Level: EMH

School: UNIVERSITY SCHOOLS - 2850 District: GREELEY 6 - 3120 (1 Year¹)

# **Performance**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	58.3%	( 8.7 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	53.9%	( 18.9 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	46.5%	( 7.0 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	92.9%	( 32.5 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

TOTAL 67.1% ( 67.1 out of 100 points )

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

<b>Test Participation Rates</b>																
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	258	366	253	877	258	366	253	877
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	258	366	253	877	258	366	253	877
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	257	366	253	876	257	366	253	876
Science	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	104	120	115	339	104	120	115	339
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	101	101	-	-	101	101

eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: Elementary
School: UNIVERSITY SCHOOLS - 2	2850						District: GREELE	Y 6 - 3120 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	258	70.16	46	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	258	74.81	59	1
Writing	3	4		Meets	257	54.47	51	
Science	2	4		Approaching	104	43.27	43	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	179	42	28	Yes
Mathematics	3	4	-	Meets	180	51	47	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	179	51	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	24	31	-	-
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	50	40	40	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	67	39	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	51	50	66	No
Mathematics	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	51	54	55	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	67	59	52	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0			N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	39	59	77	No
Writing	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	51	44	47	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	66	51	52	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	106	57	57	Yes
Total	21	36	58.3%	Approaching				
TOLAL	41	30	30.3 <i>1</i> 0	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: UNIVERSITY SCHOOLS - 2	2850						District: GREELEY	6 - 3120 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	363	69.15	43	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	363	58.95	63	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	363	53.72	39	
Science	3	4		Meets	119	55.46	62	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	359	44	26	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	358	45	56	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	359	36	43	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	·	Approaching	96	44	42	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	135	50	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	24	54	84	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	32	52	53	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	94	49	68	No
Mathematics	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	96	39	74	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	135	44	77	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	31	98	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	32	45	87	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	119	39	90	No
Writing	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	96	32	57	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	135	35	49	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	29	95	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	34	64	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	146	47	77	No

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: UNIVERSITY SCHOOLS - 2850	)						District: GREELEY	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	249	66.67	32	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	249	30.12	43	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	249	45.38	37	
Science	2	4		Approaching	114	48.25	46	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	248	45	16	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	248	49	94	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	247	45	54	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	8	16	50%	Approaching		O. O. Harris C. Contant	0.070.70.00.00.00	0.07.47.
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	3070	Approaching	59	41	33	Yes
Minority Students	2	4	-	Approaching	73	44	26	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	23	40	39	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4	-	Approaching	78	52	79	No
Mathematics	8	16	50%	Approaching	, 0	32	,,,	110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	30%	Approaching	59	47	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	73	46	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20	-		- 140
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	23	47	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	150	51	99	No
Writing	6	16	37.5%	Approaching	130			110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	37.370	Does Not Meet	58	35	64	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	72	47	65	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	37	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	123	47	90	No
Total	22	48	45.8%	Approaching	•		•	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		87/90/87/ <b><i>99</i></b>	93.1/93.3/88.5/ <b>93.9</b> %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	2	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds		22/ <i>16</i> /30/27	86.4/ <i>93.8</i> /76.7/92.6%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds		<b>26</b> /20/27/28	<i>96.2</i> /85/85.2/92.9%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<16/	/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
				-	N<16	/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		_	14 - 10/	11 - 10/11 - 10/11 - 10	-/ -/ -/ -/0	0070
English Learners  Dropout Rate	0 <b>4</b>	4		Exceeds	14 - 10/	495	0%	3.6%

Graduation Rates Level: High

## **Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates**

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

#### This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

#### Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	89.2	92.1	93	93.9
Anticipated Year	2010	85.4	87.5	88.5	
of Graduation	2011	92.2	93.3		
	2012	93.1			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	89.3	89.3	92.6	92.6
Anticipated Year	2010	73.3	76.7	76.7	
of Graduation	2011	87.5	93.8		
	2012	86.4			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	93.1	92.9	92.9	92.9
Anticipated Year	2010	79.3	82.1	85.2	
of Graduation	2011	80	85		
	2012	96.2			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16		·	

#### Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	89.2	92.1	93	93.9
Anticipated Year	2010	85.4	87.5	88.5	
of Graduation	2011	92.2	93.3		
	2012	93.1			
	Aggregated	89.9	91	90.9	93.9

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	89.3	89.3	92.6	92.6
Anticipated Year	2010	73.3	76.7	76.7	
of Graduation	2011	87.5	93.8		
	2012	86.4			
	Aggregated	83.3	85.1	84.2	92.6

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	93.1	92.9	92.9	92.9
Anticipated Year	2010	79.3	82.1	85.2	
of Graduation	2011	80	85		
	2012	96.2			
	Aggregated	87.5	86.8	89.1	92.9

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	64.9	73.1	68.4	N<16

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009. 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

ormance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	· Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewori Points
	The school's percentage of studen	nts scoring proficient or advanced was	s:		TO	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline	e).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but a	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).					subject area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			TO	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
<b>Growth Gaps</b>	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate rate was:	d Graduation Rate: The school's grad	luation rate/disaggregated graduation	1	Overall	Disaggr.		
	at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.	•		Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropo	ut rate was:		,			16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average b	Meets		3	indicator)			
	at or below 10% but above the:	Approaching		2				
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: T.	he school's average Colorado ACT co	mposite score was:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average b	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfor</b>	mance Indicator	Cut-Points	for Plan Type Assignment			
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indic		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type Assig	School Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of						
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five						
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the						
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.						

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing		Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

								00: -0::	•		,		
		Reading			Math			Writing		Science			
		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of School	ls	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percent	ile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percent	ile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percent	ile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

#### State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1
-		