School Performance Framework 2013

School: ASPEN MIDDLE SCHOOL - 0430

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance **/** Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing – to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59% $\frac{1}{2}$
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47% f
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²						
Academic Achievement	Meets	81.3%	(20.3 out of 25 points)					
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(37.5 out of 50 points)					
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	71.7%	(17.9 out of 25 points)					
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate							

TOTAL	75.7%	(75.7 out of 100 points)						
² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed								
from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.								

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

		% of Stude	ents Tested	1		Participati	on Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	99.0%	-	99.4%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	683	713	-	1396	685	720	-	1405
Mathematics	99.6%	99.4%	-	99.5%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	684	716	-	1400	687	720	-	1407
Writing	99.6%	99.4%	-	99.5%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	682	716	-	1398	685	720	-	1405
Science	99.1%	98.7%	-	98.9%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	346	367	-	713	349	372	-	721
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Level: EM

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: ASPEN MIDDLE SCHOOL	- 0430						District: ASPEN	l 1 - 2640 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	659	86.34	86	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	659	80.27	74	
Writing	3	4		Meets	659	73.6	85	
Science	3	4		Meets	334	65.87	83	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	& Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	1 Units Ligible	<i>2</i> 01011113	Meets	618	47	18	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	621	47 45	41	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	619	50	34	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	46	60	-	-
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets	+0	00	-	
	10.5	14	75/0	INICCUS				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	48	42	33	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	90	50	35	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	35	50	55	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	95	50	35	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	85	50	49	Yes
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	50	39	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	93	41	57	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	36	48	77	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	98	41	57	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	76	46	76	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	48	53	50	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	90	48	52	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	36	51	72	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	95	50	51	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	177	59	58	Yes
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: ASPEN MIDDLE SCHOOL	- 0430						District: ASPE	N 1 - 2640 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	694	85.73	86	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	694	75.07	90	
Writing	3	4		Meets	697	78.62	89	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	359	76.6	94	
Total	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	641	59	17	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	645	59	52	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	646	57	35	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	25	64	-	_
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	20	20	100%	Exceeds			<i>Growth refeething</i>	oromun:
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	100/0	Exceeds	55	62	38	Yes
Minority Students	4	4 4			97	63	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	4	4 4		Exceeds Exceeds	51	65	63	Yes
English Learners	4	4 4		Exceeds	90	63	37	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4 4		Exceeds	89	74	60	Yes
Mathematics	18	20	90%	Exceeds	05	/4	00	103
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	20 4	90/0	Meets	54	59	76	No
Minority Students	4	4 4		Exceeds	97	71	78	No
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	54	73	83	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	88	71	77	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	126	69	85	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets	120			110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	70/0	Approaching	55	54	65	No
Minority Students	3	4 4		Meets	98	63	65	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4 4		Meets	53	67	77	No
English Learners	3	4 4		Meets	89	64	65	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4 4		Meets	153	64	73	No
	5	-		IVICCO	155		15	NO NO

Scoring Guide for Per	formance Indicators on the So	noot Performance Framewo	огк керогс					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per	Framework
							EMH Level	Points
	The school's percentage of students	scoring proficient or advanced was:			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of	f all schools (using 2009-10 baseline)		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all s	chools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	САР		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Perf	ormance Indicator		Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator	Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.						
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

School Plan Type Assigr	chool Plan Type Assignments										
	Plan description										
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of									
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five									
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the									
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.									

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficier	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing		Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from		Reading Math				Writing			Science				
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons									n dame an				

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1