School: FOUNTAIN INTERNATIONAL MAGNET SCHOOL - 2620

District: PUEBLO CITY 60 - 2690 (3 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	91.7%	(22.9 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(37.5 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		80.5%	(60.4 out of 75 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rate	•>															
	% of Students Tested				Participati	on Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	269	-	-	269	269	-	-	269
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	269	-	-	269	269	-	-	269
Writing	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	269	-	-	269	269	-	-	269
Science	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	-	-	0
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Percentile Growth? Reading 0 0 - N<20 - - - - Mathematics 0 0 - N<20 - - - - Writing 0 0 - N<20 - - - - English Language Proficiency (ACCESS) 1.5 2 Meets 40 52 - - - Total 1.5 2 75% Meets - - - - - -	School: FOUNTAIN INTERNATIO	NAL MAGNET S	SCHOOL - 2620					District: PUEBLO CITY (60 - 2690 (3 Yea
Reading	Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Mathematics 3	Reading	4			Exceeds	268	91.79	94	
Science	Mathematics	3	4			268	87.31	89	
Science 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Writing	4	4		Exceeds	268	79.85	93	
Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Percentile Growth? Reading 0 0 0 - N<20		0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Percentile Growth? Reading 0 0 - N<20	Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Mathematics	Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Writing 0 0 N<20 -	Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS) 1.5 2 Meets Total 1.5 2 Meets Note: Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adequate Made Adequate Growth Growth Percentile Growth Percentile	Mathematics	0	0		1 -	N<20	-	-	-
Total 1.5 2 75% Meets Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible & Points E	Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Subgroup Subgroup Median Growth Subgroup Median Adequate Growth? **Reading** **Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible** **Minority Students* **Students with Disabilities* **English Learners* **Students needing to catch up **Minority Students* **Students Needing to catch up **Minority Students* **Students needing to catch up **Minority Students* **Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible** **Students with Disabilities* **Students with Disabilit	English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	40	52	-	-
Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Mating N Percentile Growth Percentile Growth? Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	Total	1.5	2	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learnering to catch up Mathematics Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Alignority Students Students needing to catch up Minority Students Students with Disabilities Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Free/Reduced	Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		•		Made Adequate Growth?
Minority Students - Students with Disabilities - English Learners - Students needing to catch up - Mathematics	Reading			%					
Students with Disabilities - English Learners - Students needing to catch up - Mathematics % Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible - Minority Students - Students with Disabilities - English Learners - Students needing to catch up - Writing % Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible - Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible - Minority Students - Students with Disabilities - English Learners - Students with Disabilities - English Learners -	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible				-				
English Learners	Minority Students				1- 1				
Students needing to catch up Mathematics K Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Writing K Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students needing to catch up Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible K Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Students with Disabilities Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students with Disabilities English Learners	Students with Disabilities				-				
Mathematics%Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible-Minority Students-Students with Disabilities-English Learners-Students needing to catch up-Writing%Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible-Minority Students-Students with Disabilities-English Learners-Students with Disabilities-English Learners-	English Learners				-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners Students needing to catch up Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	Students needing to catch up				-				
Minority Students-Students with Disabilities-English Learners-Students needing to catch up-Writing%Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible-Minority Students-Students with Disabilities-English Learners-	Mathematics			%					
Students with Disabilities-English Learners-Students needing to catch up-Writing%Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible-Minority Students-Students with Disabilities-English Learners-	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible				-				
English Learners-Students needing to catch up-Writing%Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible-Minority Students-Students with Disabilities-English Learners-	Minority Students				-				
Students needing to catch up Writing Kree/Reduced Lunch Eligible Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	Students with Disabilities				-				
Writing % Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible - Minority Students - Students with Disabilities - English Learners -	English Learners				-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible-Minority Students-Students with Disabilities-English Learners-	Students needing to catch up				-				
Minority Students Students with Disabilities English Learners	Writing			%					
Students with Disabilities - English Learners -	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible				-				
English Learners -	Minority Students				-				
·	Students with Disabilities				-				
Students needing to catch up -	English Learners				-				
	Students needing to catch up				_				

Scoring Guide Level: E

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of student	s scoring proficient or advanced was:			TO	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of the second	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			TO	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	
•	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Perf	ormance Indicator		Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

School Plan Type Assign	chool Plan Type Assignments									
	Plan description									
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of								
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.								

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading	g Math			Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP	
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99	
Meets 45-59		55-69	50-64	
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49	
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34	

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1