District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 1010 (3 Year¹)

School: THE BIJOU SCHOOL - 0871

AEC: Performance (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive an AEC-specific SPF report that determines the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. The plan type is based on the overall AEC framework score, which is a percentage of the total points earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

| Plan Assignment | Framework Points Earned |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Performance | at or above 60% |
| Improvement | at or above 47% - below 60% |
| Priority Improvement | at or above 33% - below 47% |
| Turnaround | below 33% |

TOTAL

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

| Performance Indicators | Rating | % of Points | s Earned out of Points Eligible ² | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Academic Achievement | Does Not Meet | 33.3% | (5.0 out of 15 points) | |
| Academic Growth | Approaching | 41.7% | (14.6 out of 35 points) | |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Approaching | 40.0% | (6.0 out of 15 points) | |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Does Not Meet | 32.1% | (11.2 out of 35 points) | |
| Test Participation ³ | leets 95% Participation Rate | 2 | | |

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

36.8%

(36.8 out of 100 points)

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

| Test Participation Rates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------|-------|---------|------|----------|--------------|---------|------|-----------------|------|---------|------|----------------|------|---------|
| | % of Students Tested | | | | | Particip | ation Rating | | | Students Tested | | | | Total Students | | |
| Content Area | Elem | Middle | High | Overall | Elem | Middle | High | Overall | Elem | Middle | High | Overall | Elem | Middle | High | Overall |
| Reading | - | - | 98.8% | 98.8% | - | - | Meets | Meets | - | - | 85 | 85 | - | - | 86 | 86 |
| Mathematics | - | - | 98.8% | 98.8% | - | - | Meets | Meets | - | - | 84 | 84 | - | - | 85 | 85 |
| Writing | - | - | 98.8% | 98.8% | - | - | Meets | Meets | - | - | 85 | 85 | - | - | 86 | 86 |
| Science | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 |
| Social Studies | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 |
| Colorado ACT | _ | - | 99.0% | 99.0% | - | - | Meets | Meets | - | - | 95 | 95 | - | - | 96 | 96 |

| Performance Indicators | | | | | | | | Level: High |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| School: THE BIJOU SCHOOL - 0871 | | | | | | Dist | rict: COLORADO SPRINGS 1 | I - 1010 (3 Year) |
| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | % Proficient/Advanced | School's Percentile | |
| Reading | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 43 | 58.14 | 20 | |
| Mathematics | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 43 | 2.33 | 1 | |
| Writing | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 43 | 25.58 | 10 | |
| Science | 0 | 0 | | _ | - | - | - | |
| Total | 4 | 12 | 33.3% | Does Not Meet | | | | |
| | | | | | | Median Growth | Median Adequate Growth | Made Adequate |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Percentile | Percentile | Growth? |
| Reading | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 37 | 43 | 34 | Yes |
| Mathematics | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 36 | 29 | 99 | No |
| Writing | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 37 | 49 | 86 | No |
| English Language Proficiency (ACCESS) | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | <u>-</u> | - | <u> </u> |
| Total | 5 | 12 | 41.7% | Approaching | | | | |
| | | | | | Subgroup | Subgroup Median | Subgroup Median Adequate | Made Adequate |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | Subgroup N | Growth Percentile | Growth Percentile | Growth? |
| | | | | | | Growth rescentite | GIOWAIT FEICEINAIE | GIOWLII: |
| Reading | 2 | 4 | 50% | Approaching | 20 | | 42 | Vac |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 30 | 44 | 42 | Yes |
| Minority Students | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| English Learners | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Students needing to catch up | 0 | 0 | | | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Mathematics | 2 | 8 | 25% | Does Not Meet | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 29 | 37 | 99 | No |
| Minority Students | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | | - | - |
| Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| English Learners | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Students needing to catch up | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 33 | 29 | 99 | No |
| Writing | 4 | 8 | 50% | Approaching | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 30 | 41 | 87 | No |
| Minority Students | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | <u>-</u> | - | - |
| English Learners | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Students needing to catch up | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | 26 | 50 | 94 | No |
| Total | 8 | 20 | 40% | Approaching | | | | |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | | N | Rate/Score | Expectation |
| Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 25 | 2/194/135/ <i>73</i> | 23.8/37.1/45.2/ 47.9 % | 80% |
| Disaggregated Graduation Rate | 0.5 | 2 | 25% | Does Not Meet | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 0.25 | 1 | | Does Not Meet | 14 | 45/116/ <i>80</i> /41 | 22.1/32.8/ 41.3 /39% | 80% |
| Minority Students | 0.25 | 1 | | Does Not Meet | | 84/64/ 41 /19 | 21.4/34.4/ <i>43.9</i> /42.1% | 80% |
| Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<16/ | N<16/N<16/N<16 | -/-/-% | 80% |
| English Learners | 0 | 0 | | - | N<16/ | N<16/N<16/N<16 | -/-/-% | 80% |
| Dropout Rate | 2 | 4 | | Approaching | | 627 | 10% | 3.9% |
| Colorado ACT Composite Score | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | | 95 | 16.1 | 20.1 |
| Total | 4.5 | 14 | 32.1% | Does Not Meet | | | | |

