Level: EMH

District: POUDRE R-1 - 1550 (3 Year<sup>1</sup>)

School: RIDGEVIEW CLASSICAL CHARTER SCHOOLS - 0146

# Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

TOTAL

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Meets	83.3%	( 12.5 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	83.3%	( 29.2 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	73.3%	( 11.0 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	100.0%	( 35.0 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

87.7%

( 87.7 out of 100 points )

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

<b>Test Participation Rates</b>																
	% of Students Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Students Tested				Total S	Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	534	589	314	1437	536	591	316	1443
Mathematics	99.8%	99.5%	99.4%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	536	588	314	1438	537	591	316	1444
Writing	99.8%	99.5%	99.4%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	535	588	314	1437	536	591	316	1443
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	181	191	-	372	181	191	-	372
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	60	71	-	131	60	71	-	131
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.3%	99.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	137	137	-	-	138	138

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: RIDGEVIEW CLASSICAL C	HARTER SCHO	OLS - 0146					District: POUDRE R	2-1 - 1550 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	523	78.78	66	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	524	66.41	42	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	524	54.01	47	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	325	38	25	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	325	54	53	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	324	43	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	55	33	35	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	86	42	29	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	57	53	55	No
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	54	46	61	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	87	51	53	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	96	45	77	No
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	55	40	47	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	85	44	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	142	47	56	No
Total	17	36	47.2%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: RIDGEVIEW CLASSICAL C	HARTER SCHO	OLS - 0146					District: POUDRE I	R-1 - 1550 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	580	91.38	95	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	579	68.91	83	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	579	84.11	94	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	512	61	18	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	511	63	61	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	510	65	35	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	12	12	100%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	87	59	28	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	108	60	20	Yes
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	29	62	57	Yes
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	48	61	60	Yes
Mathematics	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	88	67	74	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	108	63	60	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	30	52	90	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	152	68	88	No
Writing	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	87	58	49	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	108	65	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	29	61	78	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	103	72	70	Yes
Total	41	48	85.4%	Meets				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: RIDGEVIEW CLASSICAL CHA	RTER SCHOOLS	- 0146					District: POUDRE R-	1 - 1550 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	308	93.18	97	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	308	62.01	95	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	308	86.04	98	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	12	12	100%	Exceeds				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	263	62	3	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	263	72	44	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	263	59	12	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
And with County County	Defeate France of	B - 1-4- Ell-14	O/ Deliete	D-45	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<i>N</i>	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	6	8	75%	Meets			_	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	33	46	5	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	63	57	5	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20		-	
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20		-	
Mathematics	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	33	65	61	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	63	66	67	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		<u>-</u>	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	_
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	73	73	97	No
Writing	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	33	61	25	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	63	43	19	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	24	70	76	No
Total	27	32	84.4%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		174/129/87/ <b>46</b>	88.5/95.3/97.7/ <b><i>97.8</i>%</b>	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	2	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds	30	0/ <i>23</i> /N<16/N<16	83.3/ <i><b>91.3</b>/-/-</i> %	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	33	B/ <b>22</b> /N<16/N<16	81.8/ <i><b>90.9</b></i> /-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<16	5/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16	5/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		775	0.1%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	4	4		Exceeds		137	25.8	20.1
Total	14	14	100%	Exceeds				

Graduation Rates Level: High

## **Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates**

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

#### This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

### Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	89.1	97.8	97.8	97.8
Anticipated Year	2011	90.2	95.1	97.6	
of Graduation	2012	90.2	92.9		
	2013	84.8			

### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

### Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	89.1	97.8	97.8	97.8
Anticipated Year	2011	90.2	95.1	97.6	
of Graduation	2012	90.2	92.9		
	2013	84.8			
	Aggregated	88.5	95.3	97.7	97.8

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	83.3	91.3	N<16	N<16

### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	81.8	90.9	N<16	N<16

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010. 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:					
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using a second content of the secon	ng 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50t	h percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15t	h percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 200)	09-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate	The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
<b>Workforce Readiness</b>	at or below the state average but above 1% (using	2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (usin	g 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's averag	e Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using	2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using)	2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfor</b>	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment			
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indica		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments					
	Plan description				
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.				
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.				
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.				
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.				

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

### **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
_		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

### State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1