School Performance Framework 2014

School: BEAR CREEK HIGH SCHOOL - 0664

Performance

Distri

ict:	JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1 - 1420 (3 Year ¹)	
Poin	ts Eligible ²	

l evel:

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 60%	Test Par
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	TOTAL
Turnaround	below 33%	² Schools
		Schools

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of

points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on

all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for

Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for

Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	66.7%	(10.0 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	64.3%	(22.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	53.3%	(8.0 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	93.8%	(32.8 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

73.3%

(73.3 out of 100 points)

Test Participation Rates

Workforce Readiness.

		% of Stud	lents Tested			Particip	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.5%	98.5%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2703	2703	-	-	2744	2744
Mathematics	-	-	98.9%	98.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2715	2715	-	-	2746	2746
Writing	-	-	98.6%	98.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2706	2706	-	-	2744	2744
Science	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Social Studies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.3%	98.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1286	1286	-	-	1308	1308



Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: BEAR CREEK HIGH SCHOOL -	0664					Dist	trict: JEFFERSON COUNTY R-	0
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	2627	70.73	45	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2637	39.17	69	
Writing	3	4		Meets	2630	52.81	56	,
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	2492	45	14	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2504	50	82	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	2496	44	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	60	65	31	Yes
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets	00		51	105
		.7	J/0	WICCU				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	927	43	29	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1126	47	20	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	179	44	89	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	275	54	28	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	686	45	70	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	934	48	97	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1129	49	90	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	181	45	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	275	54	90	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1255	48	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	50%	Approaching	929	43	69	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1127	43	53	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	1727	44	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	275	51	67	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1056	45	89	No
Total	32	60	53.3%	Approaching	1050			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	17	759/1284/852/ 441	91.4/93.9/93.8/ 95.5 %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	4	4	100%	Exceeds	17	, , 207/032/ 77/	י נ גע וע. גע וד . ו גע אדי. ו גע אדי. ו גע אדי. ו גע איזי איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז אי	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4 1	1	100/0	Exceeds	C	61/394/233/ 118	86.3/90.1/88/ 92.4 %	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds		578/491/290/ 155	90.4/93.1/92.8/ 93.5 %	80%
Students with Disabilities	1	I 1				149/106/66/ 31	73.8/83/89.4/ 100 %	80%
English Learners	1	1		Exceeds Exceeds		90/ 63 /38/22	91.1/ 96.8 /94.7/90.9%	80%
	I	I		Exceeds				
Dropout Rate	4 3	4				6411	0.7%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score			02.0%	Meets		1286	20.4	20.1
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	91.6	95.5	95.5	<i>95.5</i>
Anticipated Year	2011	89	91.7	92	
of Graduation	2012	92.5	94.5		
	2013	92.2			

-			
	4-year	5-year	
2010	01.0	05.5	

	2010	91.6	95.5	95.5	95.5
Anticipated Year	2011	89	91.7	92	
of Graduation	2012	92.5	94.5		
	2013	92.2			
	Aggregated	91.4	93.9	93.8	<i>95.5</i>

6-vear

7-vear

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

_		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	87.5	93	92.3	92.4
Anticipated Year	2011	80	83.6	83.6	
of Graduation	2012	88.8	<i>92.6</i>		
	2013	87.3			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	89.3	93.5	93.5	93.5
Anticipated Year	2011	87.9	91.9	91.9	
of Graduation	2012	91.7	<i>93.5</i>		
	2013	92			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	88.2	100	100	100
Anticipated Year	2011	66.7	77.1	80.6	
of Graduation	2012	72.1	75.6		
	2013	69.4			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	90	95	90.9	90.9
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	100	
of Graduation	2012	96.4	96.4		
	2013	88.9			
	2013	88.9			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	87.5	93	92.3	92.4
Anticipated Year	2011	80	83.6	83.6	
of Graduation	2012	88.8	92.6		
	2013	87.3			
	Aggregated	86.3	90.1	88	92.4

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	89.3	93.5	93.5	93.5
Anticipated Year	2011	87.9	91.9	91.9	
of Graduation	2012	91.7	93.5		
	2013	92			
	Aggregated	90.4	93.1	92.8	<i>93.5</i>

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	88.2	100	100	100
Anticipated Year	2011	66.7	77.1	80.6	
of Graduation	2012	72.1	75.6		
	2013	69.4			
	Aggregated	73.8	83	89.4	100

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	90	95	90.9	90.9
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	100	
of Graduation	2012	96.4	96.4		
	2013	88.9			
	Aggregated	91.1	<i>96.8</i>	94.7	90.9

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points	
	The school's percentage of students scoring pr	oficient or advanced was:		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all school	s (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the	ne 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the	ne 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (usi	ng 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation	Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:	•	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets	3		indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's a	verage Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds		4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22	using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average	using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator				Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment			
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 60% 	Improvement		
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 33% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assignments					
	Plan description				
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of			
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board			
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the			
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority			
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.			

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
And win Crowth and Andersia Crowth Corre									- dom (on				

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1