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2010 | 33.3 | 42.1 | 47.9 | 47.9 |
| Anticipated Year | 2011 | 25.4 | 38.1 | 41.9 | |
| of Graduation | 2012 | 15.5 | 29.1 | | |
| | 2013 | 18.2 | | | |

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2010 | 28.9 | 33.3 | 39 | 39 |
| Anticipated Year | 2011 | 32.5 | 41 | 43.6 | |
| of Graduation | 2012 | 14.6 | 23.7 | | |
| | 2013 | 7.7 | | | |

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2010 | 25 | 35 | 42.1 | 42.1 |
| Anticipated Year | 2011 | 28 | 47.6 | 45.5 | |
| of Graduation | 2012 | 8.7 | 21.7 | | |
| | 2013 | 25 | | | |

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated Year | 2011 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2012 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2013 | N<16 | | | |

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated Year | 2011 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2012 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2013 | N<16 | | | |

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2010 | 33.3 | 42.1 | 47.9 | 47.9 |
| Anticipated Year | 2011 | 25.4 | 38.1 | 41.9 | |
| of Graduation | 2012 | 15.5 | 29.1 | | |
| | 2013 | 18.2 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 23.8 | 37.1 | 45.2 | 47.9 |

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2010 | 28.9 | 33.3 | 39 | 39 |
| Anticipated Year | 2011 | 32.5 | 41 | 43.6 | |
| of Graduation | 2012 | 14.6 | 23.7 | | |
| | 2013 | 7.7 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 22.1 | 32.8 | 41.3 | 39 |

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2010 | 25 | 35 | 42.1 | 42.1 |
| Anticipated Year | 2011 | 28 | 47.6 | 45.5 | |
| of Graduation | 2012 | 8.7 | 21.7 | | |
| | 2013 | 25 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 21.4 | 34.4 | 43.9 | 42.1 |

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated Year | 2011 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2012 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2013 | N<16 | | | |
| | Aggregated | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2010 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |
| Anticipated Year | 2011 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | |
| of Graduation | 2012 | N<16 | N<16 | | |
| | 2013 | N<16 | | | |
| | Aggregated | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 | N<16 |

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: H

| Performance Indicator | Scoring Guide | | Rating | Point Value | | Total Possible Points per EMH Level | Framework Points |
|-----------------------|---|---|---------------|-------------|---------------|--|---------------------|
| | The school's percentage of students scoring proficien | nt or advanced was: | | TCAP | | | |
| Academic | • at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (usin | ng 2009-10 baseline). | Exceeds | 4 | | 16 | |
| Achievement | below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50t | h percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). | Meets | | 3 | (4 for each | 15 |
| | below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15t | Approaching | | 2 | content area) | | |
| | below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 200) | 09-10 baseline). | Does Not Meet | | 1 | | |
| | Made AGP | Did Not Make AGP | | TCAP | ACCESS | | |
| Academic | at or above 60. | • at or above 70. | Exceeds | 4 | 2 | 14 | |
| Growth | below 60 but at or above 45. | • below 70 but at or above 55. | Meets | 3 | 1.5 | (4 for each subject | 35 |
| | below 45 but at or above 30. | • below 55 but at or above 40. | Approaching | 2 | 1 | area and 2 for English | |
| | • below 30. | • below 40. | Does Not Meet | 1 | 0.5 | language proficiency) | |
| | Made AGP | Did Not Make AGP | | TO | CAP | | |
| Academic | • at or above 60. | • at or above 70. | Exceeds | 4 | | 60 | |
| Growth Gaps | • below 60 but at or above 45. | • below 70 but at or above 55. | Meets | | 3 | (4 for each of 5 | 15 |
| | • below 45 but at or above 30. | • below 55 but at or above 40. | Approaching | 2 | | subgroups in 3 | |
| | • below 30. | • below 40. | Does Not Meet | | 1 | subject areas) | |
| | Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate | The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was: | | Overall | Disaggr. | | |
| | at or above 90%. | | Exceeds | 4 | 1 | | |
| | at or above 80% but below 90%. | | Meets | 3 | 0.75 | | |
| | at or above 65% but below 80%. | | Approaching | 2 | 0.5 | | |
| | • below 65%. | | Does Not Meet | 1 | 0.25 | | |
| | Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was: | | | | | 16 | |
| Postsecondary and | • at or below 1%. | | Exceeds | 4 | | (4 for each sub- | 35 |
| Workforce Readiness | at or below the state average but above 1% (using) | 2009-10 baseline). | Meets | | 3 | indicator) | |
| | • at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline). | | Approaching | 2 | | | |
| | • above 10%. | Does Not Meet | | 1 | | | |
| | Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average | e Colorado ACT composite score was: | | | | | |
| | • at or above 22. | | Exceeds | | 4 | | |
| | at or above the state average but below 22 (using | 2009-10 baseline). | Meets | | 3 | | |
| | at or above 17 but below the state average (using | 2009-10 baseline). | Approaching | | 2 | | |
| | • below 17. | | Does Not Meet | | 1 | | |

