## School Performance Framework 2014

# School: CASTLE ROCK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 1362

# Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	58.3%	(14.6 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	( 33.4 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	60.4%	(15.1 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

6	TOTAL	63.19	%	( 63.1 out of 100 points )		
6	<sup>2</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indica	tor due	e to in	sufficient numbers of students.	In these cases, the points a	ire removed

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

#### Test Participation Rates % of Students Tested Participation Rating Students Tested Total Students Content Area Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Overall Elem Middle High Overall Elem Middle High Overall Reading 98.4% 241 245 98.4% Meets -Meets 241 --\_ ----Mathematics 98.4% 243 243 247 --98.4% Meets --Meets ----Writing 98.4% 98.4% Meets -Meets 243 243 247 -------Science 100.0% 100.0% Meets Meets 60 60 60 --\_ \_ -\_ -\_ Social Studies 56 56 56 100.0% --100.0% Meets --Meets \_ \_ \_ \_ Colorado ACT \_ \_ \_ -\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.



**COLORADO** Department of Education

# <sup>1</sup> Data in this report is based on results from: 2013-14

District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (1 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Level: E

Overall

245

247

247

60

56

Performance Indicators								el: Elementary
School: CASTLE ROCK ELEMENTA	ARY SCHOOL -	1362				Di	istrict: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE	1 - 0900 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	228	72.37	51	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	228	65.35	38	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	228	50.88	43	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	157	58	32	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	158	53	52	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	157	40	41	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ň	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	40	57	34	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	41	51	32	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	27	56	66	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	49	66	63	Yes
Mathematics	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	40	57	60	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	42	52	51	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	27	47	80	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	53	58	79	No
Writing	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	40	34	47	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	42	37	44	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	36	72	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	68	42	62	No
Total	29	48	60.4%	Approaching				

# Scoring Guide

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report								
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points		
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or		TCAP					
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 20	09-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16		
Achievement	<ul> <li>below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per</li> </ul>	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25	
	<ul> <li>below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per</li> </ul>	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		subject area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).	Does Not Meet	1				
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14		
Growth	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50	
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		60		
Growth Gaps	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)		

<b>Cut-Points for Each Per</b>	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.			Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement	
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 37% - below 47%</li> </ul>	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type Assignments						
	Plan description					
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of				
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board				
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the				
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority				
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.				

#### Reference

### 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

### **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline	)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - 2	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.	N of Schools 15th percentile 50th percentile	Elem 1032 50.00 72.05	Reading Middle 507 50.56 71.35	High 362 53.34 72.21	Elem 1032 48.73 70.11	Math Middle 507 29.69 51.63	High 361 13.49 30.53	Elem 1032 32.56 54.84	Writing Middle 507 36.84 58.34	High 362 30.00 49.57	Elem 972 20.46 45.36	Science Middle 469 25.00 48.72	34 27 50

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1