School Performance Framework 2014

School: STEM LAUNCH - 8310

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	37.5%	(9.4 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	60.7%	(30.4 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	57.4%	(14.4 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	I
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	2
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	f
Turnaround	below 37%	3

Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for

TOTAL 54.2% (54.2 out of 100 points)
²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Turnaround below 37% ³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Academic Growth Gaps.

		% of Studen	ts Tested			Participati	on Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	98.6%	-	98.9%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	289	999	-	1288	289	1013	-	1302
Mathematics	100.0%	99.0%	-	99.2%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	289	1005	-	1294	289	1015	-	1304
Writing	100.0%	98.7%	-	99.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	289	1000	-	1289	289	1013	-	1302
Science	100.0%	98.1%	-	98.5%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	97	414	-	511	97	422	-	519
Social Studies	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	51	109	-	160	51	109	-	160
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



COLORADO Department of Education

¹ Data in this report is based on results from: 2012-13,2013-14

Level: EM

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (3 Year¹)

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: Elementary
School: STEM LAUNCH - 8310						District:	ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOO	LS - 0020 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	284	50.7	15	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	284	55.63	22	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	284	32.39	14	
Science	0	0		-	_	-	-	
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	188	61	51	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	188	57	57	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	188	55	61	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	107	41	31	Yes
Total	11	14	78.6%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	141	57	54	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	137	60	54	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	105	60	60	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	99	59	73	No
Mathematics	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	141	54	65	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	137	56	65	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	3	4		Meets	105	56	69	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	78	50	85	No
Writing	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	141	53	67	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	137	55	65	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	105	54	71	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	134	54	73	No
Total	33	48	68.8%	Meets				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: STEM LAUNCH - 8310						District: A	DAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOO	LS - 0020 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	991	40.67	8	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	996	32.33	16	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	992	27.32	7	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	943	47	57	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	946	47	83	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	947	39	74	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	186	53	56	No
Total	6	14	42.9%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	807	47	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	782	48	60	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	81	45	93	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	593	50	63	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	542	48	75	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	810	47	86	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	788	47	86	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	79	51	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	595	49	86	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	576	49	96	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	812	40	76	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	785	40	76	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	81	35	97	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	594	41	77	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	655	42	84	No
Total	29	60	48.3%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Pe	erformance Indicators on the School Perform	ance Framework Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	ing Guide					Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or	advanced was:		TCAP			
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 20	09-10 baseline).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th per	Approaching		2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).				1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment						
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

School Plan Type Assig	chool Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of						
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board						
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the						
Turnaround Plan The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.		summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority						
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.						

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	ntile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading,	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	ntile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
And win Crowth and Andersia Crowth Corre									- dom (on				

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1