School Performance Framework 2014

School: TREVISTA ECE-8 AT HORACE MANN - 8909

Level: EM

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year¹)

Priority Improvement (Revised)
Will enter Year 5* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(6.3 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.9%	(29.5 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	59.2%	(14.8 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

d %	TOTAL	50.6%	(50.6 out of 100 points)		
%	² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicat	tor due to ins	sufficient numbers of students.	In these cases, the po	ints are removed

from the points eligible so scores are not negatively impacted

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

* on July 1, 2015

est Participation Pat

/0	non the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.
%	³ Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do
	not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for
DT	schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but
n	one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

rest Participation Rates																	
	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	
Reading	99.0%	99.2%	-	99.1%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	479	481	-	960	484	485	-	969	
Mathematics	99.6%	98.4%	-	99.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	483	478	-	961	485	486	-	971	
Writing	100.0%	99.2%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	486	481	-	967	486	485	-	971	
Science	100.0%	97.8%	-	98.9%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	171	175	-	346	171	179	-	350	
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	39	51	-	90	39	51	-	90	
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	



COLORADO **Department of Education**

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: TREVISTA ECE-8 AT HOR	ACE MANN - 8	909					District: DENVER COUNTY	1 - 0880 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	432	33.1	4	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	432	41.2	7	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	435	17.7	1	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Acadamic Crowth	Points Fornad	Pointe Eligible	9 Points	Poting	Δ/	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% POINTS	Rating	N		Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	268	51	63	No
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	268	61	77	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	270	49 39	71	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2	== 4.04	Approaching	102	39	29	Yes
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching		Giowan ereentale	6/0Will refeemine	Giowan
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	50%	Approaching	256	51	65	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	250	51	62	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	35	84	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	142	50	66	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	182	55	76	No
Mathematics	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	256	59	77	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	262	61	77	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	36	93	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	144	56	78	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	163	62	88	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	258	49	72	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	262	48	70	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	39	49	89	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	144	47	73	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	224	51	77	No
Total	33	60	55%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: TREVISTA ECE-8 AT HOR	ACE MANN - 8	909					District: DENVER COUNTY	1 - 0880 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	422	25.12	1	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	419	24.11	8	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	422	24.64	6	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	382	53	73	No
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	379	55	97	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	380	61	86	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	20	35	57	No
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	363	53	73	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	373	52	74	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	55	49	91	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	217	51	81	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	289	54	81	No
Mathematics	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	360	55	97	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	370	55	97	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	54	49	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	216	55	98	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	294	56	99	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	361	61	86	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	371	61	86	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	55	53	95	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	215	59	90	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	294	63	91	No
Total	38	60	63.3%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Pe	erformance Indicators on the School Perform	ance Framework Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or	advanced was:		ТСАР			
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 20	09-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per	Meets		3	(4 for each	25	
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	 below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10) 	baseline).	Does Not Meet	t 1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment								
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicate	or.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.						
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance					
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement					
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement					
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround					

School Plan Type Assig	nments	
	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the
Turnaround Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.		summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	ntile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)			
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50	
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	ntile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)		
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading		Math			Writing			Science			
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	
And win Crowth and Andersia Crowth Corre									- dom (on					

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1