District: MESA COUNTY VALLEY 51 - 2000 (1 Year¹)

School: PALISADE HIGH SCHOOL - 6666

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

TOTAL

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²					
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)				
Academic Growth	Exceeds	91.7%	(32.1 out of 35 points)				
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	70.0%	(10.5 out of 15 points)				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	68.8%	(24.1 out of 35 points)				
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate						

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

78.0%

(78.0 out of 100 points)

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stu	dents Tested			Participa	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.9%	98.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	551	551	-	-	557	557
Mathematics	-	-	99.1%	99.1%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	552	552	-	-	557	557
Writing	-	-	98.9%	98.9%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	551	551	-	-	557	557
Science	-	-	98.3%	98.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	283	283	-	-	288	288
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	229	229	-	-	229	229

66							
Daint- E- 1					Distri	ct: MESA COUNTY VALLEY 5	1 - 2000 (1 Year)
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
3	4		Meets	507	73.77	52	
3	4		Meets	508	41.73	70	
3	4		Meets	507	57.99	69	
3	4		Meets	260	64.62	78	
12	16	75%	Meets				
					Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	·	Growth?
3	4		Meets	483	51	11	Yes
	4	-					No
4							Yes
0			-		-	-	-
-		91 7%	Fyceeds				
	12	31.770	EXCCCGS				
				Subgroup			Made Adequate
Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
11	20	55%	Approaching				
3	4		Meets	205	51	35	Yes
3	4		Meets	139	49	20	Yes
1	4		Does Not Meet	43	38	96	No
2	4		Approaching	33	49	87	No
2	4		Approaching	120	49	85	No
17	20	85%	Meets				
3	4			205	66	99	No
3	4	,	Meets		68	99	No
4	4		Exceeds			99	No
3	4						No
4	4				73	99	No
14	20	70%					
				203	60	78	No
	4						No
							No
							No
							No
42		70%					
Points Farned					N	Rate/Score	Expectation
		.0101110		76			80%
		50%		20	_,3,	, 0.5, 0 1 1, 1 3.5/ 1 2.7/0	
	-	3070		Q-	7/ 126 /123/134	63 9/ 79 4 /69 9/62 7%	80%
	<u> </u>						80%
	<u>'</u> 1						80%
	<u>'</u>						80%
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						3.6%
							20.0
		CO 00				20.5	20.0
	3 3 12 Points Earned 3 4 4 0 11 Points Earned 11 3 3 1 2 2 17 3 3 4 14 3 4 14 3 3 3 4 14 3 3 3 4 14 3 3 3 4 14 3 3 3 4 14 3 3 3 3	3 4 3 4 12 16 Points Earned Points Eligible 3 4 4 4 0 0 11 12 Points Earned Points Eligible 11 20 3 4 1 4 2 4 2 4 3 4 4 4 3 4 4 4 3 4 4 4 14 20 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 4 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	3 4 3 4 12 16 75% Points Earned Points Eligible % Points 3 4 4 4 4 4 0 0 0 11 12 91.7% Points Earned Points Eligible % Points 3 4 4 1 4 4 2 4 4 3 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 14 20 70% 3 4 4 4 4 4 14 20 70% 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 <td>3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 12 16 75% Meets 12 16 75% Meets 12 16 75% Meets 3 4 Meets 4 4 Exceeds 4 4 Exceeds 0 0 - 11 12 91.7% Exceeds 11 12 91.7% Exceeds 11 20 55% Approaching 3 4 Meets Meets 3 4 Approaching Approaching 17 20 85% Meets 3 4 Meets Meets 3 4 Meets 4 4 Exceeds 3 4 Meets 4 4 Exceeds 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 3<!--</td--><td> 3</td><td> 3</td><td> 3</td></td>	3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 12 16 75% Meets 12 16 75% Meets 12 16 75% Meets 3 4 Meets 4 4 Exceeds 4 4 Exceeds 0 0 - 11 12 91.7% Exceeds 11 12 91.7% Exceeds 11 20 55% Approaching 3 4 Meets Meets 3 4 Approaching Approaching 17 20 85% Meets 3 4 Meets Meets 3 4 Meets 4 4 Exceeds 3 4 Meets 4 4 Exceeds 3 4 Meets 3 4 Meets 3 </td <td> 3</td> <td> 3</td> <td> 3</td>	3	3	3

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	65.5	70.5	72.7	72.4
Anticipated Year	2010	75.2	78.7	79.3	
of Graduation	2011	77.6	84.7		
	2012	76.3			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	55.5	61.7	64.3	62.7
Anticipated Year	2010	63.9	69.2	69.9	
of Graduation	2011	72.1	79.4		
	2012	63.9			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	50	61.5	63.5	63.5
Anticipated Year	2010	65.1	73.8	73.8	
of Graduation	2011	70.7	78.5		
	2012	73.4			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	37.9	48.1	53.8	53.6
Anticipated Year	2010	50	56.3	56.3	
of Graduation	2011	39.3	55.6		
	2012	55.2			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	31.3	43.8	50	57.1
Anticipated Year	2010	65	80	80	
of Graduation	2011	57.1	69.6		
	2012	65			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	65.5	70.5	72.7	72.4
Anticipated Year	2010	75.2	78.7	79.3	
of Graduation	2011	77.6	84.7		
	2012	76.3			
	Aggregated	73.6	77.9	76	72.4

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	55.5	61.7	64.3	62.7
Anticipated Year	2010	63.9	69.2	69.9	
of Graduation	2011	72.1	79.4		
	2012	63.9			
	Aggregated	63.6	69.9	67.1	62.7

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	50	61.5	63.5	63.5
Anticipated Year	2010	65.1	73.8	73.8	
of Graduation	2011	70.7	78.5		
	2012	73.4			
	Aggregated	65.5	72.4	69	63.5

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	37.9	48.1	53.8	53.6
Anticipated Year	2010	50	56.3	56.3	
of Graduation	2011	39.3	55.6		
	2012	55.2			
	Aggregated	45.8	53.5	<i>55.2</i>	53.6

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	31.3	43.8	50	57.1
Anticipated Year	2010	65	80	80	
of Graduation	2011	57.1	69.6		
	2012	65			
	Aggregated	55.8	66.1	66.7	57.1

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009. 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: H

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point	<i>Value</i>	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points			
	The school's percentage of studen	ts scoring proficient or advanced was	v		TO	CAP			
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline	e).	Exceeds		4	16		
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all s	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15	
	below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	subject area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14		
Growth	below 60 but at or above 45.	below 70 but at or above 55.	below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35	
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			TO	CAP			
Academic	• at or above 60.	at or above 70.		Exceeds	4		60		
Growth Gaps	below 60 but at or above 45.	ove 45. • below 70 but at or above 55.			3		(4 for each of 5	15	
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3		
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate rate was:	d Graduation Rate: The school's grad	uation rate/disaggregated graduation	7	Overall	Disaggr.			
	at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1			
	at or above 80% but below 90%.	,		Meets	3	0.75			
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5			
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25			
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout	ut rate was:					16		
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35	
Workforce Readiness		ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3	indicator)		
		state average (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching Does Not Meet		2			
	***************************************	• above 10%.				1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: Ta								
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4			
		ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3			
		ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2			
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1			

Cut-Points for Each Perfo	rmance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indic		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type A	School Plan Type Assignments								
	Plan description								
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five							
Priority Improvement Pla	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		,											
			Reading Math			Writing			Science				
		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1