School Performance Framework 2014

School: SABIN MIDDLE SCHOOL - 7556

Level: M

District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 1010 (1 Year¹)

	Language and the second stands
Priority	Improvement
- i i i o i i cy	in provenience

Performance Indicators

Entering Year 1* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

	Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)	
-	Academic Growth	Does Not Meet	35.7%	(17.9 out of 50 points)	
e g	Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	38.3%	(9.6 out of 25 points)	
g	Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible²

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	T
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	2 ₂ S
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	fr
Turnaround	below 37%	³ S

ed 9%	TOTAL	40.0%	(40.0 out of 100 points)			
9%	² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicate	or due to in	sufficient numbers of students.	In these cases, the	e points are removed	
7%	from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.					

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

* on July 1, 2015

Test Participation Rates

		% of Stud	ents Teste	d		Participa	tion Rating	7		Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	98.9%	-	98.9%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	864	-	864	-	874	-	874
Mathematics	-	99.5%	-	99.5%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	870	-	870	-	874	-	874
Writing	-	99.1%	-	99.1%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	866	-	866	-	874	-	874
Science	-	100.0%	-	100.0%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	273	-	273	-	273	-	273
Social Studies	-	99.7%	-	99.7%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	288	-	288	-	289	-	289
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rating



COLORADO Department of Education

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2013-14

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: SABIN MIDDLE SCHOOL	- 7556					D	istrict: COLORADO SPRINGS	11 - 1010 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	797	63.61	30	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	800	44	34	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	797	47.55	27	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
And amin Counth	Deinte Ferned	Dointe Flizikla	(Dointo	Dating	•/	Madian Crowth Danaantila	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% POINTS	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	759	44	32	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	764	36	69	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	757	36	48	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	38	54	56	No
Total	5	14	35.7%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					/•	Growin rercentile	Growin rercentite	GIOWLII
Reading	2	20 4	55%	Approaching	49.4	42	39	Vac
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	•		Approaching	484	43	39	Yes
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	3	4		Approaching	384 48	43 56	83	Yes No
	2	4 4		Meets	103	40	44	No
English Learners Students needing to catch up	2	4 4		Approaching	223	40	65	No
	7	20	35%	Approaching	225	45	65	INO
Mathematics	1	204	30%	Does Not Meet	489		73	N -
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4 4		Does Not Meet	390	35	73	No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	2	4 4		Does Not Meet	47	40	98	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching Does Not Meet	104	32	<u>98</u> 77	No No
Students needing to catch up	2	4 4		Approaching	308	41	90	No
	5	20	250	11	308	41	90	INO
Writing	-		25%	Does Not Meet	40.4		- 4	. .
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	484	37	54	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	384	37	54	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	46	35	90	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	103	35	66	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	317	35	77	No
Total	23	60	38.3%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide

Scoring Guide for Pe	erformance Indicators on the School Perfor	mance Framework Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient of	or advanced was:		TC	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2	009-10 baseline).	Exceeds	4		16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th p	Meets		3	(4 for each	25	
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th p	ercentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2 subject area)		
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1 	009-10 baseline). Does Not Meet 1					
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TC	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	25
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator		Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	Achievement; • at or above 87.5%		Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement			
 at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% 		Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

School Plan Type Assig	School Plan Type Assignments									
	Plan description									
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of								
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board								
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the								
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority								
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.								

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		_
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - 2	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1