School: CENTENNIAL A SCHOOL FOR EXPEDITIONARY LEARNING - 1400

Level: EM

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year¹)

Turnaround (*Revised*) Entering Year 3* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

* on July 1, 2015

est Participation Rates

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(6.3 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	44.2%	(22.1 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	47.4%	(11.9 out of 25 points)	

TOTAL	40.3%	(40.3 out of 100 points)		

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science, social studies and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Students Tested Participation Rating				Students Tested					Total Students					
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	98.6%	98.5%	-	98.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	144	67	-	211	146	68	-	214
Mathematics	100.0%	95.6%	-	98.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	146	65	-	211	146	68	-	214
Writing	98.6%	98.5%	-	98.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	144	67	-	211	146	68	-	214
Science	95.0%	100.0%	-	97.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	38	43	-	81	40	43	-	83
Social Studies	96.8%	100.0%	-	97.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	60	28	-	88	62	28	-	90
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



COLORADO Department of Education

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: CENTENNIAL A SCHOOL	FOR EXPEDITIO	ONARY LEARNI	NG - 1400				District: DENVER COUNTY	1 - 0880 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	140	40.71	8	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	142	45.07	11	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	140	27.86	10	
Science	0	0		-	-	-	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	85	39	54	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	88	45	64	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	85	40	63	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	37	22	31	No
Total	5.5	14	39.3%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	& Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					/v	Glowin Percentile	Growth Fercentite	Growin
Reading	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet	70			NI-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	70 69	38	<u>58</u> 56	No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	<u> </u>		Does Not Meet	N<20	39	- 56	No
	0	0		-	N<20		-	
English Learners	2	4		Annyaaching	49	42	- 76	
Students needing to catch up			F.0%	Approaching	49	42	76	No
Mathematics	8	16	50%	Approaching	70			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4 4		Approaching	73	41 42	65	No
Minority Students	2			Approaching			64	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0 4		-	N<20		- 76	-
English Learners Students needing to catch up	2	4 4		Approaching	20 49	45 53	84	No No
	4		33.3%	Approaching	49		84	INO
Writing	4	12	55.5%	Does Not Meet	70			N 1
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	70	37	66	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	69	36	64	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	- 75	-
Students needing to catch up		4	400/	Approaching	56	46	75	No
Total	16	40	40%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: CENTENNIAL A SCHOOL	FOR EXPEDITION	ONARY LEARNII	NG - 1400				District: DENVER COUNTY	[′] 1 - 0880 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	67	46.27	10	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	65	20	4	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	67	32.84	12	
Science	0	0		-	-	_	-	
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	67	53	58	No
Mathematics	2	4	1.	Approaching	64	45	96	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	67	54	76	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	55	53	63	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	59	53	63	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	40	57	74	No
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	53	47	96	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	56	46	96	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	44	46	99	No
Writing	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	55	56	78	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	59	54	79	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	42	51	92	No
Total	20	36	55.6%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide

Scoring Guide for Pe	erformance Indicators on the School Perform	ance Framework Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or	advanced was:		TCAP			
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200	Exceeds	4		16		
Achievement	 below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th per 	centile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).					subject area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).						
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP		т	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator		Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicate		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assig	nments	
	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the State Board of Education must direct the authorizing district's local school board
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	or the Institute to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commence on July 1 of the
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority
		Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math				Writing		Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from TCAP and CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - 2	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading		Math		Writing		Science				
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach certain levels of language proficiency on ACCESS in set amounts of time. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP).

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99
Meets	45-59	55-69
Approaching	30-44	40-54
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1