# School Performance Framework 2014

### School: EAGLECREST HIGH SCHOOL - 2357

### District: CHERRY CREEK 5 - 0130 (3 Year)

Level

		Performance Indicators Rating		% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>			
Perf	ormance	Academic Achievement	Meets 75.0% (11.3 out of		( 11.3 out of 15 points )		
This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the		Academic Growth	Meets	67.9%	(23.8 out of 35 points)		
overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring	Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	66.7%	( 10.0 out of 15 points )			
guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.			Meets	76.6%	( 26.8 out of 35 points )		
Dian Assignment	Framework Deinte Formed						

	Framework Points Earned	Plan Assignment
Test	at or above 60%	Performance
	at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
τοτ	at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
<sup>2</sup> Scho	below 33%	Turnaround

Participation<sup>3</sup> **Meets 95% Participation Rate** AL 71.9% (71.9 out of 100 points)

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

pols may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

#### **Test Participation Rates**

		% of Stud	lents Tested			Particip	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.7%	98.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	3691	3691	-	-	3738	3738
Mathematics	-	-	98.8%	98.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	3694	3694	-	-	3738	3738
Writing	-	-	99.0%	99.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	3699	3699	-	-	3738	3738
Science	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Social Studies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.5%	98.5%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1661	1661	-	-	1687	1687



COLORADO **Department of Education** 

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: EAGLECREST HIGH SCHOOL	- 2357						District: CHERRY CREEK	5 - 0130 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	3566	73.28	54	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	3572	37.57	67	
Writing	3	4		Meets	3573	56.45	63	
Science	0	0	1	-	-	_	_	
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	3405	53	15	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	3408	53	85	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	3411	56	45	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	38	55	38	Yes
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Acadamic Crouth Conc	Points Fornad	Pointe Elizible	& Points	Poting		Growth Percentile		
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned		% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	15	20	75%	Meets	1000			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1022	52	31	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1546	56	24	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4	6	Approaching	374	51	85	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	288	61	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	952	55	73	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	1020	51	96	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1548	53	93	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	377	53	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	284	57	97	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1810	54	99	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1022	57	69	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1551	56	57	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	377	52	98	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	288	61	81	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	1419	58	90	No
Total	40	60	66.7%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	233	34/1766/1197/ <i>593</i>	85.4/88.2/88.6/ <b>89.7</b> %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	3.25	4	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets	7	66/ <i>555</i> /364/169	80.4/ <i>83.6</i> /82.4/82.2%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets	9	89/ <b>736</b> /489/239	85.1/ <i>87.9</i> /87.9/87.9%	80%
Students with Disabilities	1	1		Exceeds		310/227/151/ <b>71</b>	67.4/78.4/83.4/ <b><i>93</i>%</b>	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets		89/65/ <i>50</i> /24	83.1/86.2/ <b>88</b> /83.3%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		7982	1.9%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		1659	20.6	20.1
Total	12.25	16	76.6%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

# **Graduation Rates**

## Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

**Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)** 

#### This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

**Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)** 

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	82.7	87.9	88.9	89.7
Anticipated Year	2011	83.3	86.6	88.2	
of Graduation	2012	88	<i>90.1</i>		
	2013	87.8			

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	82.7	87.9	88.9	89.7
Anticipated Year	2011	83.3	86.6	88.2	
of Graduation	2012	88	90.1		
	2013	87.8			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	73.8	82	81.7	82.2
Anticipated Year	2011	78.1	81.1	83.1	
of Graduation	2012	82.9	87.4		
	2013	85.2			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	80.1	86	87.4	87.9
Anticipated Year	2011	83.1	87.2	88.4	
of Graduation	2012	88	90.6		
	2013	89.3			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	67.6	83.1	87.3	<i>93</i>
Anticipated Year	2011	62.5	71.3	80	
of Graduation	2012	75.9	81.6		
	2013	63.7			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	63.2	78.9	83.3	83.3
Anticipated Year	2011	90.9	92.3	92.3	
of Graduation	2012	85	85		
	2013	89.3			
	2013	89.3			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Aggregated

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	73.8	82	81.7	82.2
Anticipated Year	2011	78.1	81.1	83.1	
of Graduation	2012	82.9	87.4		
	2013	85.2			
	Aggregated	80.4	83.6	82.4	82.2

85.4

88.2

88.6

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	80.1	86	87.4	87.9
Anticipated Year	2011	83.1	87.2	88.4	
of Graduation	2012	88	90.6		
	2013	89.3			
	Aggregated	85.1	87.9	87.9	87.9

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	67.6	83.1	87.3	93
Anticipated Year	2011	62.5	71.3	80	
of Graduation	2012	75.9	81.6		
	2013	63.7			
	Aggregated	67.4	78.4	83.4	<i>93</i>

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2010	63.2	78.9	83.3	83.3
Anticipated Year	2011	90.9	92.3	92.3	
of Graduation	2012	85	85		
	2013	89.3			
	Aggregated	83.1	86.2	88	83.3

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

89.7

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2013 4year graduation rate, 2012 5-year graduation rate, 2011 6-year graduation rate and 2010 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010, 2011 and 2012 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2010 and 2011 6-year graduation rate, or 2010 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points	
	The school's percentage of students scoring pr	oficient or advanced was:		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all school	s (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the	ne 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3		(4 for each	15
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the	ne 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (usi	ng 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation	Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:	•	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.		Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.		Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.		Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.		Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1%	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average	(using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.		Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's a	verage Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.		Exceeds		4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22	using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state average	using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.		Does Not Meet		1		

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfor</b>	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 47% - below 60%</li> </ul>	Improvement		
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 33% - below 47%</li> </ul>	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assignments						
	Plan description					
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of				
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board				
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the				
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority				
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.				

### Reference

### 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

## **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

## Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline	)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from		Reading Math		Writing		Science							
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
And win Crowth and Andersia Crowth Corre									- dom ( on				

# Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

### State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1