School Performance Framework 2014

School: DAKOTA VALLEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 2094

This is implen Frame overall officia guide to me assurai

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Test Participation Rat	tes															
		% of Studer	nts Tested			Participati	on Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total :	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	-	-	99.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	402	-	-	402	403	-	-	403
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	403	-	-	403	403	-	-	403
Writing	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	403	-	-	403	403	-	-	403
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	128	-	-	128	128	-	-	128
Social Studies	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	131	-	-	131	131	-	-	131
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance

s the plan type the school is required to adopt and ment, based on the 3 Year School Performance work. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the	Academic Growth
Il percent of points earned for the official year. The Il percent of points earned is matched to the scoring	Academic Growth Gap
below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing neet test administration and/or test participation nees will result in a lower plan type category.	Test Participation ³

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	66.7%	(16.7 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	57.1%	(28.6 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	61.7%	(15.4 out of 25 points)	

Meets 95% Participation Rate

5	TOTAL	60.7%	(60.7 out of 100 points)		

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).



COLORADO **Department of Education** District: CHERRY CREEK 5 - 0130 (1 Year¹)

Level: E

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary	
School: DAKOTA VALLEY ELEMEN	School: DAKOTA VALLEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 2094 District: CHERRY CREEK 5 - 0130 (1 Yea								
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile		
Reading	3	4		Meets	384	77.6	64		
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	385	74.55	58		
Writing	2	4		Approaching	385	52.21	47		
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-		
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets					
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate	
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?	
Reading	3	4		Meets	238	54	28	Yes	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	238	43	38	Yes	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	238	37	38	No	
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	48	67	15	Yes	
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching					
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate	
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?	
Reading	15	20	75%	Meets		Giowan creentae	<u>oronari creentae</u>	<u> </u>	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	15/0	Exceeds	45	64	30	Yes	
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	103	59	32	Yes	
Students with Disabilities	2	4 4		Approaching	29	45	60	No	
English Learners	3	4 4		Meets	41	59	36	Yes	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	54	59	60	No	
Mathematics	13	20	65%	Meets	54		00		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	05%	Meets	45	50	38	Yes	
Minority Students	3	4 4		Meets	103	45	44	Yes	
Students with Disabilities	1	4 4		Does Not Meet	29	35	66	No	
English Learners	3	4 4		Meets	41	46	40	Yes	
Students needing to catch up	3	4 4		Meets	41	62	82	No	
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching		02	02		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	45	43	43	Yes	
Minority Students	2	4 4		Approaching	103	39	39	Yes	
Students with Disabilities	2	4 4		Approaching	29	45	69	No	
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	35	40	No	
Students needing to catch up	2	4 4		Approaching	95	48	58	No	
Total	37	60	61.7%				50		
TULAL	5/	00	01.//0	Approaching					

Scoring Guide

Scoring Guide for Pe	erformance Indicators on the School Perform	ance Framework Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	coring Guide			: Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or		TC	CAP			
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 20	09-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	subject area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).					
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		тс	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Per	iormance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement	
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type Assig	School Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of						
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board						
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the						
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority						
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.						

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		_
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - 2	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1