| Cut-Points for Each Perfor | mance Indicator | Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|-----------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indica | Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible. | | | |
| Achievement; | • at or above 87.5% | Exceeds | Total | • at or above 60% | Performance |
| Growth; Growth Gaps; | • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% | Meets | Framework | • at or above 47% - below 60% | Improvement |
| Postsecondary Readiness | • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% | Approaching | Points | • at or above 33% - below 47% | Priority Improvement |
| | • below 37.5% | Does Not Meet | | • below 33% | Turnaround |

| School Plan Type Assignments | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Plan description | | | | |
| Performance Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. | | | | |
| Improvement Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan. | | | | |
| Priority Improvement Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. | | | | |
| Turnaround Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan. | | | | |

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

| | | Reading | | | Math | | | Writing | | | Science | | |
|---|-----------------|---------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|---------|--------|-------|---------|--------|-------|
| | | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| | N of Schools | 1008 | 479 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 912 | 407 | 286 |
| Ī | 15th percentile | 49.18 | 50.44 | 54.92 | 48.60 | 29.72 | 15.97 | 32.48 | 34.96 | 30.95 | 19.67 | 23.85 | 27.50 |
| | 50th percentile | 71.65 | 71.43 | 73.33 | 70.89 | 52.48 | 33.52 | 53.52 | 57.77 | 50.00 | 47.53 | 48.00 | 50.00 |
| | 90th percentile | 89.10 | 88.24 | 87.23 | 89.34 | 75.00 | 54.79 | 76.83 | 79.67 | 72.24 | 75.96 | 75.11 | 72.41 |

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

| | | <u> </u> | | | | | 00 0 | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------|----------|-------|-------|--------|-------|---------|--------|---------|-------|--------|-------|
| | Reading | | | Math | | | Writing | | Science | | | |
| | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| N of Schools | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 1032 | 507 | 361 | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 972 | 469 | 347 |
| 15th percentile | 50.00 | 50.56 | 53.34 | 48.73 | 29.69 | 13.49 | 32.56 | 36.84 | 30.00 | 20.46 | 25.00 | 27.93 |
| 50th percentile | 72.05 | 71.35 | 72.21 | 70.11 | 51.63 | 30.53 | 54.84 | 58.34 | 49.57 | 45.36 | 48.72 | 50.00 |
| 90th percentile | 88.21 | 87.40 | 86.17 | 87.48 | 74.41 | 52.19 | 76.51 | 79.17 | 71.00 | 72.65 | 71.26 | 71.45 |

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

| | Made AGP | Did Not Make AGP |
|---------------|----------|------------------|
| Exceeds | 60-99 | 70-99 |
| Meets | 45-59 | 55-69 |
| Approaching | 30-44 | 40-54 |
| Does Not Meet | 1-29 | 1-39 |

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

| | N of Students | Mean Rate |
|------------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1-year (2009) | 416,953 | 3.6 |
| 3-year (2007-09) | 1,238,096 | 3.9 |

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

| | N of Students | Mean Rate |
|------------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1-year (2010) | 51,438 | 20.0 |
| 3-year (2008-10) | 151,439 | 20.1 |