Level: EMH

School: LOTUS SCHOOL FOR EXCELLENCE - 5298

District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180 (3 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

TOTAL

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

				•
Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	47.2%	(7.1 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	70.2%	(24.6 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	60.3%	(9.0 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	70.0%	(24.5 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

65.2%

(65.2 out of 100 points)

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested Participatio			tion Rating	ating Students Tested					Total Students						
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	99.8%	99.2%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	593	615	389	1597	593	616	392	1601
Mathematics	100.0%	99.7%	99.5%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	593	614	390	1597	593	616	392	1601
Writing	100.0%	99.7%	99.0%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	593	615	388	1596	593	617	392	1602
Science	100.0%	99.5%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	205	185	-	390	205	186	-	391
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	51	74	-	125	51	74	-	125
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.2%	99.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	130	130	-	-	131	131

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: LOTUS SCHOOL FOR EXC	CELLENCE - 529	8				D	istrict: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 2	8J - 0180 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	577	51.13	15	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	577	49.74	15	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	577	29.29	11	
Science	0	0		-	_	-	-	
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	375	43	46	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	376	32	62	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	374	34	61	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	145	61	22	Yes
Total	6	14	42.9%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	295	44	47	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	305	43	48	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	233	41	52	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	188	47	71	No
Mathematics	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	295	34	64	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	305	31	66	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	233	32	67	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	174	32	83	No
Writing	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	294	34	62	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	303	30	63	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	231	34	65	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	266	39	71	No
Total	16	48	33.3%	Does Not Meet				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: LOTUS SCHOOL FOR EXC	CELLENCE - 529	8					District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE	28J - 0180 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	589	59.25	26	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	589	34.97	19	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	589	49.07	29	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	557	60	43	Yes
Mathematics	2	4	1	Approaching	558	48	81	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	558	60	60	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	80	67	55	Yes
Total	12	14	85.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	17	20	85%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	414	60	46	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	458	59	46	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	30	68	84	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	332	62	50	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	241	65	69	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	416	48	82	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	457	48	83	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	30	45	98	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	332	49	86	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	319	49	94	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	415	60	61	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	459	59	63	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	30	41	87	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	332	61	67	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	307	66	79	No
Total	41	60	68.3%	Meets				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: LOTUS SCHOOL FOR EXCELL	ENCE - 5298					Di:	strict: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28	3J - 0180 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	361	61.22	25	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	362	26.24	39	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	360	39.44	30	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	339	66	33	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	340	56	98	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	339	56	76	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	47	59	29	Yes
Total	11.5	14	82.1%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds	,,	<u> </u>	Oroman reseases	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	<i>33.01</i> 6	Exceeds	224	67	41	Yes
Minority Students	4	4			274	67	41	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		Exceeds	N<20	-	<u>41</u>	res
	4	4		Eveneda			<u>-</u> 48	
English Learners	3	4		Exceeds	210	68 	82	Yes
Students needing to catch up	-		CO 00	Meets	133		02	No
Mathematics Firstle	11	16	68.8%	Meets	224			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	224	57	99	No No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	274	56	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	
English Learners	3	4		Meets	211	60	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4	20.00	Approaching	223	54	99	No
Writing	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	224	58	81	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	273	57	81	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	_
English Learners	3	4		Meets	210	58	84	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4	77.10	Approaching	198	54	93	No
Total	37	48	77.1%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	6.	3/ 24 /N<16/N<16	79.4/ <i>87.5</i> /-/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.5	3	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		7/ <i>16</i> /N<16/N<16	74.5/ <i>81.3/-/-</i> %	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds		6/ <i>16</i> /N<16/N<16	82.6/ <i>93.8</i> /-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets	26/	/N<16/N<16/N<16	<i>80.8</i> /-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		792	2.5%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		130	17.9	20.1
Total	10.5	15	70%	Meets				

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	80	<i>87</i>		
	2013	81.1			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	70.6	N<16		
	2013	79.3			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	82.4	N<16		
	2013	<i>85.7</i>			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	81.3			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	80	87		
	2013	81.1			
	Aggregated	79.4	87.5	N<16	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	70.6	N<16		
	2013	79.3			
	Aggregated	74.5	81.3	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	82.4	N<16		
	2013	85.7			
	Aggregated	82.6	93.8	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2011	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2012	N<16	N<16		
	2013	81.3			
	Aggregated	80.8	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010. 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficien		TCAP				
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (usir	g 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50t	n percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15t	n percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 200)	9-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3 2		(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching			subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate	The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (using	2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (usin	g 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	e Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using	2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using)	2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment			
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indica	Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments				
	Plan description			
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.			
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.			
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.			
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.			

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

											•	
	Reading Math			Writing			Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1