School Performance Framework 2014

School: THUNDER RIDGE MIDDLE SCHOOL - 8848

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	тоты
Performance	at or above 59%	TOTAL
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicat
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.
Turnaround	below 37%	³ Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, s

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

TOTAL

Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

Academic Growth Gaps

Academic Growth

Test Participation³

65.6% (65.6 out of 100 points) ²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed

75.0%

64.3%

58.3%

% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible²

(18.8 out of 25 points)

(32.2 out of 50 points)

(14.6 out of 25 points)

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates % of Students Tested Participation Rating Students Tested **Total Students** Middle Middle Middle Middle Content Area Elem High Overall Elem High Overall Elem High Overall Elem High Overall 3784 3809 3809 Reading _ 99.3% _ 99.3% -Meets _ Meets _ _ 3784 -_ Mathematics -99.4% -99.4% -Meets -Meets -3786 _ 3786 -3809 _ 3809 Writing 99.3% 99.3% Meets Meets 3783 3783 3809 3809 -------_ Science 99.4% Meets 1243 1251 -99.4% _ _ Meets --1243 _ -1251 _ 100.0% Social Studies 100.0% Meets _ Meets -413 413 413 _ 413 -----Colorado ACT _ -_ -_ _ _ --_ _

1

Rating

Meets

Meets

Approaching

Meets 95% Participation Rate



COLORADO **Department of Education**

					.	
,	-	~ 4	20	5	×.	_

District: CHERRY CREEK 5 - 0130 (3 Year')

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: THUNDER RIDGE MIDDL	E SCHOOL - 88	48					District: CHERRY CREE	< 5 - 0130 (3 Yeai
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	3669	76.53	65	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	3669	65.28	77	
Writing	3	4		Meets	3666	67.02	71	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	3514	49	22	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3516	45	53	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	3501	49	36	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	34	42	56	No
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
		-			Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ň	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	738	48	33	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1414	50	26	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	390	45	74	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	271	51	42	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	702	49	65	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				·
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	740	45	67	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1418	46	58	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	389	40	94	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	275	46	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	949	48	90	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	736	47	47	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1412	50	40 Yes	
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	388	44	84	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	270	49	54	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1028	46	76	No
Total	35	60	58.3%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report								
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points	
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:						
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 20	Exceeds	4		16			
Achievement	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per 	Meets		3	(4 for each	25		
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	baseline).	Does Not Meet		1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14		
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50	
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		т	CAP			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4		60		
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	25	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)		

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment						
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement			
	 at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% 	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

School Plan Type Assignments						
	Plan description					
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of				
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board				
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the				
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority				
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.				

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)													
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from		Reading Math		Math	th Writing		Science						
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
And win Crowth and Andersia Crowth Corre									- dom (on				

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1