	Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

Framework Points Earned	
at or above 60%	Test
at or above 47% - below 60%	
at or above 33% - below 47%	тот
below 33%	<sup>2</sup> Scho
	at or above 60% at or above 47% - below 60% at or above 33% - below 47%

d %	Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
% %	TOTAL	76.8%	( 76.8 out of 100 points )	
%	<sup>2</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible poi	ats on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of	f students. In these cases, the po	ints are removed from the points

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted. <sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at

least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

#### **Test Participation Rates**

		% of Stud	lents Tested			Particip	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.0%	99.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2100	2100	-	-	2121	2121
Mathematics	-	-	99.1%	99.1%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2101	2101	-	-	2121	2121
Writing	-	-	99.0%	99.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2100	2100	-	-	2121	2121
Science	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Social Studies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.9%	98.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	867	867	-	-	877	877



Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: MONTROSE HIGH SCHOOL -	6058					Distri	ct: MONTROSE COUNTY RE-	0
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	<u> </u>
Reading	3	4		Meets	2039	76.51	63	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2039	35.07	60	
Writing	3	4		Meets	2039	56.69	64	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1936	57	14	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1939	61	90	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	1939	58	48	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	49	44	40	Yes
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	16	20	80%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	801	56	27	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	699	58	29	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	130	57	97	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	317	60	52	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	517	62	75	No
Mathematics	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	801	59	98	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	701	57	98	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4	1	Meets	129	58	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	319	60	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	1095	61	99	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	803	59	72	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	702	59	73	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	130	59	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	320	61	87	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	821	61	90	No
Total	46	60	76.7%	Meets	-			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		Ν	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	1	128/838/ <i>538</i> /255	87.5/92/ <i><b>93.1</b></i> /91.8%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	3.25	4	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		530/406/ <i>259</i> /89	80.9/87.2/ <b>88.8</b> /83.1%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds		313/237/ <b>138</b> /51	79.6/86.1/ <i><b>90.6</b></i> /84.3%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets		100/75/ <i>59</i> /23	62/73.3/ <b><i>83.1</i></b> /82.6%	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets		89/73/ <b>35</b> /17	68.5/75.3/ <b>82.9</b> /76.5%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		4728	1.4%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		867	20.2	20.1
Total	13.25	16	82.8%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

# **Graduation Rates**

# Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

**Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)** 

#### This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

**Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)** 

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	86.5	90.2	91	91.8
Anticipated Year	2011	87.5	93	95.1	
of Graduation	2012	88.6	92.5		
	2013	87.2			

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-у
	2010	86.5	90.2	91	91
Anticipated Year	2011	87.5	93	95.1	
of Graduation	2012	88.6	92.5		
	2013	87.2			

1.8

91.8

93.1

92

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	75	79.5	80.9	83.1
Anticipated Year	2011	84.4	89.7	<i>92.9</i>	
of Graduation	2012	82.4	88.9		
	2013	78.5			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	75.5	80.8	82.4	84.3
Anticipated Year	2011	81.9	90.1	95.4	
of Graduation	2012	80.6	85.1		
	2013	78.1			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	59.1	65.2	73.9	82.6
Anticipated Year	2011	80.6	83.3	<i>88.9</i>	
of Graduation	2012	50	62.5		
	2013	45.8			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	68.8	76.5	76.5	76.5
Anticipated Year	2011	65	76.2	88.9	
of Graduation	2012	69.7	74.3		
	2013	70			
	2013	70			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Aggregated

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	75	79.5	80.9	83.1
Anticipated Year	2011	84.4	89.7	92.9	
of Graduation	2012	82.4	88.9		
	2013	78.5			
	Aggregated	80.9	87.2	<i>88.8</i>	83.1

87.5

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	75.5	80.8	82.4	84.3
Anticipated Year	2011	81.9	90.1	95.4	
of Graduation	2012	80.6	85.1		
	2013	78.1			
	Aggregated	79.6	86.1	90.6	84.3

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	59.1	65.2	73.9	82.6
Anticipated Year	2011	80.6	83.3	88.9	
of Graduation	2012	50	62.5		
	2013	45.8			
	Aggregated	62	73.3	83.1	82.6

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	68.8	76.5	76.5	76.5
Anticipated Year	2011	65	76.2	88.9	
of Graduation	2012	69.7	74.3		
	2013	70			
	Aggregated	68.5	75.3	82.9	76.5

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring pr	oficient or advanced was:		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all school	s (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds	4		16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the	ne 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3		(4 for each	15
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the	ne 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (usi	ng 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	ve 60. • at or above 70.			4	60	
Growth Gaps	below 60 but at or above 45.     below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation	Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:	•	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's a	verage Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds		4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22	using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average	using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator				Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment			
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 47% - below 60%</li> </ul>	Improvement		
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 33% - below 47%</li> </ul>	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assig	School Plan Type Assignments					
	Plan description					
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of				
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board				
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the				
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority				
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.				

### Reference

### 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

## Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline	)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from		Reading Math		Writing		Science							
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
And win Crowth and Andersia Crowth Corre									- dom ( on				

# Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

#### State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1