### School Performance Framework 2014

### School: GUNNISON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 3690

Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

-

\_

## Improvement

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	TOTAL
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	<sup>2</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicat
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.
Turnaround	below 37%	<sup>3</sup> Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, se

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

-

# Academic Growth Approaching 57.1% ( 28.6 out of 50 points ) Academic Growth Gaps Approaching 43.8% ( 11.0 out of 25 points ) Test Participation <sup>3</sup> Meets 95% Participation Rate

-

50.0%

Rating

Approaching

<b>1</b> 6	TOTAL	52.1%	( 52.1 out of 100 points )		
6	<sup>2</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicat	tor due to in	sufficient numbers of students.	In these cases, the poi	nts are removed

% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible<sup>2</sup>

(12.5 out of 25 points)

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

-

\_

#### **Test Participation Rates** % of Students Tested Participation Rating Students Tested Total Students Middle Middle Elem Middle Overall Middle Overall Content Area Elem High Overall Elem High Overall High Elem High 270 271 Reading 99.6% \_ -99.6% Meets \_ \_ Meets -\_ 270 271 \_ 271 Mathematics 100.0% --100.0% Meets --Meets 271 -\_ 271 271 --Writing 99.6% \_ -99.6% Meets \_ \_ Meets 269 -\_ 269 270 --270 Science 88 88 88 88 100.0% \_ -100.0% Meets \_ -Meets \_ \_ \_ \_ 103 Social Studies 100.0% 100.0% Meets Meets 103 -103 103 --\_ \_ \_ -\_

-

-



Colorado ACT

**COLORADO** Department of Education

-

-

-

-

\_

-

District: GUNNISON WATERSHED RE1J - 1360 (1 Year')

Level: E

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: GUNNISON ELEMENTARY	Y SCHOOL - 36	90				District	GUNNISON WATERSHED RE	1J - 1360 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	264	66.67	38	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	266	63.53	35	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	264	48.48	39	
Science	0	0		_	_	_	-	
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	169	40	29	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	171	51	47	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	169	46	49	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	65	33	27	Yes
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	67	36	42	No
Minority Students	1	4	1 I	Does Not Meet	46	38	45	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	35	54	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	51	49	69	No
Mathematics	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	69	52	75	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	47	52	78	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	34	51	83	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	58	50	83	No
Writing	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	67	46	61	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	46	46	60	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	2	4	· · · · · ·	Approaching	34	48	66	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	91	46	64	No
Total	21	48	43.8%	Approaching				

# Scoring Guide

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report								
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points	
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:				CAP			
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 20	09-10 baseline).	Exceeds	4		16		
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3		(4 for each	25	
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	rcentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).			2	subject area)	I	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).			1				
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14		
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		тс	CAP			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		60		
Growth Gaps	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	25	
	below 45 but at or above 30.	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)		

<b>Cut-Points for Each Per</b>	iormance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.			Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 47% - below 59%</li> </ul>	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 37% - below 47%</li> </ul>	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assignments						
	Plan description					
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of				
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board				
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the				
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority				
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.				

#### Reference

#### 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

#### **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline	)		_
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - 2	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

#### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1