School Performance Framework 2014

School: LONGMONT HIGH SCHOOL - 5282

District: ST VRAIN VALLEY RF 11 - 0470 (1 Year)

Level:

		Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²						
Performance		Academic Achievement	Meets	66.7%	(10.0 out of 15 points)					
This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.		Academic Growth	Approaching	60.7%	(21.2 out of 35 points)					
		Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	48.3%	(7.2 out of 15 points)					
			Exceeds	87.5%	(30.6 out of 35 points)					
Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	T	Marta OFW Dartisia atian Data							
Performance	at or above 60%	Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate							

Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47% TO
Turnaround	below 33%
Framework points are calculat	ted using the percentage of elig

points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

TAL 69.0% (69.0 out of 100 points) nools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points

ible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

		% of Stud	dents Tested			Particip	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.3%	98.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	583	583	-	-	593	593
Mathematics	-	-	98.7%	98.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	585	585	-	-	593	593
Writing	-	-	98.3%	98.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	583	583	-	-	593	593
Science	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Social Studies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.5%	98.5%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	258	258	-	-	262	262



COLORADO **Department of Education**

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2013-14

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: LONGMONT HIGH SCHOOL -	- 5282					Dis	strict: ST VRAIN VALLEY RE 1	0
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	,
Reading	2	4		Approaching	567	71.08	42	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	569	38.66	64	
Writing	3	4		Meets	568	50.53	50	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	536	52	15	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	539	49	86	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	538	44	49	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	72	46	31	Yes
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	249	46	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	201	46	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	47	54	96	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	113	47	49	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	149	43	72	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	5570	Approaching	252	43	98	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	203	48	98	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	47	57	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	114	44	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	268	42	99	No
Writing	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet	200	72		110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	30%	Does Not Meet	251	38	74	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	202	38	74	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	47		99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	113	37	83	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	215	39	92	No
Total	29	60	48.3%	Approaching	215			NO
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		/2/249/ 242 /279	88.2/92.4/ <i>95.5</i> /94.3%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	4	4	100%	Exceeds			00.2/ 52. 1/ 55.0 / 57.5/0	00/0
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1	10070	Exceeds		109/97/ 77 /94	79.8/84.5/ <i>92.2</i> /85.1%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds		86/79/ 72 /83	87.2/88.6/ 90.3 /88%	80%
Students with Disabilities	1	1		Exceeds		6/N<16/ 20 /16	50/-/ 90 /75%	80%
English Learners	1	1		Exceeds		<i>42</i> /36/20/26		80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		1315	1.4%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		258	20.6	20.0
	14	16	97 EV			230	20.0	20.0
Total	14	01	87.5%	Exceeds				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	88.9	92.6	93.9	94.3
Anticipated Year	2011	87.8	94.2	<i>95.5</i>	
of Graduation	2012	88.2	92.4		
	2013	88.2			

	4-year	5-year	
2010	88.9	92.6	

	2010	88.9	92.6	93.9	94.3
Anticipated Year	2011	87.8	94.2	95.5	
of Graduation	2012	88.2	92.4		
	2013	88.2			
	Aggregated	88.3	93	94.6	94.3

4-vear

7-year

7-vear

6-vear

6-vear

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

Anticipated Year

of Graduation

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	81.1	84.8	84.2	85.1
Anticipated Year	2011	79.5	90.9	<i>92.2</i>	
of Graduation	2012	76.5	84.5		
	2013	79.8			

4-year

82.1

76.8

82.7

87.2

5-yea

84.7

88.9

88.6

6-year

86.9

90.3

7-year

88

Anticipate

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

	Aggregated	79.2	86.5	87.8	85.1
	2013	79.8			
of Graduation	2012	76.5	84.5		
Anticipated Year	2011	79.5	90.9	92.2	
	2010	81.1	84.8	84.2	85.1

5-vear

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	82.1	84.7	86.9	88
Anticipated Year	2011	76.8	88.9	90.3	
of Graduation	2012	82.7	88.6		
	2013	87.2			
	Aggregated	82.3	87.3	88.5	88

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

	4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
2010	52.9	58.8	70.6	75
2011	61.9	75	90	
2012	N<16	N<16		
2013	50			
Aggregated	53.2	63.5	81.1	75
	2011 2012 2013	2010 52.9 2011 61.9 2012 N<16 2013 50	2010 52.9 58.8 2011 61.9 75 2012 N<16 N<16 2013 50 50	2010 52.9 58.8 70.6 2011 61.9 75 90 2012 N<16 N<16 2013 50

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	72	73.1	77.8	80.8
Anticipated Year	2011	69.6	90	90	
of Graduation	2012	70.3	77.8		
	2013	90.5			
	Aggregated	77.2	79.3	83	80.8

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	
	2010	82.1	84.7	86.9	
Anticipated Year	2011	76.8	88.9	90.3	
of Graduation	2012	82.7	88.6		
	2013	87.2			
	Aggregated	82.3	87.3	88.5	

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

2010

2011

2012

2013

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	52.9	58.8	70.6	75
Anticipated Year	2011	61.9	75	90	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	50			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	72	73.1	77.8	80.8
Anticipated Year	2011	69.6	90	90	
of Graduation	2012	70.3	77.8		
	2013	90.5			

Scoring Guide

Performance Indicator	erformance Indicators on the School <i>Scoring Guide</i>		Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points		
	The school's percentage of students scoring pro	oficient or advanced was:		т	CAP	<i>per 2010</i>			
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools		Exceeds		4	16			
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but at or above th	Meets	3		(4 for each	15			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above th	e 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	subject area)			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (usir	g 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet	1		-			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS				
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14			
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TC	CAP				
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60			
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	w 45 but at or above 30. • below 55 but at or above 40.				subgroups in 3			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)			
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation	Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.				
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1				
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3	0.75					
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			2	0.5		l		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1	0.25					
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			1		16			
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35		
Workforce Readiness	tor below the state average but above 1% (Meets	3		indicator)			
	 at or below 10% but above the state average 	Approaching		2					
	• above 10%.	Does Not Meet		1					
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's a		1						
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds		4				
	tor above the state average but below 22 (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Meets		3				
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2				
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1				

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.			Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement		
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description						
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board					
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the					
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority					
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		_
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - 2	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1